

# Unraveling systematic inventory of *Echinops* (Asteraceae) with special reference to nrDNA ITS sequence-based molecular typing of *Echinops abuzinadianus*

M.A. Ali<sup>1</sup>, F.M. Al-Hemaid<sup>1</sup>, J. Lee<sup>2</sup>, A.A. Hatamleh<sup>1</sup>, G. Gyulai<sup>3</sup> and M.O. Rahman<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany and Microbiology, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
<sup>2</sup>Department of Environment and Forest Resources, Chungnam National University, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, Republic of Korea
<sup>3</sup>Institute of Genetics and Biotechnology, St. István University, Gödöllo, Hungary
<sup>4</sup>Department of Botany, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Corresponding author: M.A. Ali E-mail: alimohammad@ksu.edu.sa

Genet. Mol. Res. 14 (4): 11752-11762 (2015) Received January 30, 2015 Accepted May 25, 2015 Published October 2, 2015 DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2015.October.2.9

**ABSTRACT.** The present study explored the systematic inventory of *Echinops* L. (Asteraceae) of Saudi Arabia, with special reference to the molecular typing of *Echinops abuzinadianus* Chaudhary, an endemic species to Saudi Arabia, based on the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2) of nuclear ribosomal DNA. A sequence similarity search using BLAST and a phylogenetic analysis of the ITS sequence of *E. abuzinadianus* revealed a high level of sequence similarity with *E. glaberrimus* DC. (section *Ritropsis*). The novel primary sequence and the secondary structure

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 11752-11762 (2015)

of ITS2 of *E. abuzinadianus* could potentially be used for molecular genotyping.

**Key words:** *Echinops abuzinadianus*; Asteraceae; Endemic species; Saudi Arabia; Internal transcribed spacer

# **INTRODUCTION**

The genus *Echinops* L. (subtribe Echinopsinae of Cynareae, family Asteraceae) consists of ca. 120 species (Bobrov, 1997; Susanna and Garcia-Jacas, 2007) that are distributed in tropical Africa, the Mediterranean basin, temperate regions of Eurasia, Central Asia, Mongolia, and north-eastern China, with most occurring in the Caucasus and the Middle East (Jäger, 1987; Sánchez-Jiménez et al., 2010). The key taxonomic characteristics of the Cynareae, such as the pappus and the type and density of the indumentum on the stems, leaves, and phyllaries, cannot easily be used to distinguish between *Echinops* species (Mozaffarian, 2006; Sánchez-Jiménez et al., 2010).

In Saudi Arabia, *Echinops* L. is represented by 10 species: *E. abuzinadianus* Chaudhary, *E. erinaceus* Kit-Tan, *E. glaberrimus* DC., *E. hystrichoides* Kit-Tan, *E. macrochaetus* Fresen, *E. mandavillei* Kit-Tan, *E. polyceras* Boiss., *E. sheilae* Kit-Tan, *E. viscosus* DC., and *E. yemenicus* Kit-Tan. Of these, *E. abuzinadianus*, *E. mandavillei*, and *E. sheilae* are endemic to Saudi Arabia (Chaudhary, 2000).

Since the first report of the utility of internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences of nuclear ribosomal DNA (nrDNA) in plants (Baldwin, 1992), the nuclear ribosomal ITS region (nrITS) has revolutionized species-level plant phylogenetics, because evolution has generally homogenized sequence variation among the numerous ribosomal DNA copies within an individual, making direct sequencing of this region possible for most systems. This, coupled with the availability of universal primers and elevated substitution rates compared to most chloroplast regions, make it accessible and appropriate for resolving interspecific phylogenetic relationships. Although reliance on nrITS as the sole source of phylogenetic evidence has come under criticism because of certain features of its evolution, it remains the most efficient locus for generating species-level phylogenetic inferences in most plant groups (Ali et al., 2013, 2014). The ITS region has been chosen previously for investigating the molecular phylogeny of Echinops (Garnatje et al., 2005; Al-Hemaid et al., 2014) and other genera of Cynareae (Susanna et al., 1999; Vilatersana et al., 2000; Hidalgo et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2005, 2007). The present study aimed to unravel the systematic inventory of Saudi Arabian Echinops, with special reference to the nrDNA ITS sequence-based molecular genotyping of the endemic species, E. abuzinadianus.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

# **Taxon sampling**

Leaf material of *E. abuzinadianus* was collected from a herbarium specimen housed at the National Herbarium and Genbank, National Agriculture and Animal Resources Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, and the taxonomic identification was confirmed after consulting the Flora of Saudi Arabia (Chaudhary, 2000). Information on taxonomic status, protolog

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 11752-11762 (2015)

(http://www.theplantlist.org/), distribution (Chaudhary, 2000), and nucleotides (http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) was also collected.

