GMR Identification of *Clematidis radix* et Rhizoma and its adulterants by core haplotype based on the ITS sequences

Yi-Mei Zang¹, Ya Gao², Ying Liu³, Chun-Sheng Liu³

¹Beijing City University, Beijing, China ²Chengde Center for Disease Prevention and Contral, Chengde, China ³School of Chinese Pharmacy, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China Corresponding author: Chun Sheng Liu E-mail: max liucs@263.net Genet. Mol. Res. 17 (2): gmr16039905 Received February 27, 2018 Accepted April 07, 2018 Published April 15, 2018 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/gmr16039905 Copyright © 2018 The Authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike (CC BY-SA) 4.0 License. **ABSTRACT.** To develop a method to identify *Clematidis radix* et Rhizoma using sequence similarity and sequence-specific genetic polymorphisms based on the ITS sequences. DNA was extracted from leaves of Clematis mandshurica Rupr and C. hexapetala using a DNA extraction kit. ITS sequences were amplified by PCR, and analyzed in Contig Express, DNAman, and MEGA 5.0. The core haplotype was determined, and similarities between the core and other haplotypes were calculated. In total, 138 ITS sequences of C. mandshurica were obtained with a length of 611 bp. The similarity threshold between C. mandshurica and counterfeit species was 99%. Using specific mutation sites, we could identify C. chinensis, C. hexapetala, and C. mandshurica

Key words: *Clematidis radix* et Rhizoma; Core haplotype; Identification threshold; Mutation sites

rapidly and accurately. A new DNA-based method has been established

to rapidly and accurately identify *Clematidis radix* et Rhizoma.

INTRODUCTION

Clematidis radix et Rhizoma is the dry radix and rhizome of *Clematis chinensis* Osbeck, *C. hexapetala* Pall, and *C. mandshurica*, (Rupr Zhou Y, et al. 2012). In traditional Chinese medicine, *Radix et Rhizoma Clematidis* is applied to dispel wind dampness, flush the meridian, and treat rheumatic paralysis, limb numbness, and tendon spasm. Recent pharmacological studies have also shown that *Clematidis radix et Rhizoma* has anti-

2

inflammatory, hypoglycemic, anti-hypertensive, and anti-tumor activities (Zhou Y, et al., 2012, Han W et al., 2013, Ionkova I 2011, Jung Up Park JN, et al. 2016, Mitjans M, et al. 2005), Yang J, et al. 2017). Because of wide application in clinics, adulterants of *Clematidis radix et Rhizoma* have proliferated in the market, including *C. armandii* Franch., *C. finetiana* H. Lév. et Vaniot, *C. uncinata* Champ., *C. henryi* Oliv., *C. florida* Thunb., *C. chrysocoma* Franch., *C. lasiandra* Maxim, *C. peterae* Hand.-Mazz., *C. kerriana* J.R. Drumm. et Craib, and *C. leschenaultiana* DC . Huang YY (2005), Song L et al. (2011), Li JS et al. (1980). These adulterants are traditionally identified based on the practitioner's experience, variations in morphology due to the age of herbs and environmental conditions, and other factors. However, such factors are inadequate for accurate identification, because *Clematidis radix et Rhizoma* cannot be distinguished from adulterants morphologically or microscopically. However, genuine *Clematidis* and adulterants differ greatly in chemical composition and efficacy, and improper application may lead to drug safety issues. Song L, et al. (2011), Li JS et al., (1980), Guo LX et al., (2015). Therefore, it is of considerable importance to establish a rapid, accurate, and efficient method to identify genuine *Clematidis*.

DNA barcoding for species identification was first proposed by the Canadian zoologist Hebert in 2003. (Hebert PDN et al., 2003). This molecular diagnostic technology is based on short sequence fragments, and has been used since then to identify a variety of herbal medicines rapidly, efficiently, accurately, and objectively. (Yan HX et al., 2010, Hou DY et al., 2013, Chen JJ et al., 2015). Current methods of DNA barcoding include similarity searching, distance calculations, and tree-building. Similarity searching is mainly based on the BLAST algorithm. (Chen SL, et al., 2013, Cheng XL, et al., 2012 and Liu J, et al., 2011). However, due to the lack of a well-defined identification threshold, and frequently changing reference sequences, standardized identification is yet to be established for many herbal medicines. Consequently, a sample may be misidentified because a BLAST search may return two or more species with 99% similarity, or a neighbour-joining tree may cluster different species into a single branch. In addition, sequences from some species may not be present in existing databases, and are thus challenging to identify.

