

# Verification of specific selection SNPs between broiler and layer chicken in Chinese indigenous chicken breeds

# D. Lan\*, Y.D. Hu\*, Q. Zhu, D.Y. Li and Y.P. Liu

Farm Animal Genetic Resources Exploration and Innovation Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Sichuan Agricultural University, Ya'an, Sichuan, China

\*These authors contributed equally to this study. Corresponding author: Y.P. Liu E-mail: 525692787@qq.com

Genet. Mol. Res. 14 (3): 8388-8396 (2015) Received November 4, 2014 Accepted April 24, 2015 Published July 28, 2015 DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2015.July.28.5

**ABSTRACT.** The direction of production for indigenous chicken breeds is currently unknown and this knowledge, combined with the development of chicken genome-wide association studies, led us to investigate differences in specific loci between broiler and layer chicken using bioinformatic methods. In addition, we analyzed the distribution of these seven identified loci in four Chinese indigenous chicken breeds, Caoke chicken, Jiuyuan chicken, Sichuan mountain chicken, and Tibetan chicken, using DNA direct sequencing methods, and analyzed the data using bioinformatic methods. Based on the results, we suggest that Caoke chicken could be developed for meat production, while Jiuyuan chicken and Tibetan chicken exhibited large polymorphisms, these breeds could be improved by changing their living environment.

**Key words:** Molecular marker; Polymorphic site; Indigenous breeds; Breeding direction

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 8388-8396 (2015)

# **INTRODUCTION**

Specialized commercial populations, which originate in foreign countries, occupy the majority of the chicken market in china. Therefore, it is necessary to take full advantage of indigenous breeds that have large genetic resources and play an important role in maintaining biological polymorphism, as well as having enhanced production performance, such as being well-adapted, resistant to foraging, and stress tolerant, etc. Although, these indigenous may also have some disadvantages, such as low production performance. The first limiting factor for the development of indigenous breeds is the unknown direction of production, which is the first and foremost requirement when studying indigenous breeds.

Reproduction and growth traits are controlled by quantitative trait loci and a genomewide scan is a powerful approach that can be used to gain an understanding of these complex traits. Recently, many investigations have used genome-wide association studies (GWAS) to identify special loci used during chicken domestication (van Kaam et al., 1999; Useche et al., 2001; Akey et al., 2002; Reich et al., 2003; Rubin et al., 2010; Gu et al., 2011; Xie et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012, 2013). Rubin et al. (2010) used whole-genome re-sequencing methods to analyze chicken selection loci and the results revealed that there are 7,000,000 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), including 1300 deficiency loci, and during chicken domestication specific loci are involved in specialization into broiler (meat-producing) and layer (eggproducing) chicken. A follow-up study indicated that the high-growth and low-growth lines of broiler were distinguished by an 18,961-bp deficiency. Using a GWAS, Gu et al. (2011), found that the chicken chromosome 4 (GGA4) region, approximately 8.6 Mb in length (71.6 to 80.2 Mb), had a large number of significant SNP effects for late growth during weeks 7 to 12. Furthermore, Xie et al. (2012), using a GWAS, found a narrow 1.5 Mb region (173.5 to 175 Mb) of chicken (Gallus gallus) chromosome (GGA) 1 to be strongly associated with chicken growth.

Previous research indicates that mutations developed during chicken domestication are relatively fixed for different traits. In this study, molecular biological techniques are used to explore the breeding direction of Sichuan indigenous breeds by detecting the specific loci in each breed.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### **Birds and management**

A total of 193 chickens belonging to four different Chinese indigenous breeds were sampled for this study: Tibetan chicken, Caoke chicken, Jiuyuan chicken, and Sichuan mountain chicken. All of these breeds are famous Chinese indigenous chicken breeds and are mainly distributed in Sichuan Province, China. The selected chickens were all from unimproved breeds. The distribution of sampled chickens and the number of samples collected are shown in Table 1.

