

# Investigation of genes in chronic and acute morphine-treated mice using microarray datasets

L. Ding<sup>1</sup>, J.L. Zhang<sup>2</sup>, S.H. Yu<sup>1</sup> and L.F. Sheng<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, The People's Hospital of Yinzhou, Ningbo City, Zhejiang Province, China <sup>2</sup>Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, The People's Hospital of Yinzhou, Ningbo City, Zhejiang Province, China

Corresponding authors: S.H. Yu / L.F. Sheng E-mail: shenghuiyu@yeah.net / liufangshengmed@yeah.net

Genet. Mol. Res. 14 (3): 10193-10205 (2015) Received January 7, 2015 Accepted June 22, 2015 Published August 28, 2015 DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2015.August.28.3

**ABSTRACT.** Morphine is a psychoactive medication that is used as a standard analgesic treatment to relieve pain in clinics. Many patients rely on chronic or acute treatment of morphine to treat pain. However, morphine is a narcotic and has a reverse effect when inappropriately used. Therefore, it is necessary to study chronic and acute morphine treatment to improve pain relief. In this study, differentially expressed genes of acute and chronic morphine-treated mice were identified using Array Express datasets. The genes that were associated with these two types of morphine treatment are discussed. A co-expression network was constructed, and the hub genes were identified. Gene ontology enrichment analysis and pathway analysis were performed using the Gene Ontology website and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes, respectively. Our study revealed genes that are associated with acute and chronic morphine treatment. Therefore, this study is

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 10193-10205 (2015)

# L. Ding et al.

potentially useful for improving pain relief of patients by acute and chronic morphine treatment.

**Key words:** Chronic morphine treatment; Acute morphine treatment; Gene

# **INTRODUCTION**

Morphine is an important psychoactive chemical in opium and is used to relieve intense pain and suffering in clinical patients. It has been considered a benchmark of analgesics for its direct action on the central nervous system. Thus, many patients experiencing pain depend on chronic morphine treatment for pain relief. However, when inappropriately used, morphine has a reverse effect and causes rapidly worsening pain (Fenu et al., 2014). In recent years, many researchers have studied the influence of morphine on human biological processes. Quanhong et al. (2012) provided a novel adjuvant for morphine treatment (acute and chronic) via investigating PLCb3 factor administration in the morphine tolerance signal pathway Belkaï et al. (2013) used acute morphine treatment to study the effect of acute methadone and buprenorphine treatment on pain management and opioid addiction at the gene level. Chen et al. (2014) found that, after chronic morphine treatment, the expression of spinal G protein was upregulated. Additionally, this effect was attenuated by knockdown of the spinal mGlu5 receptor with antisense oligonucleotides. Joshi et al. (2014) evaluated the influence of morphine treatment on elevated plus maze test parameters, oxidative stress markers, and Hsp70 expression in normal and stressed rats. Consequently, morphine was shown to differentially affect acute and chronic stress-induced changes in anxiety-related behaviors and complex interactions between oxidative stress markers and Hsp 70 expression. Umathe et al. (2012) studied the differential effect of acute and chronic morphine treatment with regard to withdrawal on obsessive-compulsive behavior. The results showed that marble burying behavior was reduced after acute morphine treatment, whereas the increase of such behaviors was shown to be closely related to the withdrawal of chronic morphine treatment (Joshi et al., 2014). Although numerous studies exist on the association of acute and chronic morphine treatment with human biological processes, research on the relationship of these two basic treatment methods is scarce. In the current study, our aim was to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) under acute and chronic morphine treatment. We found potential functionally defined classification genes that are related to both treatments and might provide insight into how acute and chronic morphine treatment affects biological processes. We identified differential expression profiles of acute and chronic morphine treatment using the Linear Models for Microarray Data (LIMMA) Package (Smyth, 2004) in a bioconductor. Then, the differentially co-expressed genes (DCGs) were identified, and corresponding co-expression networks were constructed. After investigating the centrality characteristics of the constructed co-expression networks, functional enrichment was performed using gene ontology (GO) (Deng and Huang, 2014) and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (Kanehisa et al., 2014). This research might provide a promising foundation for determining the effect of acute and chronic morphine treatment at the gene level.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

# **Preprocess of microarray datasets**

A microarray dataset was extracted based on the transcription profile of E-GEOD-7762

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 10193-10205 (2015)

(Korostynski et al., 2007), which was obtained from Array Express. This publicly available microarray dataset was used to evaluate DEGs between 12 subjects who were acutely treated with morphine, 12 subjects who were chronically treated with morphine, and 12 normal control subjects. The dataset was processed on a Mice430\_2 platform.

For data preprocess, the probe-level data were converted into expression measures. After obtaining 45,101 genes from a dataset that was read by the Affy package, the robust multiarray average method (Ma et al., 2006) and a quartile-based algorithm (Rifai and Rid-ker, 2001) were used to correct background and normalize the quartile data. Additionally, the MAS5 algorithm (Pepper et al., 2007), for which the value was selected via the median method, was applied to determine perfect match and mismatch values (Lacher et al., 2014). Gene screening was then carried out based on the nsFilter method of the Gene Filter package. Genes with inter-quartile ranges (Martin, 2004) greater than 0.5 were discarded. The platform annotation files that were provided by Affymetrix Company were used to map the relationship between the probes and gene symbols. A probe with no corresponding gene symbol would be filtered, and the most significant differentially expressed probe-set that was analyzed by the maximum-based method was retained if multiple probe-sets were associated with one gene. Finally, 10,313 probe genes were obtained.

#### **Identification of DEGs**

The DEGs were identified using the freely available R platform (http://cran.r-project. org/). The data were further screened by the topTable method (Smyth, 2004) in the LIMMA package, which is a Bayes method available through Bioconductor (http://www.Bioconductor. org/) (Gentleman et al., 2004). The maximum number of genes were set as 10,313, and P value was adjusted to q value using the false discovery rate method (Martin, 2014). Only the genes that met our criteria (logFC > 2 and P < 0.05) were selected as DEGs in this study.

#### **Identification of DCGs in co-expression network**

Co-expressed genes played important roles in the accomplishment of biological function and participated in similar biological pathways (Ma et al., 2014). In fact, genes with functional relationships were frequently co-expressed across the samples (Bergmann et al., 2003; Stuart et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2004).