# Genomic DNA isolation, amplification and sequencing

Total genomic DNA was extracted using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). The nrDNA ITS regions were amplified using the primers ITS1 and ITS4 (White et al., 1990). A DNA amplification for 35 cycles was conducted by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The PCR products were purified using a SolGent PCR Purification Kit-Ultra (SolGent, Daejeon, South Korea). Sequencing was conducted using a BigDye<sup>®</sup> Terminator cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, USA) and an ABI 3100 Avant capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

# **Phylogenetic analyses**

The sequences were performed by BLAST in GenBank (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ Blast.cgi) and edited using the ABI Sequence Navigator (Perkin-Elmer/Applied Biosystems). Sequence alignment was performed using Clustal X, version 1.81 (Thompson et al., 1997), and subsequently manually adjusted using BioEdit (Hall, 1999).

The ITS sequences of nrDNA from 18 species of *Echinops* were retrieved from GenBank (Table 1). Two species were chosen as outgroup members [*Brachylaena discolor* DC. from the tribe Tarchonantheae Kostel and *Cardopatium corymbosum* (L.) Pers. from the subtribe Cardopatiinae Less.] according to previous study based on their morphological (Petit, 1988) and molecular characteristics (Susanna et al., 2006; Sánchez-Jiménez et al., 2010) (Table 1). Gaps were treated as missing data, and the generated sequences were submitted to GenBank. The boundaries between the ITS1-5.8S and ITS2 gene for *E. abuzinadianus* were determined according to the span referred to features of *Echinops* nrDNA ITS sequences available in GenBank. The ITS2 sequence was extracted from the complete set of the ITS sequences, and used in secondary structure prediction using tools from the ITS2 database (Koetschan et al., 2012). The aligned data matrix was exported as a nexus file and subsequently analyzed using maximum likelihood (ML) in MEGA5 (Tamura et al., 2011).

# RESULTS

# Systematic inventory

*E. abuzinadianus* Chaudhary: Taxonomic Status: Accepted name (http://www. theplantlist.org/tpl1.1/record/gcc-120839); Protolog: Fl. Kingdom Saudi Arabia 2(3): 198, 418 (2000); Distribution: Saudi Arabia; Type Information: Collector: Chaudhary, Locality: Near Abha, Collection Date: 1981-11-17; GenBank Nucleotide: No record.

*E. erinaceus* Kit-Tan: Taxonomic Status: Accepted name (http://www.theplantlist.org/tpl1.1/record/gcc-2642); Protolog: Ann. Bot. Fenn. 32(2): 124 (1995); Distribution: Oman, Saudi Arabia, and South Yemen; GenBank Nucleotide: No record.

*E. glaberrimus* DC.: Taxonomic Status: Accepted name (http://www.theplantlist.org/tpl1.1/record/gcc-4375); Protolog: Ann. Sci. Nat., Bot., ser. 2, 2: 260 (1834); Distribution:

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 11752-11762 (2015)

Saudi Arabia; GenBank Nucleotide: GU134559 (voucher W2004-13486 tRNA-Leu (trnL) gene and trnL-trnF intergenic spacer, partial sequence; chloroplast), GU116509 (isolate W2004-13486 ITS1-5.8S ribosomal RNA gene, and ITS2, complete sequence).