All birds were reared under the same condition: cage free (density of <35 chicken/100 m<sup>2</sup>) and standard conditions of temperature (20° to 22°C), relative humidity (55 to 60%), and ventilation were maintained. The chickens were fed the same professional breeder diet and had free access to feed and water during the entire rearing period. Birds were managed with full consideration of bird welfare. All procedures involving animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 8388-8396 (2015)

D. Lan et al.

Table 1. Distribution and number of experimental chicken sampled for each Chinese indigenous breed.							
Breed	No. of samples	Distribution					
Tibetan chicken (TC)	42	Xiangcheng Garze					
Caoke chicken (CK)	54	Simian County, Sichuan					
Jiuyuan black chicken (JY)	48	Wangyuan County, Sichuan					
Sichuan mountain chicken (SM)	49	Junlian County, Sichuan					

## Sample collection

Approximately 2 mL blood was collected from the brachial vein of each individual according to the requirements of animal welfare of Sichuan Agricultural University, and stored at -20°C. The next day, genomic DNA was isolated by phenolic extraction. The quality and integrity of DNA was assessed using the  $A_{260/280}$  ratio and agarose gel electrophoresis.

#### **Objective gene screening**

Firstly, we undertook a literature review to determine the genes related to animal reproduction traits, and then we identified the genes on the local chromosome using NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Secondly, we determined the variable loci within the genes, the amount and type of mutation in specialized layer and broiler chicken using chicken VD data (http://chicken.genomics.org.cn/), and then assessed if there were differences in the loci between specialized layer and broiler chicken. Thirdly, the primers for the candidate differential gene loci were designed and verified on specialized layer and broiler chickens to exclude primers with a false position. Finally, we selected loci on three genes as the objective gene loci to detect genetic variation in the four Chinese indigenous chicken breeds.

#### Analysis of genetic variation

Following confirmation of the objective genes, the primers were designed using Primer Primer 5.0, DNA sequence fragments were amplified, and genetic variation was analyzed using the direct sequencing method by Beijing Liuhe Genomics Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China).

#### Sequence and data analysis

Chromas version 1.45 was used to obtain the original sequence data and DNA Star was used to inspect, edit, and proof the sequences to ensure data accuracy. To determine whether the sequences obtained were correct, an NCBI nucleotide BLAST search was conducted (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). MEGA4.1 and DnaSP were used to analyze variation in the loci and identify haplotypes.

The genetic variation within each indigenous breed was evaluated and compared. The total number of alleles at each locus, the respective allele frequency, observed and expected ( $H_E$ ) heterozygosities, and polymorphism information content (PIC) value for each breed across the loci were calculated using GenAlEx 6.4 (Peakall and Smouse, 2012) and CERVUS ver. 3.0.3 (Kalinowski et al., 2007).

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 8388-8396 (2015)

# RESULTS

### Genetic screening and primer design

The study identified 7 SNPs on three genes as the differential genes between specialized layer and broiler chicken. The 7 SNPs included three loci specific to layer chicken, chr24:5748784A>G, chr24:5748832T>C, and chr5:52922938A>G, and four loci specific to broiler chicken, chr3:7519896A>G, chr3:7519918G>A, chr5:52926659T>A, and chr5:52926664T>C. These 7 SNPs are located on the *DRD2*, *LHCGR*, and *ESR2* genes, respectively (Table 2), all of which are reproductive trait-related genes. The primers were designed according to the gene loci (GenBank accession No. NC\_006092.3, NC\_006090.3, NC\_006111.3), as shown in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Sequence, fragment size, and annealing temperature of primer pairs used in PCR to amplify seven SNPs in four Chinese indigenous chicken breeds.

