

Keratins 17 and 19 expression as prognostic markers in oral squamous cell carcinoma

B.A. Coelho¹, G.T. Peterle², M. Santos³, L.P. Agostini², L.L. Maia², E. Stur²,
C.V.M. Silva², S.O. Mendes², C.C.J. Almança², F.V. Freitas², A.R. Borçoi²,
A.B. Archanjo², A.M.C. Mercante¹, F.D. Nunes⁴, M.B. Carvalho¹, E.H. Tajara⁵,
I.D. Louro² and A.M.A Silva-Conforti^{2,6}

¹Laboratório de Biologia Molecular, Hospital Heliópolis, São Paulo, SP, Brasil ²Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biotecnologia, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Vitória, ES, Brasil ³Escola Multicampi de Ciências Médicas do Rio Grande do Norte, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Caicó, RN, Brasil ⁴Departamento de Patologia Bucal, Faculdade de Odontologia, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brasil ⁵Departamento de Biologia Molecular, Faculdade de Medicina, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brasil ⁶Departamento de Biologia, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Alegre, ES, Brasil

Corresponding author: A.M.A. da Silva-Conforti E-mail: adriana.biomol@gmail.com

Genet. Mol. Res. 14 (4): 15123-15132 (2015) Received May 27, 2015 Accepted September 2, 2015 Published November 24, 2015 DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2015.November.24.21

ABSTRACT. Five-year survival rates for oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) are 30% and the mortality rate is 50%. Immunohistochemistry panels are used to evaluate proliferation, vascularization, apoptosis, HPV infection, and keratin expression, which are important markers of malignant progression. Keratins are a family of intermediate filaments predominantly expressed in epithelial cells and have an essential role in mechanical support and cytoskeleton formation, which is essential for the structural integrity and stability of the cell. In this study, we analyzed the

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 15123-15132 (2015) ©FL

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

expressions of keratins 17 and 19 (K17 and K19) by immunohistochemistry in tumoral and non-tumoral tissues from patients with OSCC. The results show that expression of these keratins is higher in tumor tissues compared to non-tumor tissues. Positive K17 expression correlates with lymph node metastasis and multivariate analysis confirmed this relationship, revealing a 6-fold increase in lymph node metastasis when K17 is expressed. We observed a correlation between K17 expression with disease-free survival and disease-specific death in patients who received surgery and radiotherapy. Multivariate analysis revealed that low expression of K17 was an independent marker for early disease relapse and disease-specific death in patients treated with surgery and radiotherapy, with an approximately 4-fold increased risk when compared to high K17 expression. Our results suggest a potential role for K17 and K19 expression profiles as tumor prognostic markers in OSCC patients.

Key words: Keratin 17; Keratin 19; Oral squamous cell carcinoma; Prognostic marker

INTRODUCTION

Head and neck cancer (HNC) is the sixth most common type of cancer worldwide and is associated with high mortality and morbidity rates. The latest world estimation showed an annual incidence of 600,000 new cases and 300,000 deaths due to this disease. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) shows a 5-year survival rate of 30% and a 50% mortality rate (Saman, 2012). The most important prognostic factor for HNC is the presence of lymph node metastasis, which results in a 50% reduction in survival rates. Primary etiological factors for HNSCC are alcohol and tobacco consumption (Ferlay et al., 2010). Currently, there is a need for the identification of biomarkers of HNC so that clinicians can prescribe the best treatment options. Although several molecular changes in HNC have been described in the literature, immunohistochemistry (IHC) is currently the only technique in use to choose treatment alternatives (Pradal et al., 1999). IHC panels are used to evaluate proliferation (Ki-67), vascularization (CD34), p53, and bcl-2 expression (Spafford et al., 1996), and human papillomavirus (HPV) infection (de Souza et al., 2013). Recently, keratin expression has also been used to evaluate malignant progression (Polachini et al., 2012).