In the current research, we used the R/EB co-express package, which uses an empirical Bayesian framework for DCG discovery (Dawson et al., 2012), to identify DCGs in mice that were treated with acute and chronic morphine compared with their corresponding normal subjects (Yang et al., 2013). The DEGs selected were applied, and the function makeMyD (Dawson, 2012) was used to convert the X expression matrix into the D correlation matrix (X refers to an m-by-n expression matrix, where rows are genes and columns are subjects; D refers to the correlation matrix output of makeMyD) by using Pearson's correlation coefficient based on a two-dimensional matrix. Then, the hub genes were calculated by rankMyGenes function (Dawson, 2012), which used a threshold to determine the names of the differently coexpressed pairs. rankMyGenes function then split those pairs into their constituent genes and created a table with the associated data. A sorted version of the table is then returned. Finally, the co-expression network was drawn by showNetwork (Dawson, 2012), a function to evaluate co-expression among a small group of genes. In the constructed network, the edges were

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 10193-10205 (2015)

<sup>©</sup>FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

colored based on the correlation strength, which was indicated by the D matrix that was input and ranged from red (strong negative correlation) to blue (strong positive correlation). The degree of the expressed correlation was set as 0.9 (Dawson, 2012).

# **Centralities of network**

Stress was defined as the number of shortest paths passing through a node. High stress values indicated that the protein was involved in connecting regulatory molecules (Scardoni and Laudanna, 2012). Another parameter of centrality was betweenness, which described the core of node in the network. In present study, the thresholds of stress and betweeness were set as 1419.19 and 629.88, respectively. The centralities of the networks were analyzed by the Centiscape plug-in (Scardoni et al., 2009) via mapped DEGs into the Cytoscape software (Gonçalves, 2014).

# **Functional enrichment analysis**

To further investigate the biological functions of these genes, GO enrichment analysis and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis were performed using an online tool, the Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) bioinformatics resource (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/tools.jsp) (Huang et al., 2008).

DAVID bioinformatics resources could systematically extract biological meaning from a large number of genes. GO terms and KEGG pathways with a P value less than 0.05 (except for cellular components in GO terms of chronic morphine treated, P < 0.1) were chosen based on expression analysis systematic explorer (EASE) test (Hosack et al., 2003), which was applied in DAVID.

EASE analysis of the regulated genes indicated molecular functions and biological processes that were unique to each category (Ford et al., 2006). The EASE score was used to detect significant categories. The threshold of EASE score, <0.05, and the minimum number of genes for the corresponding term, >2, were considered to be significant for a category.

# RESULTS

# **Identification of DEGs**

After normalization and preprocessing of the expression profile dataset, 481 and 75 DEGs of acute and chronic morphine treatment, respectively, were identified using the LIMMA package based on the research criteria (logFC > 2 and P < 0.05). DEGs that were identified from the microarray datasets are shown in Table 1, and 23 common genes were selected, including *Zbtb16*, *Pkp2*, *Plin4*, *Cebpa*, *Map3k6*, *Cdkn1a*, *Camk1g*, *Tsc22d3*, *Sgk3*, *Tmem125*, *Slc2a1*, *Greb11*, *Slc25a13*, *Gng11*, *Fkbp5*, *Wnt7a*, *Lurap11*, *Opalin*, *Arfrp1*, *Frmd4b*, *Olig2*, *Dusp16*, and *Lap3*.

#### Identification of DCGs in a co-expression network

Twenty hub genes were identified and considered DCGs in mice treated with acute morphine. These genes included *Ankrd63*, *A330023F24Rik*, *Mphosph9*, *Ntrk2*, *Sf3b1*, *Tbcc*, *9330154F10Rik*, *9630021D06Rik*, *Ank3*, *Celf2*, *Clk1*, *D4Wsu53e*, *Dusp8*, *Fam21*, *Gm9983*, *Ift20*, *Lonrf3*, *Slc7a6*, *Syn2*, and *Zfp612*. A co-expression network of these 20 hub genes was drawn using the "showNetwork" function in R. However, for chronic morphine treatment DCGs, fewer hub genes were found based on empirical Bayesian algorithm. Additionally, the co-expression network was made using the top 20 DEGs that were thought to be DCGs (Figure 1).

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 10193-10205 (2015)