Gene	SNP locus	Primer	Sequences of primer	Amplification fragment (bp)	Annealing temperature (°C)
ESR2	Chr5:52922914A>G	1	Forward: 5'-CCAGATAGTGGACCAAGCC-3' Reverse: 5'-CCTCGTAAACAGCCATTCC-3'	705	58.2
	Chr5:52926659A>T Chr5:52926664C>T	2	Forward: 5'-GATTTGATGCCCGATGAG-3' Reverse: 5'-CTGCTACACTGGGAAGACC-3'	517	54.1
LHCGR	Chr3:7519896G>A Chr3:7519918G>A	3	Forward: 5'-CAAGAGGCAGATGTAAAGACT-3' Reverse: 5'-TTAGACCCAGGATGTGAGAA-3'	960	53.2
DRD2	Chr24:5748832T>C Chr24:5748784A>G	4	Forward: 5'-ACATCGGCTATTTCCAGAC-3' Reverse: 5'-CAACTGCTTGCTCTTCTCA-3'	555	55.2

#### Genetic diversity analysis

Table 3 shows the results of the genetic diversity analysis for each chicken breed. The Chr5:52922938A>T locus was homozygous and the  $H_{\rm E}$  values were zero in the Sichuan mountain chicken breed, while this locus had low polymorphism (PIC < 0.25) in the Caoke chicken breed, and moderate polymorphism (0.25 < PIC < 0.5) in the Tibetan chicken and Jiuyuan black chicken breeds. The Chr5:52926659T>A and Chr5:52926664C>T loci exhibited moderate polymorphism in all of four chicken breeds, except for Chr5:52926664C>T, which exhibited low polymorphism and reached Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.05) in the Jiuyuan black chicken breed. Apart from the Jiuyuan black chicken breed, which has low polymorphism, the other three breeds all have moderate polymorphism at the Chr3:7319896G>A and Chr3:7519918G>A loci. The Chr3:7319896G>A locus was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.05) in Sichuan mountain chicken, while the Chr3:7519918G>A locus was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.05) in three of the four breeds, except the Caoke chicken breed. The Chr24:5748832 T>C locus was homozygous in the Caoke chicken breed, while the other three breeds exhibited moderate polymorphism for this locus. All of the experimental breeds exhibited moderate polymorphism for the Chr24:5748784A>G locus.

#### Genotype and allele analysis

Table 4 shows the genotypes and allele frequencies for the four chicken breeds. The Chr5:52922938A>G locus has three genotypes (GG, AA, and AG). Each genotype has a dif-

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 8388-8396 (2015)

#### D. Lan et al.

ferent frequency of occurrence: Jiuyuan black chicken only have the AG genotype, Sichuan mountain chicken only have the GG genotype, Tibetan chicken have both the AA and GG genotypes, while Caoke chicken has all three genotypes. The Chr5:52922938A>T locus has three genotypes (AA, AT, and TT). The three genotypes occur with varying frequency in each of the four breeds (Table 4). The Chr5:52926664C>T locus is composed of three genotypes (CC, CT, and TT), which occur with varying frequency in the four chicken breeds, except the TT genotype, which is not present in Jiuyuan chicken (Table 4). The GG genotype of the Chr3:7319896G>A locus is mainly observed in Caoke chicken and Jiuyuan black chicken, with probabilities of 52 and 88%, respectively, while the AA genotype is mainly observed in Tibetan chicken and Sichuan mountain chicken, with probabilities of 74 and 55%, respectively.