	Taxa	GenBank accession No.
Ingroup		
	sect. Acantholepis (Less.) Jaub. & Spach	
1	E. acantholepis Jaub. & Spach	AY8262223
	sect. Chamaechinops Bunge	
2	E. fastigiatus Kamelin & Tscherneva	GU116503
3	E. humilis M. Bieb	GU116514
4	E. integrifolius Kar. & Kir	GU116517
	sect. Echinops L.	
5	E. freitagii Rech. f.	GU116504
6	E. kotschyi Boiss.	GU116520
	sect. Hamolepis R.E. Fr.	
7	E.hoehnelii Schweinf	GU116506
	sect. Hololeuce Rech. f.	
8	E. hololeucus Rech. f.	GU116513
	sect. Nanechinops Bunge	
9	E. gmelini Turcz.	GU116510
	sect. Oligolepis Bunge	
10	E. cornigerus DC.	GU116552
11	E. echinatus Roxb.	GU116497
12	E. lipskvi Iljin	GU116523
	sect. Phaeochaete Bunge	
13	E. longifolius A. Rich	GU116524
	sect. Psectra Endl.	
14	E. strigosus L.	AY5386532
	sect. Ritropsis Greuter & Rech. f.	
15	E. dichrous Boiss, & Hausskn.	GU116495
16	E. endotrichus Rech. f.	GU116500
17	E. glaberrimus DC.	GU116509
	sect. Terma Endl.	
18	E. exaltatus Schrad.	GU116501
Outgroup		
19	Brachylaena discolor DC.	AY8262363
20	Cardonatium corvmbosum (L.) Pers	AY8262383

*E. hystrichoides* Kit-Tan: Taxonomic Status: Accepted name (http://www.theplantlist. org/tpl1.1/record/gcc-138093); Protolog: Ann. Bot. Fenn. 32(2): 124 (1995); Distribution: Saudi Arabia and North Yemen; GenBank Nucleotide: GU134570 (voucher BC-Hein3942 tRNA-Leu (trnL) gene and trnL-trnF intergenic spacer, partial sequence; chloroplast), GU116515 (isolate BC-Hein3942 ITS1-5.8S ribosomal RNA gene, and ITS2, complete sequence).

*E. macrochaetus* Fresen: Taxonomic Status: Accepted name (http://www.theplantlist. org/tpl1.1/record/gcc-129388); Protolog: Museum Senckenbergianum 3: 69 (1840); Distribution: Saudi Arabia; GenBank Nucleotide: No record.

*E. mandavillei* Kit-Tan: Taxonomic Status: Accepted name (http://www.theplantlist. org/tpl1.1/record/gcc-10419); Protolog: Ann. Bot. Fenn. 32(2): 122 (1995); Distribution: Saudi Arabia; GenBank Nucleotide: KJ187107 (ITS-1, partial sequence; 5.8S ribosomal RNA gene, complete sequence; and ITS2, partial sequence).

*E. polyceras* Boiss.: Taxonomic Status: Accepted name (http://www.theplantlist.org/tpl1.1/record/gcc-34304); Protolog: Diagn. Pl. Orient. ser. 1, 10: 85 (1849) [Mar-Apr 1849]; Distribution: Saudi Arabia; GenBank Nucleotide: No record.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 11752-11762 (2015)

#### M.A. Ali et al.

*E. sheilae* Kit-Tan: Taxonomic Status: Accepted name (http://www.theplantlist.org/tpl1.1/record/gcc-52766): Protolog: Ann. Bot. Fenn. 32(2): 118 (1995); Distribution: Saudi Arabia; GenBank Nucleotide: No record.

*E. viscosus* DC.: Taxonomic Status: Synonym of *E. sphaerocephalus* L. (http://www. theplantlist.org/tpl1.1/record/gcc-106683); Protolog: Fl. Germ. Excurs. 856: Distribution: Saudi Arabia; GenBank Nucleotide: No record.

*E. yemenicus* Kit-Tan: Taxonomic Status: Accepted name (http://www.theplantlist. org/tpl1.1/record/gcc-107827); Protolog: Ann. Bot. Fenn. 32(2): 118 (1995); Distribution: North Yemen; GenBank Nucleotide: GU134616 (voucher BC-Hein3806 tRNA-Leu (trnL) gene and trnL-trnF intergenic spacer, partial sequence; chloroplast), GU116548 (isolate BC-Hein3806 ITS1-5.8S ribosomal RNA gene, and ITS2, complete sequence).

# Sequence characteristics and phylogenetic analyses

The combined length of the ITS region (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2) in *E. abuzinadianus* was 634 bp (Figure 1). The ITS1 region was 252 bp long (GC content 55%), the 5.8S gene was 164 bp long (GC content 54%), and the ITS2 region was 219 bp long (GC content 53%). A BLAST of the ITS sequence of *E. abuzinadianus* indicated maximum identity (99%) with *E. glaberrimus* (Table 2).