One way to identify a plant is to determine its haplotype, which typically consists of a DNA fragment with a number of nucleotide polymorphisms. The most frequent haplotype found within a species is considered the core haplotype, and all others are deemed rare. As would be expected, the similarity between the core and a rare haplotype of the same species is larger than the similarity between the core haplotype and a adulterant of the same genus. Therefore, a robust, well-defined similarity threshold value based on a large number of sequences could improve the accuracy, objectivity, and speed of DNA-based identification. In this study, we constructed a library of ITS sequences using 138 samples of *C. mandshurica* Rupr to determine the core haplotype, set the identification threshold value, and identify species-specific nucleotide variants, if any. Based on these parameters, we were able to identify samples of *C. hexapetala*, as well as *C. chinensis* and adulterant herbs from GenBank. Thus, we have established a rapid, accurate, and efficient molecular method to identify *Clematidis radix et Rhizoma*.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant materials

Leaves of *C. mandshurica* and *C. hexapetala* were collected from Liaoning Province, Heilongjiang Province, and Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region in China (Tables 1 and 2). ITS sequences from *C. chinensis* and adulterant herbs were downloaded from GenBank (Table 3).

DNA extraction and PCR

Total DNA was extracted from approximately 0.1 g fresh leaves using a plant DNA extraction kit (Beijing Biomed Co., Ltd., Beijing, China), following the manufacturers protocol. Final DNA concentration was determined by spectrophotometry, and integrity was examined by electrophoresis on 1% (w/v) agarose. The universal primers ITS-F (5'-AGAAGTCGTAACAAGGTTTCCGTAGG-3') and ITS-R (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') were used to amplify full length ITS sequences with the following program: Initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min; 35 cycles at 94°C for 45 s, 55°C for 45 s, 72°C for 1 min; and

final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Amplified fragments were sequenced by Sangon Biotechnology Co, Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

No.	Origin	Altitude (m)	Longitude	Latitude		
1	Dalianzhuanghe, Liaoning Province	43	N31°41′40″	E123°2'14"		
2	Fengcheng, Liaoning Province	219	N40°46′ 55″	E123°54′9″		
3	Hengren, Liaoning Province	309	N41°14′15″	E125°22'12"		
4	Dengta, Liaoning Province	173	N41°22′1″	E123°31′55″		
5	Kuandian, Liaoning Province	310	N40°58′35″	E125°0′13″		
6	Benxi, Liaoning Province	247	N41°18′0″	E124°6′25″		
7	Qingyuan, Fushun, Liaoning Province	387	N42°6′58″	E124°55′39″		
8	Youyan, Anshan, Liaoning Province	40	N40°15′51″	E123°13′41″		
9	Dandong, Liaoning Province	204	N39°1′34″	E129°15′41″		
10	Qianshan, Liaoning Province	129	N41°1′37″	E123°8′22″		
11	Kaiyuan, Liaoning Province	98	N42°22′43″	E124°0′47″		
12	Tieling, Liaoning Province	118	N42°8′38″	E123°43′53″		
13	Xifeng, Liaoning Province	265	N42°45′26″	E124°43′21″		
14	Faku, Liaoning Province	211	N42°27′59″	E123°11′59″		
15	Liaoyang, Liaoning Province	248	N42°56′12″	E123°22'27"		
16	Xinbin, Liaoning Province	258	N41°47′12″	E124°38′20″		
17	Fusong, Baishan, Jilin Province	522	N42°20′35″	E127°15′48″		
18	Dongliao, Jilin Province	423	N42°48′44″	E124°57′13″		
19	Lishu, Jilin Province	255	N46°0′32″	E130°40′6″		
20	Yanji, Jilin Province	160	N42°55′20″	E129°35′24″		
21	Liuhe, Jilin Province	445	N42°16′8″	E125°44'40"		
22	Ji'an, Tonghua, Jilin Province	309	N41°10′40″	E126°16′10″		
23	Tonghe, Ha'erbin, Heilongjiang Province	116	N46°16′53″	E129°20'43"		
24	Nancha, Yichun, Heilongjiang Province	153	N47°6′47″	E129°22'20"		
25	Jiamusi, Heilongjiang Province	102	N46°45′16″	E130°22'38"		
26	Tangyuan, Heilongjiang Province	152	N46°40′1″	E129°37′32″		
27	Muleng, Mudanjiang, Heilongjiang Province	297	N44°53′7″	E130°33'33"		
28	Hailin, Mudanjiang, Heilongjiang Province	265	N45°10′49″	E129°24′10″		
29	Yilan, Heilongjiang Province	109	N46°20′53″	E129°34′8″		
30	Shuangyashan, Heilongjiang Province	450	N46°22′8″	E131°6′6″		