Acute morphine treatment No. Symbol No. Symbol No Symbol No. Symbol Gm11627 9630021D06Rik 121 Ppap2b 241 Fmo2 361 122 BB211804 Zfand4 Rufy3 242 362 Tinagl1 2 Zbtb16 123 Lurap11 3 243 Setx 363 Itga6 Nucks1 4 Pkp2 124 244 364 Prmt6 Hectd1 Plin4 125 245 365 5 Mcc Usp3 Mier1 Prpf4b Ifnar2 Gramd1c 6 Cebpa 126 246 366 Eltd1 Map3k6 127 247 Trmt11 367 7 Desi2 8 128 248 Vps72 Cdkn1a Mmah Whser16 368 D4Wsu53e Lrrc57 9 129 249 Zc3h15 369 Lifr 10 Pdk4 130 250 Ezh2 Npr3 370 Celf2 2700050L05Rik 11 Aff1 131 251 Vcan 371 Ywhab 12 Camk1g 132 Cdadc1 252 Gspt2 372 Pcolce2 BC018507 253 13 Tsc22d3 133 Pnpla7 373 Psme4 14 Coa6 134 Add2 254 Brd4 374 Rbl2 15 Adipor2 135 Sf3b1 255 Sesn1 375 Ntrk2 16 Sgk3 136 Camk1d 256 Flcn 376 Arap2 Tfcp211 Arhgef2 17 137 Adcy9 257 377 Clmn 18 Heph 138 AI503316 258 Plekho2 378 Fam135a 19 Tanc1 139 Opalin 259 Osbpl11 379 Eif4g1 20 Cx3cr1 140 Phka1 260 Hlf 380 Smpd3 21 Lhfp 141 Wasl 261 Paqr5 381 Mttp 1810011O10Rik 22 142 Gpr83 262 Pycard 382 Orai2 23 Snx1 143 Ankrd63 263 Cntnap2 383 Zfp612 24 Azin1 144 Arhgap18 264 Ndufab1 384 B930095G15Rik 25 A330023F24Rik 145 Atplla Gabrr2 385 265 Herc6 26 Prss23 146 266 Slc41a1 386 Clcn4-2 Smim3 27 Mfsd2a 147 Vav2 267 Ahnak 387 Rnft1 28 Dock9 148 Arl13b 268 Syn2 388 Irak1 29 Tmem125 149 Ryr3 389 Atp5c1 269 Pcsk7 30 St8sia3 150 Emilin2 270 Hras1 390 Ubp1 31 Usp54 151 Dnajc28 271 Usp53 391 Notch4 32 Slc2a1 152 Fam169a 272 Rad1 392 Atp7a 33 153 AI956758 273 Hspa8 393 Sgpp2 Mpp7 34 Ppp1r2 154 Ikzf2 274 Lrrc20 394 Akap9 Ankrd39 35 Lonrf3 155 Dlg5 275 Rab6b 395 36 Traf7 Plekhg4 Lrrc8c 156 Pltp 276 396 37 5031426D15Rik 157 Ino80c Fubp1 Frmd4b 397 277 Mthfr 38 1810041L15Rik 278 398 Fzd2 158 Ttc21b 39 Cmtm3 159 279 Stxbp3a 399 Cd164 Rell1 9030425L15Rik 40 2900046F13Rik Ank3 160 280 Dhdh 400 41 Cacna1d 281 401 Rhpn2 161 Lrrc8a Sgtb 42 Pdlim1 282 Fnbp4 402 162 Tmem5 Dbp 42 43 44 283 403 5530601H04Rik Nt5e 163 Idua Lrtm2 B3galnt2 Lysmd1 Kcnd2 404 Sfxn2 164 284 45 Greb11 165 Khdrbs2 285 Trank1 405 Ppm1e C030009J22Rik 46 166 Col4a2 286 Dlgap2 406 Foxo1 47 Igfbp3 167 Tst 287 Dio2 407 Bsg Rbm12b1 48 Mlxip 168 288 Wnk1 408 Irs1 49 Taf2 169 Ptprg 289 Galnt15 409 Zfand5 50 Ptpre 170 Gnai1 290 Bbip1 410 Pde10a 51 Tekt4 171 Plekhf1 291 Synj2bp 411 Tcp11l2 52 Nostrin 172 292 BB283564 412 Mllt10 Tbcc 53 Abcc4 173 H2afx 293 Olig2 413 Tmod3 54 Itpk1 174 Cklf 294 Smarcd2 414 Pars2 55 Dram1 175 Ift20 295 Prkx 415 Kifap3 56 Atp10a 176 Vldlr 296 Asb11 416 Fgf3 57 Slc25a13 177 Fam21 297 G2e3 417 Mdn1 58 178 298 Zbtb7a 418 5730458M16Rik Aass Arfrp1 59 179 299 Slc7a6 419 Ece1 Gng11 Cspp1 60 A930011012Rik Tor1aip2 Rala 180 300 Flywch1 420

Table 1. Identified DEGs of acute and chronic morphine treatments.

Continued on next page

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 10193-10205 (2015)

L. Ding et al.

Table 1. Continued.

			Acute morphine treatm	nent			
No.	Symbol	No.	Symbol	No.	Symbol	No.	Symbol
61	Grm1	181	Necab1	301	Adamts9	421	Snd1
62	Clk1	182	Kena5	302	B830032F12	422	Zfand2a
63	Frmpd1	183	Ago3	303	Ssh2	423	2310039F13Rik
64	1  mal  6	184	Clip1	304	Dis312	424	Pura
65	FZC/	185	Semasa	305	Cacng4	425	C030023E24R1K
00 67	SIC8a5 Myd4	180	Romx Rob21	300	Dnajci Mphosph0	420	SSI4 Cy2ol1
68	Gib6	187	Arbaef17	308	Cobl	427	Baz2a
69	Osgin?	189	Crot	309	Gm9983	420	Amy1
70	Bcl6	190	Pdpk1	310	Dusp16	430	Fam171a2
71	Enbp1	191	Tnfrsf25	311	Sbno1	431	Dact1
72	Cxxc5	192	Mdm4	312	Filip11	432	Skp2
73	Ranbp9	193	Bcr	313	Arhgef28	433	Ppip5k2
74	Ftx	194	Nfe2l2	314	Nipal4	434	Npas4
75	Tmem98	195	Gpr22	315	Fyn	435	Pcp411
76	Ccdc6	196	Atxn2	316	Letmd1	436	Epha10
77	Htra1	197	Slc6a20a	317	5430440L12Rik	437	Gli3
78	Evalb	198	Ndufa10	318	Vstm5	438	Scn1a
79	Fn1	199	Cdc3711	319	Smarce1	439	G3bp2
80	Ccdc141	200	Cpeb3	320	Lhpp	440	Cacnalg
81	Trp53inp1	201	Adam8	321	Herpudl	441	Tnrc18
82	Sgk1	202	Ztp/19	322	Cntnap4	442	Nacc2
83	Gpatch8	203	Nr3c2	323	Vip Tranti	443	Fam136a
84	Plazgo Sultiol	204	Dab2ip Nov2	324	Tapti Ankrd16	444	Prima i
86	Mfsd11	205	Chd4	325	Ogfr	445	Gic2
87	Aldh6al	200	Acot11	320	D10Bwg1379e	440	Nr2c2an
88	Inpn5f	208	Ubxn7	328	Nfkhiz	448	Nxn
89	Map6d1	209	Deke	329	Pld1	449	Cpne7
90	Pak7	210	Dusp8	330	Ubtf	450	Ampd3
91	Ppp2r3a	211	Fosl2	331	Chfr	451	Ahctf1
92	Zfp410	212	Ccdc85a	332	Cxadr	452	Nmt2
93	Fkbp5	213	Zfp608	333	Sap30	453	6430604M11Rik
94	Dpfl	214	Wipf3	334	Ucp2	454	Wwc1
95	Tsc22d1	215	Kndc1	335	H2afy	455	Itga8
96	Spns2	216	Klf4	336	Per2	456	1110057K04Rik
97	Pou3f1	217	Ccnl2	337	Txnrd1	457	Snx24
98	Csnk2a1	218	Lrrc58	338	Tnfrsf19	458	Spred2
99	Pxdn	219	Zwilch	339	Gal3st1	459	Dnajc3
100	SIC16a6	220	Bicd2	340	Suox	460	Atp6v0a2
101	Alkoni	221	Arnger3	341	P4na2	461	Fam20a
102	UllaZa	222	NIALS	342	PKp4 Mat2a	462	Mag12
103	Lu02 Tm6sf1	223	ACS15 Dten	343	Matza Fam20c	405	Akap12 Cdk7
104	Cup/w3	224	Tmem88h	345	Pani200	465	Snw1
105	Wnt7a	225	Nek1	346	Cacub1	466	Orc2
107	Arhgan21	220	Ifrd1	347	Gm15417	467	Dusp6
108	Ogt	228	Fgfrl1	348	Prodh	468	Fbx112
109	Kdsr	229	D1Ertd448e	349	Fdft1	469	Ctgf
110	Gprin3	230	Tm6sf2	350	LOC101056642	470	Klf15
111	Zfp91	231	Kank3	351	Slc9a2	471	Fzd1
112	9330154F10Rik	232	Acsbg1	352	Lap3	472	Tesc
113	Plxdc2	233	Rasal1	353	P2ry13	473	Lcmt2
114	Gkn3	234	Grm3	354	Ppp4r1	474	Snord89
115	Pnpla2	235	Prpf39	355	2610011E03Rik	475	Gabra4
116	Mak	236	Ср	356	D5Wsu148e	476	Gm2590
117	D930009K15Rik	237	Sphkap	357	Slc1a3	477	Secisbp21
118	Tnpo1	238	9530057J20Rik	358	2700062C07Rik	478	9230110C19Rik
119	Rin2	239	ler51	359	Fam134b	479	Bbs5
120	Plat	240	Mtml	360	Tgm2	480	2210404J11Rik