31	5.85	1152
252	164	219
5	54	53
Combined length	of ITS region (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2)=	634bp
	252 5 Combined length	252 164 5 54 Combined length of ITS region (ITS1-5.85-ITS2)=

Figure 1. Sequence characteristics of *Echinops abuzinadianus*.

Taxon	Max. score	Total score	Query cover (%)	Identity (%)	Accession
Echinops glaberrimus	1129	1129	100	99	GU116509
E. spinosissimus	1122	1122	100	99	HE687348
E. yemenicus	1123	1123	100	98	GU116548
E. orientalis	1120	1120	100	98	GU116532
E. chardinii	1120	1120	100	98	GU116490
E. leucographus	1118	1118	100	98	GU116549
E. gaillardotii	1116	1116	100	98	GU116507
E. parviflorus	1114	1114	100	98	GU116533
E. nitens	1114	1114	100	98	GU116529
E. lipskyi	1110	1110	100	98	GU116523
E. viscosus	1110	1110	100	98	AY826283
E. cornigerus	1110	1110	100	98	AY538645
E. griffithianus	1109	1109	100	98	GU116512
E. polygamus	1105	1105	100	98	GU116534
E. leucographus	1105	1105	100	98	GU116522

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 11752-11762 (2015)

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

Parsimony analysis of the entire ITS region resulted in 311 maximally parsimonious trees, with a consistency index of 0.752, a homoplasy index of 0.457, and a retention index of 0.756. There were 526 positions in the final dataset, 101 of which were parsimony-informative. The phylogenetic tree provided clear resolution at the sectional level, with *E. abuzinadianus* nested within the clade of the section *Ritropsis*, which confirms the result of a previous study (Sánchez-Jiménez et al., 2010). The ML analysis results were similar, so only the ML topology is discussed hereafter (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Maximum likelihood tree inferred from analysis of sequence data of the internal transcribed spacer region of nuclear ribosomal DNA.

# Molecular typing of E. abuzinadianus

The present study revealed that there were eight nucleotide differences between *E. abuzinadianus* and *E. glaberrimus*, at alignment positions 60, 61, 66, 81, 188, 226, 441, 552, and 622 (Figure 3 and Table 3). The nrDNA ITS2 secondary structures of *E. mandavillei* and *E. glaberrimus* were constructed and compared (Figure 4A and B). The secondary structures of nrDNA ITS2 in these two species contained a central ring (primary ring) and four helices (I, II, III, and IV). The ITS2 secondary structures differed in the four helical regions between the two species in stem loop number, size, position, and screw angle. On the basis of the ITS2 secondary structure, *E. abuzinadianus* could be distinguished from allied species or other species of the genus.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 11752-11762 (2015)

M.A. Ali et al.

E_glaberrimus	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 sc
E_abuzinadianus	TCGAACCTG CACACCAGAA CGACCCGTGA ACATGTAATG ACAATCGGCA TCAGGGTGAC TAGGTGTGAG CCTGGGAGCC
Clustal Consensus	TCGAAGCCTG CACAGCAGAA CGACCCGTGA ACATGTAATG ACAATCGGCA TCAGGGTGAC TAGGTGTGAG CCTGGGAGCC
E_glaberrimus	90 100 110 120 130 140 150 16
E_abuzinadianus	REGATECTIT GITGETEGE GCACACCEGE TCACTIFICE GCCTTETEGA TETTATECCE ACACAAAAC AAACCCCEGEC
Clustal Consensus	GEGATECTIT GITGETEGE GCACACCEGE TCACTIFIE GCCTTETEGA TETTATECCE ACACAAAAC AAACCCCEGEC
E_glaberrimus	170 180 190 200 210 220 230 24
E_abuzinadianus	ACGECATEGE CCAAGGAAAA CAAAACATAA GAAGGETECA CCCETETEC TCCETCCCC GTATCECAC GGETCETEC
Clustal Consensus	ACGECATEGE CCAAGGAAAA CAAAACACAA GAAGGETECA CCCETETEC TCCETTCCCC GTATCECAC GGETCETEC
E_glaberrimus	250 260 270 280 290 300 310 32
E_abuzinadianus	CCCTTEGARA CCATARACEA CTCCTCCGA CGATACTCC GCCTACCCA TCGATGARGA ACGTAGCARA ATGCGATACT
Clustal Consensus	CCCTTEGARA CCATARACGA CTCCTCGGCAR CGGATACTC GGCTCACGCA TCGATGARGA ACGTAGCARA ATGCGATACT
E_glaberrimus	330 340 350 360 370 380 390 40
E_abuzinadianus	TEGETGEGANT TEGENERACE CEGEGANCET CEGEGETTE ALCCALET CEGECEGANE CENTCESCC GASEGEALCET
Clustal Consensus	TEGETGEGANT TECAGANTCC CETGANCENT CEGETTITTE ALCCALETT SECCOGANE CENTCESCC GASEGEALCET
E_glaberrimus E_abuzinadianus Clustal Consensus	410 420 430 440 450 460 470 48 
E_glaberrimus	490 500 510 520 530 540 550 56
E_abuzinadianus	GEOCTATEGE TERGETERAT CTARATAGEA GICCTCCTTC GETGEATEGC ACGACTAGEGE TEGETERATA ATCTCCTAT
Clustal Consensus	GETCCTATEGE TEGETEGAT CTARATAGEA GICCTCCTTC GETGEATECA CEACTAGEGE TEGETERATA AATCTCCTAT
E_glaberrimus	570 580 590 600 610 620 630
E_abuzinadianus	CGAGCCGTGT GTTGTGGGC GCAACGAGT TTCTCTTCAA AGACCCCTTA GTGTCGTCTA GTGACGATGC TTCGA
Clustal Consensus	CGAGCCGTGT GTTGTGAGCC GCAACGAGT TTCTCTTCAA AGACCCCCTTA GTGTCGTCTA GGACGATGC TTCGA