Table 1. Voucher data for samples of *Clematis mandshurica* Rupr.

		Donghuat	Lautude
Nianzishan, Heilongjiang Province	398	N47°32'44″	E122°51′17
Xiaoyingxiang, Yanji, Jilin Province	160	N42°55′20″	E129°35′24
Dalianzhuanghe, Liaoning Province	43	N31°41′40″	E123°2′13
Horqin Right Wing Front Banner, Inner Mongolia	541	N46°37′15″	E121°9′24
Faku, Liaoning Province	211	N42°27′59″	E123°11′59
	Xiaoyingxiang, Yanji, Jilin Province Dalianzhuanghe, Liaoning Province Horqin Right Wing Front Banner, Inner Mongolia Faku, Liaoning Province	Xiaoyingxiang, Yanji, Jilin Province 160 Dalianzhuanghe, Liaoning Province 43 Horqin Right Wing Front Banner, Inner Mongolia 541 Faku, Liaoning Province 211	Xiaoyingxiang, Yanji, Jilin Province160N42°55'20"Dalianzhuanghe, Liaoning Province43N31°41'40"Horqin Right Wing Front Banner, Inner Mongolia541N46°37'15"Faku, Liaoning Province211N42°27'59"

Table 3. GenBank accession numbers for ITS sequences of Clematis chinensis Osbeck and counterfeits of Clematidis radix et Rhizoma.

Var.		Accession numbers									
Clematis chinensis	AB775161.1	AB775169.1	AB775162.1	AB775170.1							
	AB775163.1	AB775171.1	AB775164.1	AB775172.1							
	AB775165.1	AB775173.1	AB775166.1	AB775174.1							
	AB775167.1	GU732584.1	AB775168.1	JF714641.1							
Clematis armandii	FJ572047.1										
Clematis chrysocoma	GU732585.1	GU732587.1	GU732586.1								
Clematis finetiana	GU732593.1	JF714642.1									
Clematis florida	KC004031.1										
Clematis henryi	JF714645.1										
Clematis kirilowii	KC758681.1										
Clematis lasiandra	GU732600.1	JF714640.1									
Clematis leschenaultiana	GU732603.1										
Clematis peterae	GU732614.1	GU732615.1									
Clematis pogonandra	GU732618.1										
Clematis uncinata	GU732637.1	JF714643.1									

Sequence proof-reading and statistical analysis

Sequences were manually proofread in ContigExpress (Vector NTI, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and aligned using blastn (available at BLAST NCBI, http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Species-specific nucleotide variants were identified with DNAman (Lynnon Biosoft, San Ramon, CA, USA) and MEGA-5.0. The core haplotype was determined, and similarities between the core and other haplotypes were calculated to set the identification threshold value.

RESULTS

Amplification of ITS sequences

Electrophoresis confirmed that PCR products were of the expected length, highly pure and suitable for further analysis (Figure 1).





Sequence analysis

We obtained 138 ITS sequences of *C. mandshurica* Rupr from 21 locations. The length of the aligned matrix was 611 bp, with 50 polymorphic sites and 86 different haplotypes. After removing haplotypes due to hybridization, 8 distinct haplotypes were found. Polymorphic sites are listed in Table 4, and haplotype frequency is summarized in Figure 2.

Table 4. Polymorphic sites in Clematis mandshurica Rupr. haplotypes.											
Haplotypes					Polyr	norphic si	tes (bp)				_
	4	5	6	19	26	55	94	150	428	566	59
H1	A	С	С	А	А	А	С	G	С	С	C
H2	*	-	-	*	*	*	Т	*	*	Т	G
Н3	*	*	*	*	*	*	Т	*	*	Т	G
H4	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	*	Т	G
Н5	*	*	*	*	*	*	Т	*	*	*	G
H6	*	*	*	*	*	Т	*	*	*	*	G
H7	*	*	*	*	*	Т	Т	А	Т	Т	G
H8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Т	G

(Note: *indicates identity to H1; - indicates deletion).