Continued on next page

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 10193-10205 (2015)

#### Table 1. Continued.

			Chronic morphir	Chronic morphine treatment			
No.	Symbol	No.	Symbol	No.	Symbol	No.	Symbol
1	Zbtb16	20	Arhgap36	39	Neurod2	58	C030034E14Rik
2	Plin4	21	Slc16a1	40	Vat11	59	Rhou
3	Camk1g	22	Acot1	41	Wscd1	60	Fkbp5
4	Slc25a13	23	Cd24a	42	Sh3rf1	61	Cede106
5	Gng11	24	Greb11	43	Tsga10	62	Tcirg1
6	Cdkn1a	25	Lurap11	44	Lypd1	63	Opalin
7	Tsc22d3	26	Sdk2	45	Nkx2-2	64	Tuba8
8	Pkp2	27	Aspa	46	Map3k6	65	Emp2
9	Rgs10	28	Kcne11	47	Clspn	66	Tspan6
10	Ido1	29	Ugt8a	48	Frmd4b	67	Nr4a2
11	Map2k3	30	Cebpa	49	Dusp16	68	C030011L09Rik
12	Enox2	31	Olig2	50	Tmem125	69	Cbx4
13	Dnah1	32	Dusp4	51	Gpr155	70	Sncaip
14	Sgk3	33	Fabp7	52	St8sia2	71	Dock11
15	Wnt7a	34	Lap3	53	Arfrp1	72	AI314604
16	Rfx4	35	Slc35d3	54	Nexn	73	Lgmn
17	Bhlhe40	36	Mtss1	55	Ctxn2	74	1700007K13Rik
18	Evi2a	37	BC005764	56	Tef	75	Slc46a1
19	Insl6	38	Slc2a1	57	Ldlrad3		



**Figure1.** Co-expression network of acute morphine treatment (**A**) and chronic morphine treatment (**B**). Nodes are genes. Edges are gene pairs, with color indicating strength of correlation, ranging from blue (negative correlation) to white (uncorrelated) and to red (positively correlated).

# **Functional enrichment analyses**

GO analyses were carried out in three categories, including biological processes (BP), molecular functions (MF), and cellular components (CC). For GO enrichment analysis of acute morphine-treated mice, the 481 DEGs were significantly enriched in 54 BP terms, 25

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 10193-10205 (2015)

L. Ding et al.

MF terms, and 18 CC terms. Alternatively, for GO enrichment of the chronic morphine treated subject, the 75 DEGs were significantly enriched in 35 GO BP terms, 7 MF terms, and 4 CC terms. After discarding the terms with P values less than 0.01, the five most significant GO BP, MF, and CC terms are presented in Table 2. The most significant BP, MF, and CC terms pertaining to acute morphine-treated subjects were phosphate metabolic process (P < 0.01), Rasguanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity (P < 0.01), and plasma membrane (P < 0.01), respectively. For the chronic morphine-treated subjects, the most significant corresponding BP, MF, and CC terms were negative regulation of cell proliferation (P < 0.01), transcription regulator activity (P < 0.05), and adherents junctions (P = 0.06), respectively. The top four significant terms of GO analysis are shown in Table 2.

For KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of acute morphine-treated mice, our results showed that the DEGs were significantly enriched in eight pathways (Table 3). Alternatively, for the chronic morphine-treated subjects, the DEGs were significantly enriched in the KEGG pathway terms in cancer. These DEGs are involved in both of the acute and chronic KEGG terms.

## DISCUSSION

Morphine, which is a mainstay in pain management, has been used for pain treatment for more than 5000 years (Quanhong et al., 2012). In the present study, we identified DEGs in chronic and acute morphine-treated subjects by secondary use of multiple microarray data. We then analyzed the DEGs using a network-based algorithm. The correlation between mRNA expression in chronic and acute morphine-treated subjects was characterized, and the results indicated that the common genes that correspond to DEGs might be significant.