Keratins (K) are a family of intermediate filament proteins predominantly expressed in epithelial cells and have a basic structural function in forming the cytoskeleton, which is essential for cell structure integrity and stability (Flitney et al., 2009). Keratins are also involved in protein synthesis and epithelial cell growth (Kim et al., 2006), signaling (Alam et al., 2011), organelle transport (Planko et al., 2007), and cell mobility and proliferation (Chung et al., 2012). There are 54 genes that encode type I (acidic) and type II (basic) keratins, which form heterodimers and show tissue-specific expression (Flitney et al., 2009). Keratins 17 and 19 (K17 and K19) are type I keratins and their varying expression patterns have been implicated in cancer. Prognostic associations between K17 and K19 have been made for gastric adenocarcinoma, breast cancer, intraepithelial cervical cancer, ovarian cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and lung, larynx, thyroid, and oral cancer (Cohen-Kerem et al., 2004; Ikeda et al., 2008; Safadi et al., 2010; Ide et al., 2012; Kitamura et al., 2012; Alshareeda et al., 2013; Bugalho et al., 2013; Kaczka et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013; Wang et

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 15123-15132 (2015)

al., 2013). In the present study, we evaluated the differential expression of K17 and K19 in tumoral and non-tumoral tissue. In addition, we have examined the correlation between K17 and K19 protein expression with clinicopathological features and prognosis of patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patient samples

Samples were collected by the Head and Neck Genome Project (GENCAPO), a collaborative consortium created in 2002 by more than 50 researchers in Brazil. In this study, 67 tumoral tissue samples and 67 non-tumoral surgical margin tissues were obtained and used for immunohistochemical analysis of K17 and K19. These patients were surgically treated at the Head and Neck Surgery Department of the Heliópolis Hospital (São Paulo, Brazil) during the period January 2002 to December 2008. The clinical follow-up was at least 24 months after surgery. Previous surgical or chemotherapy treatment, distant metastasis, no removal of cervical lymph nodes, and positive surgical margins were criteria for exclusion. Histopathological slides were reviewed by a senior pathologist to confirm the diagnosis and select appropriate areas for immunohistochemical analysis. Tumors were classified according to the TNM system (Sobin et al., 2009). Among the analyzed individuals, the mean age was 55.0 years (degree of freedom was ± 10.8) and consisted of 57 men (85.1%) and 10 women (14.9%). This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Heliopolis Hospital (Brazil) on December 14, 2007 (CEP #446) and informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Tissue microarray

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections of 67 primary OSCCs treated at the Head and Neck Surgery Department of Heliópolis Hospital (São Paulo, Brazil) were used for IHC analysis. Histological characterization of all samples was done by hematoxylin and eosin staining, followed by IHC analysis of tissue microarrays (TMA). Two 1mm cylinders were used to represent each sample in the TMA slide (Beecher Instruments, Silver Spring, MD, USA).

Immunohistochemistry

Anti-K17 monoclonal antibody and anti-K19 monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA) were used in the IHC reaction, at a 1:40 and 1:100 dilution, respectively (Rimm et al., 2001; Hsu et al., 2002). Positive and negative controls were used. Sample scoring was performed by semi-quantitative microscopic analysis, considering the number of stained cells and signal intensity. Two spots were evaluated for each sample and a mean score was calculated. Considering the percentage of immune-positive tumor cells, a score of 1 was given when $\leq 10\%$ of cells were positive, 2 when 10-50% of cells were positive, and 3 when $\geq 50\%$ of cells were positive. Signal intensity was scored as negative (0), weak (1), moderate (2) or strong (3). Both scores were multiplied (Soini et al., 2000; Campos et al., 2009) and the resulting score was used to categorize K17 and K19 expression as negative (<3), positive weak (3-6) or positive strong (>6).