SNP	Breeds	$H_{0}$	$H_{\rm E}$	$N_{_{\rm A}}$	PIC	$\chi^2$	Р
Chr5: 52922938							
A>G	CK	0.698819	0.301181	1.430986	0.134979	1.239669	0.265535
	JY	0.5	0.5	2	0.375	48	< 0.0001
	SM	1	0	1	0	-	-
	TC	0.529744	0.470256	1.887704	0.359686	15.90533	< 0.0001
	Total	0.502269	0.497731	1.99096	0.373863	1.540589	0.2145
Chr5: 52926659							
T>A	CK	0.549554	0.450446	1.819657	0.348995	27.39184	< 0.0001
	JY	0.536675	0.463325	1.863324	0.35599	0.739498	0.389822
	SM	0.51020	0.489796	1.96	0.369846	16.67361	< 0.0001
	TC	0.502551	0.497449	1.989848	0.373721	18.57106	< 0.0001
	Total	0.53491	0.465086	1.86946	0.356934	2.282563	0.130836
Chr5: 52926664							
T>C	CK	0.520748	0.479252	1.920316	0.364411	6.357222	0.01169
	JY	0.712665	0.287335	1.403183	0.246054	2.556213	0.109861
	SM	0.610162	0.389838	1.638908	0.313851	1.292205	0.255642
	TC	0.518141	0.481859	1.929978	0.365765	9.771903	0.001772
	Total	0.569586	0.430414	1.755662	0.337786	0.119836	0.729212
Chr3: 7519896							
G>A	CK	0.625	0.375	1.6	0.304688	6.828283	0.008973
	JY	0.847222	0.152778	1.180328	0.141107	9.917355	0.001637
	SM	0.583299	0.416701	1.714388	0.329881	3.450571	0.06323
	TC	0.69161	0.30839	1.445902	0.260838	12.10089	0.000504
	Total	0.504349	0.49565	1.9827	0.372816	54.30615	< 0.0001
Chr3: 7519918							
G>A	CK	0.53858	0.46142	1.856734	0.354966	5.683225	0.017128
	JY	0.736328	0.263672	1.35809	0.22891	1.646091	0.199492
	SM	0.546855	0.453145	1.828637	0.350475	3.825476	0.050479
	TC	0.547902	0.452098	1.825142	0.349901	1.407982	0.235392
	Total	0.501342	0.498658	1.994645	0.374328	6.193828	0.01282
Chr24: 5748832							
T>C	CK	1	0	1	0		
	JY	0.670139	0.329861	1.492228	0.275457	0.005319	0.941863
	SM	0.567472	0.432528	1.762202	0.338988	11.33585	0.00076
	TC	0.613379	0.386621	1.630314	0.311883	42	< 0.0001
	Total	0.611157	0.388843	1.63624	0.313243	84.62	< 0.0001
Chr24: 5748784							
A>G	CK	0.654321	0.345679	1.528302	0.285932	4.408163	0.035767
	JY	0.635634	0.364366	1.573233	0.297985	11.3098	0.000771
	SM	0.510204	0.489796	1.96	0.369846	5.444444	0.019631
	TC	0.637188	0.362812	1.569395	0.296996	22.84406	< 0.0001
	Total	0.500483	0.49951	1.998069	0.374758	59.32124	< 0.0001

CK = Caoke chicken; JY = Jiuyuan black chicken; SM = Sichuan mountain chicken; TC = Tibetan chicken;  $H_0$  = observed heterozygosity;  $H_E$  = expected heterozygosity;  $N_A$  = number of alleles; PIC = polymorphism information content;  $\chi^2$  and its associated P value indicate significant deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 8388-8396 (2015)