To date, several common genes have been reported with regard to morphine treatment. *Tsc22d3*, which is a gene that encodes TSC22 domain family protein 3 (Vogel et al., 1996), was identified as a co-expressed gene of transcriptional activation in inbred mouse strains that were administrated acute and chronic morphine by Korostynski et al. (2007). There were differences between strains with regard to the magnitude of transcriptional response to acute morphine treatment, and the degree of gene expression tolerance relative to chronic morphine treatment was observed (Korostynski et al., 2007). *Tsc22d3* has also shown to be a morphine-responsive gene for knockdown that results in alterations to dendritic spines that possibly reflects an altered potential for plastic changes in the mouse striatum (Piechota et al., 2010). Additionally, *Fkbp5*, which is a drug-responsive gene that codes for FKBP5, has a role in posttraumatic stress disorder, depression, and anxiety by genetic studies (Binder, 2009). Szklarczyk et al. (2012) found that traumatic stress induced the upregulation of *Tsc22d3* and expression of *Fkbp5*. Moreover, traumatic stress further enhanced sensitivity to the rewarding properties of morphine.

The results of this research demonstrated that *Tsc22d3* and Fkbp5 related closely with morphine treatment (Szklarczyk et al., 2012). *Olig2* was identified as a novel morphine-regulated gene (Korostynski et al., 2007), because the expression of *Olig2* was enhanced when the subject was exposed to morphine (Hahn et al., 2012). The hub genes of acute chronic treated subjects were also considered to have potential significance. *Ntrk2*, a neural differentiation-and growth-associated gene, experienced dynamic changes upon morphine exposure (Wen et al., 2013). The genes mentioned above that are reported to change when exposed to morphine treatment are probably genes that are related to both chronic and acute morphine treatment. Therefore, these genes may be useful for developing novel approaches for researchers to study the impacts on biological functions in people who are treated with morphine.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 10193-10205 (2015)

<sup>©</sup>FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

# Genes in chronic and acute morphine-treated mice

Table 2. Significant terms of GO enrichment.

Terms	P value	Counts
Acute morphine treatment		
BP Phosphate	0.0005	PPP2R3A PRPF4B NEK1 SSH2 RPS6KB2 EPHA10 CLK1 PTEN PRKX MTM1 PAK7
metabolic process	0.0002	MAP3K6, PDPK1, CSNK2A1, DUSP16, BRD4, IRAK1, SGK1, CAMK1G, PTPRE, BCR,
		SGK3, PTPRG, MAK, PDK4, WNK1, CDK7, GRM1, PPM1E, FYN, NTRK2, ATP5C1, IGFBP3, DUSP8_ATP6V0A2_DUSP6_CAMK1D
Phosphorus	0.0005	PPP2R3A, PRPF4B, NEK1, SSH2, RPS6KB2, EPHA10, CLK1, PTEN, PRKX, MTM1, PAK7,
metabolic process		MAP3K6, PDPK1, CSNK2A1, DUSP16, BRD4, IRAK1, SGK1, CAMK1G, PTPRE, BCR, SGK3,
		PTPRG, MAK, PDK4, WNK1, CDK7, GRM1, PPM1E, FYN, NTRK2, ATP5C1, IGFBP3, DUSP8, ATP6V0A2, DUSP6, CAMK1D
Regulation of	0.0008	FOSL2, PPP2R3A, FGFRL1, FOXO1, ZBTB16, CXADR, PTEN, GLI3, ZFP91, KIFAP3, TGM2,
cell proliferation		BCL6, FGF3, CEBPA, TESC, LIFR, GJB6, IRS1, PURA, HRAS1, CDKN1A, DBP, NOTCH4, MDM4,
Regulation of	0.0013	IGFBP3, KLF4 FOYOL ZBTB16 CY3CL1 PTEN GL13 PAK7 ZEP01 G2E3 TSC22D3 PYCARD TGM2
programmed cell death	0.0015	TRP53INP1, BCL6, DLG5, TRAF7, CASP2, SGK3, SKP2, HRAS1, PLEKHF1, ATP7A, CDKN1A,
		CX3CR1, ALKBH1, IGFBP3, CAMK1D
CC Plasma membrane	0.0059	SUC8A3 GPR83 SUC6A20A EGERL1 CXADR SUC7A6 ARHGAP21 SUC1A3 SUC2A1 SPRED2
T lushili memorane	0.0000	RALA, ELTD1, DLG5, ADAM8, NT5E, AHNAK, BSG, PLD1, DAB2IP, ARHGEF2, MAGI2, CAMK1G,
		KCND2, LIFR, TANC1, MPP7, PNPLA2, SYNJ2BP, CD164, GRM1, HRAS1, GABRR2, GRM3, PLXDC2,
		CX3CRI, ADD2, BBS5, SCN1A, INFRSF25, SSH2, PHKA1, CACNB1, SNX1, NOSTRIN, GNG11, EPHA10, KCNA5, 7BTB16, CX3CL1, GIC2, GPR22, ECE1, PLINA, OPALIN, SVN2, TGM2, TNERSE19, TRAF7
		PRIMA1, FN1, GABRA4, DLGAP2, FRMPD1, GJB6, VAV2, ATP7A, P2RY13, FNBP1, RAB31, ADCY9,
		ITGA6, FYN, PKP2, ITGA8, NOTCH4, PKP4, NTRK2, CACNA1G, ABCC4, STXBP3A, CP, CACNA1D,
Cell junction	0.0076	AIP6V0A2 SCN1A ARHGEF2 MAGI2 GABRA4 DI GAP2 SSH2 TANC1 MPP7 GIB6 CXADR GIC2 GABRP2
Cen junetion	0.0070	ARHGAP21, ITGA6, PKP2, PKP4, SYN2, DLG5, PRIMA1, AHNAK
Cytoplasmic vesicle	0.0084	PLAT, BSG, SGK3, YWHAB, NOSTRIN, ACSBG1, ARHGAP21, ATP7A, FNBP1, PDPK1, ECE1, SND1,
Cytoplasmic membrane-	0.0098	SLC2A1, SYN2, SPRED2, ABCC4, RAB6B, TRAF7, HSPA8, ATP6V0A2, MAP6D1 PLAT BSG_SGK3_VWHAB_NOSTRIN_ACSBG1_ATP7A_ENBP1_PDPK1_ECE1_SND1_SLC2A1
SYN2,	0.0078	1 LAI, 550, 56K5, 1 WIIAD, NO5TKIN, AC5D01, A117A, 11D1 I, 1D1 KI, ECEI, 56D1, 5EC2A1,
bounded vesicle		ABCC4, TRAF7, HSPA8, ATP6V0A2, MAP6D1
MF Ras guanyl-nucleotide	0.0001	PLEKHG4 ARHGEF3 ARHGEF2 BCR WBSCR16 KIFAP3 DOCK9 ARHGEF17 VAV2 KNDC1
exchange factor activity		
Rho guanyl-nucleotide	0.0010	PLEKHG4, ARHGEF3, ARHGEF2, BCR, KIFAP3, DOCK9, ARHGEF17, VAV2
Guanyl-nucleotide exchange	0.0016	PLEKHG4, ARHGEF3, ARHGEF2, BCR, WBSCR16, KIFAP3, DOCK9, ARHGEF17, D10BWG1379E,
factor activity		VAV2, KNDC1
GTPase regulator activity	0.0029	ARHGEF3, ARHGEF2, DAB2IP, BCR, WBSCR16, DOCK9, ARHGEF17, ARHGAP18, VAV2, ARHGAP21, PLEKHG4 RASAL1 KIEAP3 RIN2 WASL D10BWG1379E ARAP2 KNDC1
Chronic morphine treatment		
BP Negative regulation of cell	0.0092	CERPA CDKN1A IDO1 7BTB16 CD24A
proliferation	0.0092	CEDIA, CDRIVIA, IDOI, ZBIBIO, CD24A
Spinal cord oligodendrocyte	0.0110	OLIG2, NKX2-2
Spinal cord oligodendrocyte	0.0110	OLIG2 NKX2-2
cell fate specification		·
Negative regulation	0.0114	CDKN1A, TSC22D3, SGK3, NR4A2, IDO1
CC		
Adherens junction	0.0601	PKP2, NEXN, RHOU
Anchoring junction	0.0779	PKP2, NEXN, RHOU TCIPG1 LGMN SLC46A1
Basolateral plasma	0.0923	SLC2A1, NEXN, RHOU
membrane		
MF Transcription regulator	0.0181	CERPA TSC22D3 REXA NEUROD2 NR4A2 TEE BHI HE40 OLIG2 ZRTR16 NKX2-2
activity	0.0101	CEDIT, 1992203, MIAT, NEUROD2, INTA2, 111, DILLID70, OLIO2, 2D1D10, INA2"2
Structure-specific	0.0273	CLSPN, TEF, ZBTB16
DNA binding Protein dimerization	0.0275	CEBPA NR4A2 TEF OLIG2 ZRTB16
activity	0.0270	
MAP kinase	0.0399	DUSP4, DUSP16
phosphatase activity		