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 15123-15132 (2015)

Statistical analysis

The chi square and Fisher exact tests were used for association analysis and confirmation was obtained by the Lilliefors test (significance considered when P < 0.05). Multivariate logistic regression was used to obtain the odds ratio (OR) and confidence intervals (CI 95%). Survival was calculated by the number of months between surgery and death for each patient or the last follow-up appointment if the patient was alive. In order to calculate disease-free survival, the endpoint time was the date of disease relapse. The Kaplan-Meier model was used for survival analysis, using the Wilcoxon P value and the Cox Proportional Hazards to adjust P values and obtain hazard ratios (HR). Statistical calculations were performed using the Epi Info v3.4.3, 2007 and Statsoft Statistica v7.0.61.0 software.

RESULTS

Positive K17 expression

Positive K17 expression was examined in 67 tumors, of which 53 were positive (79.1%) and 14 were negative (20.9%). In non-tumoral surgical margin tissues, K17 expression was positive in 4 (6.0%) and negative in 63 (94.0%) of the samples analyzed. The K17 expression was different between tumoral and non-tumoral samples (P < 0.001; Table 1). Positive K17 expression did not significantly correlate with tumor characteristics such as size (P = 0.670) or differentiation grade (P = 0.075), but positive expression was significantly associated with lymph node metastasis (P = 0.004; Table 2). Multivariate analysis showed that positive K17 expression was an independent marker for lymph node metastasis (OR = 6.46, CI = 1.45-28.86; Table 3). Positive K17 expression was not significantly associated with disease relapse or disease-specific death (P = 0.381 and P = 0.156, respectively; Table 2). K17 expression also did not correlate with the prevalence of disease-free or disease-specific survival (P = 0.984 and P = 0.755, respectively).

Table 1. Analysis of keratins 17 and 19 expression between tumor and non-tumor tissues.									
Expression		Tissue analyzed							
	No	n-tumor	Tu						
	N	(%)	N	(%)					
Keratin 17									
Negative	63	(94.0)	14	(20.9)	< 0.001				
Positive	4	(6.0)	53	(79.1)					
Keratin 19									
Negative	67	(100.0)	59	(88.1)	0.004				
Positive	0	(0.0)	8	(11.9)					
Total	67	(100.0)	67	(100.0)					

K17 expression levels

The K17 expression level was not associated with tumor size (P = 0.871), lymph node metastasis (P = 0.784), or differentiation grade (P = 0.191). Disease relapse and disease-specific death did not correlate with K17 expression level (P = 0.261 and P = 0.201, respectively; Table 2). The expression level of K17 also did not correlate with disease-free and disease-specific survival (P = 0.110 and P = 0.101, respectively; Figure 1A and B). However, when cases were stratified by

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 15123-15132 (2015)

Table 2. Epidemiological, clinical, and pathological tumor features and their association with K17 and K19 expressions.