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

Verification o	f SNPs in	Chinese	indigenous	chicl	ken breeds

SNP	Genotype	CK nun	nber/frequency	JY nun	nber/frequency	SM nur	nber/frequency	TC	number/frequency
Chr5: 52922938									
A>G	AA	46	0.851852	0	0	0	0	32	0.761905
	AG	7	0.12963	48	1	0	0	0	0
	GG	1	0.018519	0	0	49	1	10	0.238095
	А		0.916667		0.5		0		0.761905
	G		0.083333		0.5		1		0.238095
Chr5: 52926659									
A>T	AA	32	0.592593	18	0.375	23	0.469388	16	0.380952
	AT	7	0.12963	25	0.520833	10	0.204082	7	0.166667
	TT	15	0.277778	5	0.104167	16	0.326531	19	0.452381
	А		0.657407		0.635417		0.571429		0.464286
	Т		0.342593		0.364583		0.428571		0.535714
Chr5: 52926664									
C>T	CC	24	0.444444	30	0.625	28	0.571429	10	0.238095
	CT	17	0.314815	18	0.375	16	0.326531	30	0.714286
	TT	13	0.240741	0	0	5	0.102041	2	0.047619
	С		0.601852		0.8125		0.734694		0.595238
	Т		0.398148		0.1875		0.265306		0.404762
Chr3: 7319896									
G>A	GG	28	0.518519	42	0.875	7	0.142857	5	0.119048
	GA	10	0.185185	4	0.083333	15	0.306122	6	0.142857
	AA	16	0.296296	2	0.041667	27	0.55102	31	0.738095
	G		0.611111		0.916667		0.295918		0.190476
	А		0.388889		0.083333		0.704082		0.809524
Chr3: 7519918									
G>A	AA	18	0.333333	0	0	24	0.489796	20	0.47619
	AG	33	0.611111	15	0.3125	16	0.326531	15	0.357143
	GG	3	0.055556	33	0.6875	9	0.183673	7	0.166667
	А		0.638889		0.15625		0.653061		0.654762
	G		0.361111		0.84375		0.346939		0.345238
Chr24: 5748832									
T>C	TT	0	0	2	0.041667	10	0.204082	31	0.738095
	CT	0	0	16	0.333333	11	0.22449	0	0
	CC	54	1	30	0.625	28	0.571429	11	0.261905
	Т		0		0.208333		0.316327		0.738095
	С		1		0.791667		0.683673		0.261905
Chr24: 5748784									
A>G	AA	0	0	32	0.666667	13	0.265306	30	0.714286
	AG	24	0.444444	9	0.1875	16	0.326531	4	0.095238
	GG	30	0.555556	7	0.145833	20	0.408163	8	0.190476
	А		0.222222		0.760417		0.428571		0.761905
	G		0 777778		0 239583		0 571429		0 238095

|--|

CK = Caoke chicken; JY = Jiuyuan black chicken; SM = Sichuan mountain chicken; TC = Tibetan chicken.

The AG genotype of the Chr3:7519918G>A locus occurs mainly in Caoke chicken, the GG genotype occurs mainly in Jiuyuan black chicken, while the AA genotype occurs mainly in Sichuan mountain chicken and Tibetan chicken. The homozygous genotypes of the Chr24:5748832 T>C and Chr24:5748784A>G loci are observed in all four breeds (Table 4).

# **SNP** locus phylogenetic analysis

-

Phylogenetic analysis of the 7 SNPs in the four chicken breeds was undertaken and the results show that the internal genetic distance was 0.0122 in Caoke chicken, 0.0139 in Jiuyuan black chicken, 0.014 in Sichuan mountain chicken, and 0.0134 in Tibetan chicken. Tables 5, 6, and 7 detail the results of the genetic distance among the four chicken breeds.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 8388-8396 (2015)

D. Lan et al.

Table 5. Disparity and genetic distance within the four breeds of Chinese indigenous chicken.								
Index	СК	JY	SM	TC				
Disparity index	0.0042	0.0016	0.0018	0.0054				
Distance within groups	0.0122	0.014	0.0139	0.0134				

CK = Caoke chicken; JY = Jiuyuan black chicken; SM = Sichuan mountain chicken; TC = Tibetan chicken.

Table 6. Mean genetic distance between Chinese indigenous chicken breeds and layer and broiler chicken.								
Breed	Layer	Broiler	СК	Gallus	SM	JY		
Layer	-							
Broiler	0.0416	-						
CK	0.0307	0.0104	-					
Gallus	0.0234	0.0174	0.0225	-				
SM	0.0145	0.0264	0.0196	0.0245	-			
JY	0.0163	0.0247	0.0191	0.0243	0.0153	-		
TC	0.0158	0.0252	0.0230	0.0125	0.0194	0.0180		

CK = Caoke chicken; JY = Jiuyuan black chicken; SM = Sichuan mountain chicken; TC = Tibetan chicken.

Table 7. Average composition genetic distance between Chinese indigenous chicken breeds and layer and broiler chicken.