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 10193-10205 (2015)

L. Ding et al.

Table 3. Significant KEGG pathway.				
Terms	P value	Counts		
Acute morphine treatment				
Pathways in cancer	0.0002	CEBPA, COL4A2, BCR, SKP2, FZD1, FOXO1, ZBTB16, FZD2, PTEN, GL13, FZD7, HRAS1, CCDC6, CDKN1A, ITGA6, SLC2A1, RALA, WNT7A, FGF3, FN1		
Melanogenesis	0.0085	ADCY9, GNAI1, FZD1, FZD2, WNT7A, PRKX, FZD7, HRAS1		
MAPK signaling pathway	0.0086	CACNB1, CACNG4, PRKX, HRAS1, MAP3K6, DUSP16, NTRK2, CACNA1G, PLA2G3, DUSP8, CACNA1D, HSPA8, FGF3, DUSP6		
Sphingolipid metabolism	0.0160	SGPP2, KDSR, PPAP2B, SMPD3, GAL3ST1		
Dilated cardiomyopathy	0.0201	ITGA6, ADCY9, ITGA8, CACNB1, CACNG4, CACNA1D, PRKX		
Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC)	0.0302	ITGA6, PKP2, ITGA8, CACNB1, CACNG4, CACNA1D		
Basal cell carcinoma	0.0388	FZD1, FZD2, GLI3, WNT7A, FZD7		
Focal adhesion	0.0413	PAK7, PDPK1, COL4A2, ITGA6, FYN, ITGA8, VAV2, PTEN, FN1, HRAS1		
Chronic morphine treatment				
Pathways in cancer	0.0373	CEBPA, CDKN1A, SLC2A1, ZBTB16, WNT7A		

GO addresses the obstacle of genomic database interoperability and produces a novel, dynamic, and controlled vocabulary that can be applied to all eukaryotes. Three unique ontologies constructed on the internet (http://www.geneontology.org) are BP, MF, and CC. Among them, BP refers to genes or gene products that alter biological processes by involving a chemical or physical transformation and is accomplished by one or more ordered MF assemblies (Ashburner et al., 2000). A total of 16 BP terms (Table 4) are involved in both of acute and chronic subjects, including phosphate metabolic process, phosphorus metabolic process, regulation of cell proliferation, and regulation of programmed cell death. The most significant term for the chronic subjects was negative regulation of cell proliferation, which had a P value < 0.01, was also significant for the acute subjects, which had a P value < 0.01. Regarding MF, three terms including protein dimerization activity (P < 0.01), MAP kinase phosphatase activity (P < 0.05), and MAP kinase tyrosine/serine/threonine phosphatase activity (P < 0.05) were significant for both the acute and chronic morphine-treated subjects.