Clinical and pathological features	Tota	I	Keratin 17 expression								Keratin 19 expression						
			Ne	gative	Po	sitive	Р	L	.ow	ŀ	ligh	Р	Ne	gative	Pc	sitive	Р
	Ν	(%)	Ν	(%)	Ν	(%)		Ν	(%)	Ν	(%)		Ν	(%)	Ν	(%)	
Tumor size (T) ^a																	
T1+T2	28	(41.8)	7	(50.0)	21	(39.6)	0.670	8	(44.4)	13	(37.1)	0.871	24	(40.7)	4	(50.0)	0.830
Т3	13	(19.4)	3	(21.4)	10	(18.9)		3	(16.7)	7	(20.0)		12	(20.3)	1	(12.5)	
T4	26	(38.8)	4	(28.6)	22	(41.5)		7	(38.9)	15	(42.9)		23	(39.0)	3	(37.5)	
Lymph node metastasis (N) ^b																	
Negative	30	(44.8)	11	(78.6)	19	(35.8)	0.004	6	(33.3)	13	(37.1)	0.784	26	(44.1)	4	(50.0)	0.520
Positive	37	(55.2)	3	(21.4)	34	(64.2)		12	(66.7)	22	(62.9)		33	(55.9)	4	(50.0)	
Differentiation																	
Well	30	(44.8)	6	(42.9)	24	(45.3)	0.075	11	(61.1)	13	(37.1)	0.191	27	(45.8)	3	(37.5)	0.808
Moderate	32	(47.8)	5	(35.7)	27	(50.9)		7	(38.9)	20	(57.1)		28	(47.5)	4	(50.0)	
Poor	5	(7.5)	3	(21.4)	2	(3.8)		0	(0.0)	2	(5.7)		4	(6.8)	1	(12.5)	
Disease Relapse																	
No	28	(41.8)	7	(50.0)	21	(39.6)	0.381	5	(27.8)	16	(45.7)	0.261	24	(40.7)	4	(50.0)	0.478
Yes	37	(55.2)	6	(42.9)	31	(58.5)		12	(66.7)	19	(54.3)		33	(55.9)	4	(50.0)	
Not available*	2	(3.0)	1	(7.1)	1	(1.9)		1	(5.6)	0	(0.0)		2	(3.4)	0	(0.0)	
Disease-Specific Death																	
No	27	(40.3)	3	(21.4)	24	(45.3)	0.156	10	(55.6)	14	(40.0)	0.201	25	(42.4)	2	(25.0)	0.256
Yes	37	(55.2)	9	(64.3)	28	(52.8)		7	(38.9)	21	(60.0)		31	(52.5)	6	(75.0)	
Not available	3	(4.5)	2	(14.3)	1	(1.9)		1	(5.6)	0	(0.0)		3	(5.1)	0	(0.0)	
Total	67	(100.0)	14	(20.9)	53	(79.1)		18	(26.9)	35	(52.2)		59	(88.1)	8	(11.9)	

a.bTNM classification 7th edition (UICC, 2009). *Not available (not considered in statistical calculations).

 Table 3. Multivariate analysis of the relationship between clinical and pathological features and K17 expression with lymphnode metastasis.

Clinical and pathological features	Logistic regression Lymph node metastasis (N) ⁶				
	OR (95%CI) ^c	Pd			
Keratin 17 expression					
Negative	1				
Positive	6.46 (1.45-28.86)	0.015			
Tumor size (T) ^a					
T1+T2	1				
Т3	0.76 (0.18-3.15)	0.701			
Τ4	4.66 (1.30-16.77)	0.019			
Lymphatic invasion					
Absent	1				
Present	1.62 (0.49-5.41)	0.433			

OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval. ^{a,b}TNM classification 7th edition (UICC, 2009). ^{c,d}Values adjusted by multivariate logistic regression.

the treatment modality, the level of K17 expression did show significant correlation with diseasefree survival and disease-specific death of patients who received surgery and radiation therapy (P = 0.003 and P = 0.002, respectively; Figure 2A and B). This correlation was not seen in patients that only received surgery (P = 0.775 and P = 0.648, respectively; Figure 2C and D). According to a 24 month post-surgical follow-up, approximately 90% of patients who received surgery and radiotherapy accompanied bylow expression of K19 experienced disease relapse, as compared to approximately 30% of disease recurrence in patients treated identically with high expression of K17 (Figure 2A). Additionally, according to a 36 month post-surgical follow-up, approximately 90% of patients who received surgery and radiotherapy with low K17 expression died of cancer, as

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 15123-15132 (2015)

compared to 30% of patients who received the same treatment with high expression of K17 (Figure 2B). Multivariate analysis revealed that low expression of K17 was an independent marker for early disease relapse and disease-specific death in patients treated with surgery and radiotherapy, with an approximately 4-fold increased risk when compared to high K17expression (HR = 4.11, Cl = 1.17-14.42 and HR = 4.75, Cl = 1.33-16.91, respectively; Table 4).