**Figure 3.** Comparison of ITS sequences of nuclear ribosomal DNA in between *Echinops glaberrimus* and *E. abuzinadianus*. Positions without asterisks in the Clustal line denotes the differences in base pairs in between two sequences.

Position in sequence alignment	Echinops glaberrimus	E. abuzinadianus
60	Т	С
66	А	G
81	R	G
188	Т	С
226	С	Т
441	Т	С
552	-	А
622	Т	С

**Table 3.** Differences in base pairs between the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences of *Echinops* glaberrimus and *E. abuzinadianus*.

A tandem repeat finder (Benson, 1999) was used to detect repeats in the ITS sequences; differences in substitution rate can discriminate functional genes from pseudogenes (Buckler and Holtsford, 1996a,b). The distribution and pattern of nucleotide substitutions in all of the sequences was investigated using Hypermut (Rose and Korber, 2000). This program was originally designed to study the sequence evolution of HIV, and identifies excessive levels of G to A mutations. It assumes that all differences arise from a single substitution, and all substitutions observed in each sequence are compared to the reference sequence, and their physical locations in the sequences are graphically illustrated (Figure 5).

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 11752-11762 (2015)

Molecular typing of *Echinops abuzinadianus* 



Figure 4. Secondary structures of the ITS2 regions of *Echinops abuzinadianus* (A) compared to *E. glaberrimus* (B).



**Figure 5.** Schematic illustration of the distribution of substitution sites across the ITS region obtained from 20 species of *Echinops*, using *Brachylaena discolor* as reference. red = GG > AG; cyan = GA > AA; green = GC > AC; magenta = GT > AT; black = not G > A transition; yellow = gap.

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 11752-11762 (2015)

M.A. Ali et al.

# DISCUSSION

Morphological identification depends on sufficient experience, and can easily be affected by geographical environment and biocoenosis (Rai et al., 2012). DNA barcode technology is widely used, because the genomic sequence is little affected by individual characteristics and developmental stages, and it is relatively a simple procedure (Liu et al., 2011); therefore, DNA barcoding is an effective supplement to traditional/classical morphological methods. Species identification using DNA barcodes has been successfully used across all plant and animal groups. Plant DNA barcoding is now changing over the essence of species identification, and consequently is contributing to the molecularization of taxonomy. DNA barcodes provide practical, standardized, species-level identification tools that can be used for biodiversity assessment, life history and ecological studies, and forensic analysis (Ali and Choudhary, 2011; Ali et al., 2014). Global DNA barcoding efforts have resulted in the formation of the Consortium for the Barcode of Life (CBOL). The Barcode of Life Database (BOLD) contains more than 2.7 million specimen records, with 2 million having barcodes of over 170,000 species (Ratnasingham and Hebert, 2007). In the present study, we used the ITS region of nuclear ribosomal DNA for the DNA barcoding of E. abuzinadianus. The primary sequences of ITS, as well as the secondary structures of ITS2, provided sufficient molecular morphological characteristics to distinguish E. abuzinadianus from other species of the genus. Recently, a number of studies have suggested that DNA secondary structures are crucial for genomic stability and cellular processes, such as transcription (Bochman et al., 2012; Salvi and Mariottini, 2012). The ITS2 region has also been confirmed as a novel barcode for identifying medicinal plant species (Chen et al., 2010; Gao et al., 2010; Yao et al., 2010; Song et al., 2012); this study has expanded the application of the secondary structure of the ITS2 region as a molecular signature for species identification. The China Plant BOL Group has proposed that ITS/ITS2 should be incorporated into the core barcode for seed plants (Li et al., 2011), and the present study has broadened the application of the ITS2 region to E. abuzinadianus in particular.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for its funding of this research through the research group project #RGP-VPP-195.