Table 4. Common GO terms of acute and chronic morphine treatment.				
Terms	P value	Counts		
BP				
Regulation of programmed	0.0013	FOXO1, ZBTB16, CX3CL1, PTEN, GLI3, PAK7, ZFP91, G2E3, TSC22D3, PYCARD,		
cell death		TGM2, TRP53INP1, BCL6, DLG5, TRAF7, CASP2, SGK3, SKP2, HRAS1, PLEKHF1,		
		ATP7A, CDKN1A, CX3CR1, ALKBH1, IGFBP3, CAMK1D		
Regulation of cell death	0.0015	FOXO1, ZBTB16, CX3CL1, PTEN, GL13, PAK7, ZFP91, G2E3, TSC22D3, PYCARD,		
		TGM2, TRP53INP1, BCL6, DLG5, TRAF7, CASP2, SGK3, SKP2, HRAS1, PLEKHF1,		
	0.0000	AIP/A, CDKNIA, CX3CKI, ALKBHI, IGFBP3, CAMKID		
Negative regulation of cell	0.0022	CEBPA, IESC, PPP2K3A, FGFKL1, GJB0, ZB1B10, CXADK, GL13, P1EN, CDKN1A, KIEAD2, DCL4, ICEDD2, KLE4		
Promitiation of apoptosis	0.0024	NIFAFS, DULO, IUFDFS, NLF4 EOVO1 7DTD16 CV2CI 1 DTEN CLI2 DAV7 CSE2 TSC22D2 DVCADD TGM2		
Regulation of apoptosis	0.0024	TRP53INP1 BCI 6 TRAF7 DI G5 CASP2 SGK3 SKP2 HRAS1 PI EKHEL ATP7A		
		CDKN1A CX3CR1 ALKBH1 IGFBP3 CAMK1D		
Negative regulation of	0.0099	SGK3 SKP2 FOXO1 CX3CL1 PTEN HRAS1 PAK7 TSC22D3 CDKN1A G2E3		
apoptosis		CX3CR1. BCL6. CASP2		
Negative regulation of	0.0116	SGK3, SKP2, FOXO1, CX3CL1, PTEN, HRAS1, PAK7, TSC22D3, CDKN1A, G2E3,		
programmed cell death		CX3CR1, BCL6, CASP2		
Negative regulation of	0.0119	SGK3, SKP2, FOXO1, CX3CL1, PTEN, HRAS1, PAK7, TSC22D3, CDKN1A, G2E3,		
cell death		CX3CR1, BCL6, CASP2		
Negative regulation of	0.0135	CEBPA, ZBTB7A, FZD1, NOSTRIN, SNW1, ZBTB16, GLI3, MXD4, PURA, SAP30,		
transcription		PRMT6, PER2, BCL6, MDM4, OLIG2, BAZ2A, KLF4		
Regulation of kinase activity	0.0141	IRAKI, CDKNIA, DGKG, DUSP16, SPRED2, TRAF7, DNAJC3, VAV2, GRM1,		
		IKSI, VLDLK		

Continued on next page

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 10193-10205 (2015)

#### Genes in chronic and acute morphine-treated mice

Table 4. Continued.

Terms	P value	Counts
Regulation of transferase activity	0.0176	IRAK1, CDKN1A, DGKG, DUSP16, SPRED2, TRAF7, DNAJC3, VAV2, GRM1, IRS1, VLDLR
Negative regulation of nucleobase, nucleoside, nucleotide and nucleic acid metabolic process	0.0227	CEBPA, ZBTB7A, FZD1, NOSTRIN, SNW1, ZBTB16, GLI3, MXD4, PURA, SAP30, PRMT6, PER2, BCL6, MDM4, OLIG2, BAZ2A, KLF4
Negative regulation of nitrogen compound metabolic process	0.0249	CEBPA, ZBTB7A, FZD1, NOSTRIN, SNW1, ZBTB16, GLI3, MXD4, PURA, SAP30, PRMT6, PER2, BCL6, MDM4, OLIG2, BAZ2A, KLF4
Negative regulation of macromolecule metabolic process	0.0269	CEBPA, ZBTB7A, SKP2, FZD1, NOSTRIN, SNW1, ZBTB16, GL13, MXD4, PURA, SAP30, SND1, PRMT6, PER2, BCL6, MDM4, OLIG2, IGFBP3, BAZ2A, KLF4
Negative regulation of macromolecule biosynthetic process	0.0349	CEBPA, ZBTB7A, FZD1, NOSTRIN, SNW1, ZBTB16, GLI3, MXD4, PURA, SAP30, PRMT6, PER2, BCL6, MDM4, OLIG2, BAZ2A, KLF4
Negative regulation of cellular biosynthetic process	0.0427	CEBPA, ZBTB7A, FZD1, NOSTRIN, SNW1, ZBTB16, GLI3, MXD4, PURA, SAP30, PRMT6, PER2, BCL6, MDM4, OLIG2, BAZ2A, KLF4
Negative regulation of biosynthetic process	0.0461	CEBPA, ZBTB7A, FZD1, NOSTRIN, SNW1, ZBTB16, GLI3, MXD4, PURA, SAP30, PRMT6, PER2, BCL6, MDM4, OLIG2, BAZ2A, KLF4
MF		
Protein dimerization activity	0.0091	CEBPA, HLF, FOSL2, KHDRBS2, PTPRE, IKZF2, ADIPOR2, NPR3, NPAS4, ZBTB16, SGTB, DBP, SYN2, PYCARD, NFE2L2, OLIG2
MAP kinase tyrosine/serine/ threonine phosphatase activity	0.0280	DUSP16, DUSP8, DUSP6
MAP kinase phosphatase activity	0.0280	DUSP16, DUSP8, DUSP6

These results demonstrated that several mRNA expressions in chronic morphinetreated subjects were also significant for acute morphine-treated subjects. However, the result of the present study needs more support in terms of clinical evidence, and the relationship between DCGs and morphine treatment needs further evaluation. Therefore, the results of functional enrichment that we identified may merit further attention, validation, and studies.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research received no specific grants from any funding agency in public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors

## REFERENCES

- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, et al. (2000). Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat. Genet.* 25: 25-29.
- Belkaï E, Crété D, Courtin C, Noble F, et al. (2013). Comparison of the transcriptional responses induced by acute morphine, methadone and buprenorphine. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 711: 10-18.
- Bergmann S, Ihmels J and Barkai N (2003). Similarities and differences in genome-wide expression data of six organisms. *PLoS Biol.* 2: e9.
- Binder EB (2009). The role of FKBP5, a co-chaperone of the glucocorticoid receptor in the pathogenesis and therapy of affective and anxiety disorders. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 34: S186-S195.
- Chen M, Zhang X, Xu H, Ma X, et al. (2014). Inhibitory effect of spinal mGlu(5) receptor antisense oligonucleotide on the up-regulated expression of spinal G protein associated with chronic morphine treatment. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 723: 253-258.
- Dawson JA (2012). Package 'EBcoexpress': EBcoexpress for Differential Co-Expression Analysis. R Package version 1.12.0. Available at [http://www.biocondutor.org/packages/release/bioc/manuals/EBcoexpress/man/EBcoexpress. pdf].