Figure 1. Cumulative survival of patients according to K17 expression level. Kaplan-Meier curves are shown for (A) disease-free survival and (B) disease-specific survival according to low (solid lines) or high (dashed lines) K17 expression levels.



Figure 2. Cumulative survival of patients treated with surgery and/or radiotherapy according to K17 expression level. Kaplan-Meier curves are shown for (**A**) disease-free survival and (**B**) disease-specific survival in patients treated with surgery and radiotherapy according to low (solid lines) or high (dashed lines) K17 expression levels. Kaplan-Meier curves are shown for (**C**) disease-free survival and (**D**) disease-specific survival in patients treated only with surgery according to low (solid lines) K17 expression levels. Kaplan-Meier curves are shown for (**C**) disease-free survival and (**D**) disease-specific survival in patients treated only with surgery according to low (solid lines) or high (dashed lines) K17 expression levels.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 15123-15132 (2015)

[©]FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

Table 4. Multivariate analysis of the relationship between clinical and pathological features and K17 expression with survival of patients treated with surgery and radiotherapy.

Clinical and pathological features	Cox proportional								
	Disease-free sur	vival	Disease-specific survival						
	HR (95%CI) ^c	P ^d	HR (95%CI)°	P ^d					
Keratin 17 expression									
Positive high	1		1						
Positive low	4.11 (1.17-14.42)	0.027	4.75 (1.33-16.91)	0.016					
Tumor size (T)ª									
T1+T2	1		1						
ТЗ	1.58 (0.29-8.50)	0.594	1.40 (0.26-7.47)	0.693					
T4	1.27 (0.37-4.38)	0.708	0.98 (0.28-3.46)	0.979					
Lymph node metastasis (N) ^b									
Absent	1		1						
Present	Undefined	0.987	Undefined	0.987					

HR = Hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval. ^{a,b}TNM classification 7th edition (UICC, 2009). ^{c,d}Values adjusted by Cox proportional Hazard.

K19 expression

In terms of K19 expression, 8 (11.9%) tumors showed positive expression, 59 (88.1%) showed negative expression, and all non-tumoral tissue samples were negative for expression. K19 expression was significantly different between tumoral and non-tumoral samples (P = 0.004; Table 1), and was not related to tumor size (P = 0.830), lymph node metastasis (P = 0.520) or differentiation grade (P = 0.808, Table 2). K19 expression was not significantly associated with disease relapse or disease-specific death (P = 0.478 and P = 0.256, respectively; Table 2) nor did it correlate with disease-free or disease-specific survival (P = 0.681 and P = 0.541, respectively; data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In this study, keratins 17 and 19 expression was analyzed by IHC in tumoral and nontumoral surgical margins from OSCC patients. Our results show that these keratins are highly expressed in tumoral tissue compared to non-tumoral surgical margins. Other studies have shown the presence of K17 in gastric adenocarcinoma, Ewing sarcoma, OSCC, and ovary, breast, and cervical cancers (Maddox et al., 1999; Ide et al., 2012; Kitamura et al., 2012; Alshareeda et al., 2013; Sankar et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013). K19 expression was shown in liver, breast, lung, ovary, thyroid and oral cancers (Safadi et al., 2010; Isic Dencic et al., 2013; Kong et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013; Park et al., 2013). In a study with 56 OSCC samples and normal mucosal controls, 31 keratins were analyzed by high-density oligonucleotide microarrays (Toyoshima et al., 2008). In this study, increased expression of keratins 17, 19 and 20 was reported in tumor samples. Confirmation of these results was obtained by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) (Toyoshima et al., 2009). Higher expression of K17 has also been reported in patients with stage I and II OSCC and in well-differentiated oral cavity carcinoma (Toyoshima et al., 2009; Kitamura et al., 2012).