Breed	Broiler	Layer	CK	Gallus	SM	JY
Broiler	-					
Layer	0.0057	-				
CK	0.0173	0.0110	-			
Gallus	0.0171	0.0057	0.0210	-		
SM	0.0105	0.0111	0.0152	0.0246	-	
JY	0.0136	0.0135	0.0138	0.0293	0.0117	-
TC	0.0144	0.0105	0.0171	0.0171	0.0169	0.0176

CK = Caoke chicken; J = Jiuyuan black chicken; SM = Sichuan mountain chicken; TC = Tibetan chicken.

## DISCUSSION

Research by Li et al. (2012) suggested that genes related to chicken resistance traits are located on chromosome 16, and at the same time, they also located both the egg laying performance and growth performance genes. In our study, the objective genes were *LHCGR*, *ESR2*, and *DRD2*, located on chromosomes 3, 5, and 24, respectively, and our results agree with those of Li et al. (2013). Several studies have revealed that these three genes are related to reproduction traits in animals and humans (Greene et al., 1986; Maxwell et al., 1987; Duan et al., 2003; Kossack et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2012). As the results of the objective gene screening show, the loci are mainly located in non-coding regions, where proteins are not encoded, indicating that the mutation site does not change the gene function through changes to the protein. It may be that the mutation site plays a role by reducing the coding region mutation, as demonstrated in previous research (Akey et al., 2002; Matukumalli et al., 2006; Groenen et al., 2011). The function of the mutation site requires further investigation.

Locus polymorphism, a form of genetic polymorphism, is the result of both natural and manual selection; however, natural selection plays the main role and three levels of poly-

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 8388-8396 (2015)

morphism are assumed: low (PIC < 0.25), moderate (0.25 < PIC < 0.5) and high (PIC > 0.5) (Akey et al., 2002). Based on the results of the analyses conducted in the current study, we found that loci specific to layer chicken exhibited low polymorphism in the Caoke chicken breed, indicating that Caoke chicken has low levels of egg performance traits under natural selection. While the Jiuyuan black chicken breed exhibited moderate polymorphism for loci specific to layer chicken. In order to improve genetic progress, manual selection should be consistent with natural selection, thus, when we determine the breeding direction it should agree with natural selection. As the results show, some loci deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, especially in the Tibetan chicken breed. Such deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for loci in the Tibetan chicken breed may be a consequence of their living environment, where the altitude is 2500 m. Further sampling of this population would help to eliminate the sampling error caused by genetic drift in future research. At the same time, we infer that the Tibetan chicken breed has diverged as a subspecies due to its geographical distribution and living environment.

Based on the genetic distance analysis, the Caoke chicken breed has the smallest genetic distance and largest coefficient of variation compared to the other three breeds, which indicates that Caoke chicken has high consistency and may have been introduced to the other breed's blood. The genetic distance between each breed indicates that genetic distance was greatest between layer and broiler chicken breeds. Of the experimental groups, the genetic distance between the Caoke chicken breed and broiler chicken was smaller than between the Caoke chicken breed and layer chicken, while the genetic distance between the Jiuyuan black chicken breed and broiler chicken was greater than between the Jiuyuan black chicken breed and layer chicken. The Sichuan mountain chicken and Tibetan chicken breeds exhibited no significant differences.

# CONCLUSION

Variation observed in the Chr24:5748784A>G, Chr24:5748832T>C, and Chr5:529229 38A>G loci was specific to layer chicken, while variation in the Chr3:7519896A>G, Chr3:7519918G>A, Chr5:52926659T>A, and Chr5:52926664T>C loci was specific to broiler chicken.

Following analysis of the data, we suggest the Caoke chicken breed could be suitable for development in meat production, while the Jiuyuan chicken breed could be suitable for development in egg production. As the Sichuan mountain chicken and the Tibetan chicken exhibited large polymorphisms, these breeds could be improved by changing their living environment.

## **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research supported by the Program for the Key Project from the Education Department of Sichuan Province (#14ZA0021), the Project of the Application Basis Project from the

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 8388-8396 (2015)

D. Lan et al.

Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province (#2014JY0163), and the Project of National Natural Science Foundation of China (#31402068), the project of Twelfth Five-Year Province Crops and Livestock and Poultry Breeding Research (#2011NZ0099-7).