# REFERENCES

Al-Hemaid FMA, Ali MA, Lee J, Gyulai G, et al. (2014). Application of internal transcribed spacer of nuclear ribosomal DNA for identification of *Echinops mandavillei* Kit Tan. *Bangladesh J. Plant Taxon.* 21: 33-42.

Ali MA and Choudhary RK (2011). India needs more plant taxonomists. Nature 471: 37.

- Ali MA, Al-Hemaid FM, Choudhary RK, Lee J, et al. (2013). Status of *Reseda pentagyna* Abdallah & A.G. Miller (Resedaceae) inferred from combined nuclear ribosomal and chloroplast sequence data. *Bangladesh J. Plant Taxon*. 20: 233-238.
- Ali MA, Gyulai G, Hidvégi N, Kerti B, et al. (2014). The changing epitome of species identification DNA barcoding. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 21: 204-231.
- Baldwin BG (1992). Phylogenetic utility of the internal transcribed spacers of nuclear ribosomal DNA in plants: an example from the Compositae. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 1: 3-16.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 11752-11762 (2015)

Benson G (1999). Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequence. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 27: 573-580.

- Bobrov EG (1997). *Echinops* L. In: Flora of the USSR (Shishkin BK and Bobrov EG, eds.). Koeltz Scientific Books, Koenigstein, 27: 1-70.
- Bochman ML, Paeschke K and Zakian VA (2012). DNA secondary structures: stability and function of G-quadruplex structures. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 13: 770-780.

Buckler ES and Holtsford TP (1996a). Zea ribosomal repeat evolution and mutation patterns. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 13: 623-632. Buckler ES and Holtsford TP (1996b). Zea systematics: ribosomal ITS evidence. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 13: 612-622.