In the present study, K17 immunopositive expression was associated with the presence of lymph node metastasis. Multivariate analysis confirmed this relationship showing a correlation of K17 expression in OSCC and an augmented risk of lymph node metastasis by approximately

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 15123-15132 (2015)

6-fold. Corroborating our result, expression analysis has previously shown a relationship between K17 and lymph node metastasis in OSCC by RT-qPCR (Toyoshima et al., 2008; Toyoshima et al., 2009) and in gastric cancer by IHC (Ide et al., 2012). We have also found that low K17 expression is associated with worse prognosis in patients who received surgery and radiotherapy, which was confirmed by multivariate analysis (increased disease-related death by approximately 4-fold).

Keratin filaments are essential intracellular components that modulate intracellular signaling involved in cell growth and angiogenesis (Xu et al., 2009; Chung et al., 2012). Fibroblast and endothelial cell culture show that some keratins also have non-structural roles in intracellular signaling and vascular growth through angiogenesis (Katagata et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2009). Therefore, it may be possible that a worse radiotherapy response in patients with low K17 expression is due to less oxygen permeating tumor cells and consequently a lower production of oxygen reactive species (ROS), which are necessary for lethal damage after radiotherapy (Karar and Maity, 2009). It has been well-established that hypoxic cells require 2.5- to 3-fold higher doses of radiotherapy to achieve the same apoptotic levels of normoxic cells (Salnikow and Zhitkovich, 2008).

Several cytoskeletal proteins have functions in organelle mobility and specific nuclear events such as transcription, DNA repair and nuclear body formation (Kumeta et al., 2012). Biochemical properties (e.g. solubility) of nuclear keratins are different fromcytoplasmic variants, which may be related to their enzymatic roles (Kumeta et al., 2013). For example,K17 plays a role in Akt/mTOR signaling and cell growth (Kim et al., 2006), which may grant tumor cells higher organellar mobility and favor tumor growth and progression.

In conclusion, we have shown that K17 and K19 are more highly expressed in OSCC tumor tissues in comparison with non-tumoral surgical margins. K17 expression is associated with positive lymph node metastasis and disease-specific survival in patients who received surgery and radiotherapy. Moreover, it may be of particular clinical importance to investigate keratin expression in tumor vasculature and progenitor endothelial cells in an attempt to discover new targets for anti-tumoral drugs.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the GENCAPO (Head and Neck Genome Project - http://www. gencapo.famerp.br/) team for the invaluable discussions that motivated the present study. The authors acknowledge financial support from Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Espírito Santo (FAPES) and research fellowships from Conselho Nacional de Pesquisas (CNPq) and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES).

REFERENCES

Alam H, Kundu ST, Dalal SN and Vaidya MM (2011). Loss of keratins 8 and 18 leads to alterations in α6β4-integrin-mediated signalling and decreased neoplastic progression in an oral-tumour-derived cell line. *J. Cell Sci.* 124: 2096-2106.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 15123-15132 (2015)

Alshareeda AT, Soria D, Garibaldi JM, Rakha E, et al. (2013). Characteristics of basal cytokeratin expression in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 139: 23-37.