### REFERENCES

- Akey JM, Zhang G, Zhang K, Jin L, et al. (2002). Interrogating a high-density SNP map for signatures of natural selection. *Genome Res.* 12: 1805-1814.
- Duan J, Wainwright MS, Comeron JM, Saitou N, et al. (2003). Synonymous mutations in the human dopamine receptor D2 (DRD2) affect mRNA stability and synthesis of the receptor. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 12: 205-216.
- Greene GL, Gilna P, Waterfield M, Baker A, et al. (1986). Sequence and expression of human estrogen receptor complementary DNA. *Science* 231: 1150-1154.
- Groenen MA, Megens HJ, Zare Y, Warren WC, et al. (2011). The development and characterization of a 60K SNP chip for chicken. *BMC Genomics* 12: 274.
- Gu X, Feng C, Ma L, Song C, et al. (2011). Genome-wide association study of body weight in chicken F2 resource population. *PLoS One* 6: e21872.
- Kalinowski ST, Taper M L and Marshall TC (2007). Revising how the computer program CERVUS accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. Mol. Ecol. 16: 1099-1106.
- Kossack N, Simoni M, Richter-Unruh, A, Themmen AP, et al. (2008). Mutations in a novel, cryptic exon of the luteinizing hormone/chorionic gonadotropin receptor gene cause male pseudohermaphroditism. *PloS Med.* 5: e88.
- Li DF, Liu WB, Liu JF, Yi GQ, et al. (2012). Whole-genome scan for signatures of recent selection reveals loci associated with important traits in White Leghorn chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 91: 1804-1812.
- Li DF, Lian L, Qu LJ, Chen YM, et al. (2013). A genome-wide SNP scan reveals two loci associated with the chicken resistance to Marek's disease. *Anim. Genet.* 44: 217-222.
- Matukumalli LK, Grefenstette JJ, Hyten DL, Choi IY, et al. (2006). Application of machine learning in SNP discovery. BMC Bioinformatics 7: 4.
- Maxwell BL, Mcdonnell DP, Conneely OM, Schulz TZ, et al. (1987). Structural organization and regulation of the chicken estrogen receptor. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 1: 25-35.
- Peakall R and Smouse PE (2012). GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research--an update. *Bioinformatics* 28: 2537-2539.
- Reich DE, Gabriel SB and Altshuler D (2003). Quality and completeness of SNP databases. Nat. Genet. 33: 457-458.
- Rubin CJ, Zody MC, Eriksson J, Measows JR, et al. (2010). Whole-genome resequencing reveals loci under selection during chicken domestication. *Nature* 464: 587-591.
- Useche FJ, Gao G, Harafey M and Rafalski A (2001). High-throughput identification, database storage and analysis of SNPs in EST sequences. *Genome Inform.* 12: 194-203.
- van Kaam JB, Groenen MA, Bovenhuis H, Veenendaal A, et al. (1999). Whole genome scan in chickens for quantitative trait loci affecting growth and feed efficiency. *Poult. Sci.* 78: 15-23.
- Xie L, Luo C, Zhang C, Zhang R, et al. (2012). Genome-wide association study identified a narrow chromosome 1 region associated with chicken growth traits. *PLoS One* 7: e30910.
- Xu HP, Shen X, Zhou M, Luo CL, et al. (2010). The dopamine D2 receptor gene polymorphisms associated with chicken broodiness. *Poult. Sci.* 89: 428-438.
- Yang WC, Tang KQ, Li SJ, Chao LM, et al. (2012). Polymorphisms of the bovine luteinizing hormone/choriogonadotropin receptor (LHCGR) gene and its association with superovulation traits. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 39: 2481-2487.
- Yu Y, Pang Y, Zhao H, Xu X, et al. (2012). Association of a missense mutation in the luteinizing hormone/ choriogonadotropin receptor gene (LHCGR) with superovulation traits in Chinese Holstein heifers. J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol. 3: 35.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 8388-8396 (2015)