- Chaudhary S (2000). *Echinops*. In: Flora of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (Chaudhary S, ed.). Ministry of Agriculture and Water, National Herbarium, National Agriculture and Water Research Center, Riyadh, Vol. II (3): 194-199.
- Chen S, Yao H, Han J, Liu C, et al. (2010). Validation of the ITS2 region as a novel DNA barcode for identifying medicinal plant species. *PLoS One* 5: e8613.
- Gao T, Yao H, Song J, Liu C, et al. (2010). Identification of medicinal plants in the family Fabaceae using a potential DNA barcode ITS2. J. Ethnopharmacol. 130: 116-121.
- Garnatje T, Susanna A, Garcia-Jacas N, Vilatersana R, et al. (2005). A first approach to the molecular phylogeny of the genus *Echinops* L. (Asteraceae): Sectional delimitation and relationships with the genus *Acantholepis* Less. *Folia Geobot.* 40: 407-419.
- Hall TA (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/ NT. *Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser.* 41: 95-98.
- Hidalgo O, Garcia-Jacas N, Garnatje T, Susanna de la Serna A, et al. (2006). Phylogeny of *Rhaponticum* (Asteraceae, Cardueae-Centaureinae) and related genera inferred from nuclear and chloroplast DNA sequence data: Taxonomic and biogeographic implications. *Ann. Bot.* 97: 705-714.
- Jäger EJ (1987). Arealkarten der Asteraceen-Tribus als Grundlage der ökogeographischen Sippencharakteristik. Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 108: 481-497.
- Koetschan C, Hackl T, Müller T, Wolf M, et al. (2012). ITS2 database IV: interactive taxon sampling for internal transcribed spacer 2 based phylogenies. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 63: 585-588.
- Li DZ, Gao LM, Li HT, Wang H, et al. (2011). Comparative analysis of a large dataset indicates that internal transcribed spacer (ITS) should be incorporated into the core barcode for seed plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108: 19641-19646.
- Liu C, Liang D, Gao T, Pang X, et al. (2011). PTIGS-Idlt, a system for species identification by DNA sequences of the *psbA-trnH* intergenic spacer region. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12: S4.
- Mozaffarian V (2006). A taxonomic survey of *Echinops* L. tribe Echinopeae (Asteraceae) in Iran: 14 new species and diagnostic keys. *Iran. J. Bot.* 11: 197-239.
- Petit DP (1988). Le genre *Echinops* L. (Compositae: Cardueae). 1. Position phylétiqueetinterprétation de l'incapitulescence. *Candollea* 43: 467-481.
- Rai PS, Bellampalli R, Dobriyal RM, Agarwal A, et al. (2012). DNA barcoding of authentic and substitute samples of herb of the family Asparagaceae and Asclepiadaceae based on the ITS2 region. J. Ayurveda Integr. Med. 3: 136-140.
- Ratnasingham S and Hebert PDN (2007). BOLD: The barcode of life data system (http://www.barcodinglife.org). *Mol. Ecol. Notes* 7: 355-364.
- Rose PP and Korber BT (2000). Detecting hypermutations in viral sequences with an emphasis on G A hypermutation. *Bioinformatics* 16: 400-401.
- Salvi D and Mariottini P (2012). Molecular phylogenetics in 2D: ITS2 rRNA evolution and sequence-structure barcode from Veneridae to Bivalvia. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 65: 792-798.
- Sánchez-Jiménez I, Lazkov GA, Hidalgo O, Garnatje T, et al. (2010). Molecular systematics of *Echinops* L. (Asteraceae, Cynareae): A phylogeny based on ITS and *trnL-trnF* sequences with emphasis on sectional delimitation. *Taxon* 59: 698-708.
- Song J, Shi L, Li D, Sun Y, et al. (2012). Extensive pyrosequencing reveals frequent intra-genomic variations of internal transcribed spacer regions of nuclear ribosomal DNA. *PLoS One* 7: e43971.
- Susanna A and Garcia-Jacas N (2007). The tribe Cardueae. In: The families and genera of vascular plants. Flowering plants; Eudicots; Asterales (Kadereit JW and Jeffrey C, eds.). Springer, Heidelberg, 8: 135-158.
- Susanna A, Garnatje T and Garcia-Jacas N (1999). Molecular phylogeny of *Cheirolophus* (Asteraceae: Cardueae-Centaureinae) based on ITS sequences of nuclear ribosomal DNA. *Plant. Syst. Evol.* 214: 147-160.
- Susanna A, Garcia-Jacas N, Hidalgo O, Vilatersana R, et al. (2006). The Cardueae (Compositae) revisited: Insights from ITS, trnL-trnF, and matK nuclear and chloroplast DNA analysis. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 93: 150-171.
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, et al. (2011). MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 28: 2731-2739.
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, et al. (1997). The CLUSTAL X windows interface: flexible strategies

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 11752-11762 (2015)

#### M.A. Ali et al.

for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 25: 4876-4882.

- Vilatersana R, Susanna A, Garcia-Jacas N, Garnatje T, et al. (2000). Generic delimitation and phylogeny of the *Carduncellus-Carthanus* complex (Asteraceae) based on ITS sequences. *Plant. Syst. Evol.* 221: 89-105.
- Wang YJ, Pan JT, Liu SW, Liu JQ, et al. (2005). A new species of Saussurea (Asteraceae) from Tibet and its systematic position based on ITS sequence analysis. Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 147: 349-356.
- Wang YJ, Liu JQ and Miehe G (2007). Phylogenetic origins of the Himalayan endemic *Dolomiaea*, *Diplazoptilon* and *Xanthopappus* (Asteraceae: Cardueae) based on three DNA regions. *Ann. Bot.* 99: 311-322.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S and Taylor J (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: PCR protocols: a guide to method and amplifications (Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninksky JJ and White TJ, eds.). Academic Press, San Diego, 315-322.
- Yao H, Song J, Liu C, Luo K, et al. (2010). Use of ITS2 region as the universal DNA barcode for plants and animals. *PLoS One* 5: e13102.