- Bugalho A, Martins C, Dias SS, Nunes G, et al. (2013). Cytokeratin 19, carcinoembryonic antigen and epithelial cell adhesion molecule detect lung cancer lymph node metastasis in endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial aspiration samples. *Clin. Lung Cancer* 14: 704-712.
- Campos AH, Aldred VL, Ribeiro KC, Vassallo J, et al. (2009). Role of immunoexpression of nitric oxide synthases by Hodgkin and Reed-Sternberg cells on apoptosis deregulation and on clinical outcome of classical Hodgkin lymphoma. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 321: 95-102.
- Chung BM, Murray CI, Van Eyk JE and Coulombe PA (2012). Identification of novel interaction between annexin A2 and keratin 17: evidence for reciprocal regulation. J. Biol. Chem. 287: 7573-7581.
- Cohen-Kerem R, Madah W, Sabo E, Rehat MA, et al. (2004). Cytokeratin 17 as a potential marker for squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx. *Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol.* 113:821-827.
- de Souza DL, Curado MP, Bernal MM, Jerez-Roigd J, et al. (2013). Mortality trends and prediction of HPV-related cancers in Brazil. *Eur. J. Cancer Prev.* 22: 380-387.
- Ferlay JSH, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, et al. (2008). GLOBOCAN 2008, cancer incidence and mortality worldwide. IARC CancerBase No. 10, International Agency for Research on Cancer. Available at [http://globocan.iarc.fr]. Accessed February 20, 2015.
- Flitney EW, Kuczmarski ER, Adam SA and Goldman RD (2009). Insights into the mechanical properties of epithelial cells: the effects of shear stress on the assembly and remodeling of keratin intermediate filaments. *FASEB J.* 23: 2110-2119.
- Hsu FD, Nielsen TO, Alkushi A, Dupuis B, et al. (2002). Tissue microarrays are an effective quality assurance tool for diagnostic immunohistochemistry. *Mod. Pathol.* 15: 1374-1380.
- Ide M, Kato T, Ogata K, Mochiki E, et al. (2012). Keratin 17 expression correlates with tumor progression and poor prognosis in gastric adenocarcinoma. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 19: 3506-3514.
- Ikeda K, Tate G, Susuki T and Mitsuya T (2008). Coordinate expression of cytokeratin 8 and cytokeratin 17 immunohistochemical staining in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and cervical squamous cell carcinoma: an immunohistochemical analysis and review of the literature. *Gynecol. Oncol.* 108: 598-602.
- Isic Dencic T, Cvejic D, Paunovic I, Tatic S, et al. (2013). Cytokeratin19 expression discriminates papillary thyroid carcinoma from other thyroid lesions and predicts its aggressive behavior. *Med. Oncol.* 30: 1-9.
- Kaczka K, Fendler W, Borowiec M, Mlynarski W, et al. (2013). Lymph node metastases in papillary thyroid cancer detected by guantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction for thyroglobulin and cytokeratin 19. *Pol. J. Pathol.* 2: 90-95.
- Karar J and Maity A (2009). Modulating the tumor microenvironment to increase radiation responsiveness. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 8: 1994-2001.
- Katagata Y, Takeda H, Ishizawa T, Hozumi Y, et al. (2002). Occurrence and comparison of the expressed keratins in cultured human fibroblasts, endothelial cells and their sarcomas. J. Dermatol. Sci. 30: 1-9.
- Kim S, Wong P and Coulombe PA (2006). A keratin cytoskeletal protein regulates protein synthesis and epithelial cell growth. *Nature* 441: 362-365.
- Kitamura R, Toyoshima T, Tanaka H, Kawano S, et al. (2012). Association of cytokeratin 17 expression with differentiation in oral squamous cell carcinoma. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 138: 1299-1310.
- Kong Y, Wang J, Liu W, Chen Q, et al. (2013). Cytokeratin19-2g2, a novel fragment of cytokeratin19 in serum, indicating a more invasive behavior and worse prognosis in breast cancer patients. *PLoS One* 8: e57092.
- Kumeta M, Yoshimura SH, Hejna J and Takeyasu K (2012). Nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of cytoskeletal proteins: molecular mechanismand biological significance. Int. J. Cell Biol. 2012: 494902.
- Kumeta M, Hirai Y, Yoshimuraa SH, Horigomeb T, et al. (2013). Antibody-based analysis reveals "filamentous vs nonfilamentous" and "cytoplasmic vs nuclear" crosstalk of cytoskeletal proteins. *Exp. Cell Res.* 319: 3226-3237.
- Lee CW, Kuo WL, Yu MC, Chen TC, et al. (2013). The expression of cytokeratin 19 in lymph nodes was a poor prognostic factor for hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatic resection. *World J. Surg. Oncol.* 11: 1-11.
- Liu M, Mor G, Cheng H, Xiang X, et al. (2013). High frequency of putative ovarian cancer stem cells with CD44/CK19 coexpression is associated with decreased progression-free intervals in patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer. *Reprod. Sci.* 20: 605-615.
- Maddox P, Sasieni P, Szarewski A, Anderson M, et al. (1999). Differential expression of keratins 10, 17, and 19 in normal cervical epithelium, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia, and cervical carcinoma. J. Clin. Pathol. 52: 41-46.
- Park SY, Lee JG, Kimb J, Park Y, et al. (2013). Preoperative serum CYFRA 21-1 level as a prognostic factor in surgically treated adenocarcinoma of lung. *Lung Cancer* 79: 156-160.
- Planko L, Böhse K, Höhfeld J, Betz RC, et al. (2007). Identification of a keratin-associated protein with a putative role in vesicle transport. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* 86: 827-839.