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 10193-10205 (2015)

- Dawson JA, Ye S and Kendziorski C (2012). R/EBcoexpress: an empirical Bayesian framework for discovering differential co-expression. *Bioinformatics* 28: 1939-1940.
- Deng SP and Huang DS. (2014). An integrated strategy for functional analysis of microbial communities based on gene ontology and 16S rRNA gene. *Int. J. Data Min. Bioinform. (in press)*.
- Fenu S, Espa E, Cadoni C and Di CG. (2014). Conditioned saccharin avoidance induced by infusion of amphetamine in the nucleus accumbens shell and morphine in the ventral tegmental area: behavioral and biochemical study. *Behav. Brain Res.* 269: 55-60.
- Ford G, Xu Z, Gates A, Jiang J, et al. (2006). Expression Analysis Systematic Explorer (EASE) analysis reveals differential gene expression in permanent and transient focal stroke rat models. *Brain Res.* 1071: 226-236.
- Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, Bolstad B, et al. (2004). Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. *Genome Biol.* 5: R80.
- Gonçalves E (2014). Cytocopter manual: A cytoscape plug-in for training logic models, based on CellNOptR. Available at [www.cellnopt.org/cytocopter/resources/CytocopterManual.pdf].
- Hahn YK, Podhaizer EM, Hauser KF and Knapp PE (2012). HIV-1 alters neural and glial progenitor cell dynamics in the central nervous system: coordinated response to opiates during maturation. *Glia* 60: 1871-1887.
- Hosack DA, Dennis G Jr., Sherman BT, Lane HC, et al. (2003). Identifying biological themes within lists of genes with EASE. *Genome Biol.* 4: R70.
- Huang DW, Sherman BT and Lempicki RA. (2008). Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat. Protoc. 4: 44-57.
- Joshi JC, Ray A and Gulati K (2014). Differential modulatory effects of morphine on acute and chronic stress induced neurobehavioral and cellular markers in rats. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 729: 17-21.
- Kanehisa M, Goto S, Sato Y, Kawashima M, et al. (2014). Data, information, knowledge and principle: back to metabolism in KEGG. Nucleic Acids Res. 42: D199-D205.
- Korostynski M, Piechota M, Kaminska D, Solecki W, et al. (2007). Morphine effects on striatal transcriptome in mice. Genome Biol. 8: R128.
- Lacher DW, Gangiredla J, Jackson SA, Elkins CA, et al. (2014). A novel microarray design for molecular serotyping of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from fresh produce. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80: 4677-4682.
- Lee HK, Hsu AK, Sajdak J, Qin J, et al. (2004). Coexpression analysis of human genes across many microarray data sets. *Genome Res.* 14: 1085-1094.
- Ma C, Lv Q, Cao Y, Wang Q, et al. (2014). Genes relevant with osteoarthritis by comparison gene expression profiles of synovial membrane of osteoarthritis patients at different stages. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 18: 431-439.
- Ma L, Robinson LN and Towle HC (2006). ChREBP\*Mlx is the principal mediator of glucose-induced gene expression in the liver. J. Biol. Chem. 281: 28721-28730.
- Martin D (2004). Instrumentation and survey networks at the ESRF. in Eight International Workshop on Accelerator Alignment. CERN, Geneva Switzerland.
- Pepper SD, Saunders EK, Edwards LE, Wilson CL, et al. (2007). The utility of MAS5 expression summary and detection call algorithms. *BMC Bioinformatics* 8: 273.
- Piechota M, Korostynski M, Solecki W, Gieryk A, et al. (2010). The dissection of transcriptional modules regulated by various drugs of abuse in the mouse striatum. *Genome Biol.* 11: R48.
- Quanhong Z, Ying X, Moxi C, Tao X, et al. (2012). Intrathecal PLC(beta3) oligodeoxynucleotides antisense potentiates acute morphine efficacy and attenuates chronic morphine tolerance. *Brain Res.* 1472: 38-44.
- Rifai N and Ridker PM (2001). Proposed cardiovascular risk assessment algorithm using high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and lipid screening. Clin. Chem. 47: 28-30.
- Scardoni G and Laudanna C (2012). Centralities based analysis of complex networks. New Frontiers in Graph Theory. InTech Open.
- Scardoni G, Petterlini M, and Laudanna C (2009). Analyzing biological network parameters with CentiScaPe. *Bioinformatics* 25: 2857-2859.
- Smyth GK (2004). Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. *Stat. Appl. Genet. Mol. Biol.* 3: 3.
- Stuart JM, Segal E, Koller D and Kim SK (2003). A gene-coexpression network for global discovery of conserved genetic modules. *Science* 302: 249-255.
- Szklarczyk K, Korostynski M, Golda S, Solecki W, et al. (2012). Genotype-dependent consequences of traumatic stress in four inbred mouse strains. *Genes Brain Behav*. 11: 977-985.
- Umathe SN, Mundhada YR and Bhutada PS (2012). Differential effects of acute morphine, and chronic morphinewithdrawal on obsessive-compulsive behavior: inhibitory influence of CRF receptor antagonists on chronic morphine-withdrawal. *Neuropeptides* 46: 217-221.
- Vogel P, Mägert HJ, Cieslak A, Adermann K, et al. (1996). hDIP a potential transcriptional regulator related to murine TSC-22 and *Drosophila* shortsighted (*shs*) is expressed in a large number of human tissues. *Biochim. Biophys Acta*.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (3): 10193-10205 (2015)

1309: 200-204.

- Wen A, Guo A and Chen YL (2013). Mu-opioid signaling modulates biphasic expression of TrkB and IκBα genes and neurite outgrowth in differentiating and differentiated human neuroblastoma cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 432: 638-642.
- Yang J, Yu H and Liu BH (2013). Using the DCGL 2.0 package. Available at [https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ DCGL/DCGL.pdf].