Polachini GM, Sobral LM, Mercante AMC, Paes-Leme AF, et al. (2012). Proteomic approaches identify members of cofilin pathway involved in oral tumorigenesis. *PLoS One* 7: e50517.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 15123-15132 (2015)

- Pradal M, Menezes AM, Di Dio R and Barbério JC (1999). Marcadores tumorais. Breve revisão. Parte II. Rev. Soc. Bras. Cancerol. 5: 20-30.
- Rimm DL, Camp RL, Charette LA, Olsen DA, et al. (2001). Amplification of tissue by construction of tissue microarrays. *Exp. Mol. Pathol.* 70: 255-264.
- Safadi RA, Musleh AS, Al-Khateeb TH and Hamasha AA (2010). Analysis of immunohistochemical expression of K19 in oral epithelial dysplasia and oral squamous cell carcinoma using color deconvolution-image analysis method. *Head Neck Pathol.* 4: 282-289.
- Salnikow K and Zhitkovich A (2008). Genetic and epigenetic mechanisms in metal carcinogenesis and cocarcinogenesis nickel, arsenic and chromium. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 21: 28-44.
- Saman D (2012). A review of the epidemiology of oral and pharyngeal carcinoma: update. Head Neck Oncol. 4: 1-7.
- Sankar S, Tanner JM, Bell R, Chaturvedi A, et al. (2013). A novel role for keratin 17 in coordinating oncogenic transformation and cellular adhesion in Ewing Sarcoma. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 33: 4448-4460.
- Sobin LH, Gospodarowicz MK and Wittekind C (2009). TNM: Classification of Malignant Tumors. 7th edn. UICC, Wiley-Blackwell.
- Soini Y, Kahlos K, Puhakka A, Lakari E, et al. (2000). Expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase in healthy pleura and in malignant mesothelioma. *Br. J. Cancer* 3: 880-886.
- Spafford MF, Koeppe J, Pan Z, Archer PG, et al. (1996). Correlation of tumor markers p53, bcl-2, CD34, CD44H, CD44v6 and Ki-67 with survival and metastasis in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 122: 627-632.
- Toyoshima T, Vairaktaris E, Nkenke E, Schlegel KA, et al. (2008). Cytokeratin 17 mRNA expression has potential for diagnostic marker of oral squamous cell carcinoma. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 134: 515-521.
- Toyoshima T, Koch F, Kaemmerer P, Vairaktaris E, et al. (2009). Expression of cytokeratin 17 mRNA in oral squamous cell carcinoma cells obtained by brush biopsy: preliminary results. *J. Oral Pathol. Med.* 38: 530-534.
- Wang YF, Lang HY, Yuan J, Wang J, et al. (2013). Overexpression of keratin 17 is associated with poor prognosis in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Tumor Biol.* 34: 1685-1689.
- Xu Y, Zhang SZ, Huang CH, Liu XY, et al. (2009). Keratin 17 identified by proteomic analysis may be involved in tumor angiogenesis. *BMB Rep.* 42: 344-349.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 15123-15132 (2015)