H1 was the most frequent haplotype at 30.77%, and was therefore considered the core haplotype for *C*. *mandshurica* Rupr. Accordingly, all other haplotypes were deemed rare. The similarity between the core and rare haplotypes was 99.35-100% based on BLAST alignment. On the other hand, the similarity between the Genetics and Molecular Research 17 (2): gmr16039905

core haplotype and the ITS fragment in *C. hexapetala* and *C. chinensis* was 97.23–98.85% and 97.46–98.73%, respectively. Furthermore, the similarity between the core haplotype and ITS sequences in adulterant herbs of the same genus was 93.07–97.86%. Therefore, 99% sequence similarity to the core haplotype was considered adequate to identify genuine *C. mandshurica* Rupr. DNA man inspection of ITS sequences in *C. chinensis*, *C. hexapetala*, *C. mandshurica*, and adulterants identified species-specific polymorphisms (Table 5). In particular, there was a clear distinction between adulterants and *Radix et Rhizoma Clematidis* at nucleotides 35, 86–89, 92, 159, 166, 422 and 555. In *C. hexapetala*, the bases at sites 89, 94, 95, 98, 158, 166, and 174 were G, G, A, T, G, T, and G, respectively, which significantly separated this species from *C. chinensis* and *C. mandshurica*. Finally, nucleotides 89, 98, and 570 were A, T, and T in *C. mandshurica*, but C, deletion, and C in *C. chinensis*, clearly separating the two species.

									Del	vmor	nhie	sitos ((hn)							
Species.	35	86	87	88	89	92	94	95	98	158	159	165	up)	174	193	422	555	565	566	570
C. mandshurica	C	T	T	A	A	G	T	C	C	A	A	G	C	A	C	C	C	G	G	T
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	т	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	т	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	С
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Т	*	*	*	Т	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Т	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	т	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
C. hexapetala	*	*	*	*	G	*	G	А	Т	G	*	*	Т	G	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	G	*	G	А	Т	G	*	*	Т	G	*	*	*	*	*	С
	*	*	*	*	G	*	G	А	Т	G	*	*	Т	G	*	*	*	*	*	*
C. chinensis	*	С	С	G		Т	-	*	*	*	*	Т	*	*	G	*	*	*	*	C
	*	*	*	G	-	Т	-	*	*	*	*	Т	*	*	G	*	*	*	*	С
	*	С	*	G	-	Т	-	*	*	*	*	Т	*	*	G	*	*	*	*	С
	*	*	*	-	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	Т	*	*	G	*	*	*	*	С
	Т	С	С	-	-	С	*	*	*	*	*	*	Т	*	*	*	*	*	*	С
	*	С	*	G	-	Т	-	*	*	*	*	Т	*	*	G	*	*	*	*	С
	*	*	*	G	-	Т	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	G	G	*	*	*	*	С
	*	С	*	-	-	*	*	*	Т	*	*	*	*	*	G	*	*	*	*	С
C. henryi	Т	*	С	G	С	*	С	Т	Т	-	-	-	-	*	Т	A	Т	-	-	*
C. finetiana	Т	С	-	-	-	*	С	*	*	*	С	*	Т	*	*	А	Т	-	-	-
C. finetiana	Т	С	-	-	-	*	С	*	*	*	С	*	Т	*	*	А	Т	-	-	-
C. chrysocoma	Т	-	-	-	-	Α	А	А	*	G	С	Т	Т	*	Т	А	Т	-	-	*
C. lasiandra	Т	*	С	G	С	*	С	Т	*	*	С	*	Т	*	Т	А	Т	-	-	*
C. kirilowii	Т	С	С	G	-	Т	-	*	*	G	*	Т	*	*	G	*	*	*	*	*
C. leschenaultiana	Т	*	С	G	С	*	С	Т	Т	*	С	*	Т	*	Т	А	Т	-	-	*
C. peerage	Т	*	С	G	С	*	С	*	*	*	С	*	Т	*	Т	А	*	-	-	*
C. pogonandra	Т	*	С	G	С	*	С	*	Т	С	С	*	Т	*	Т	А	Т	-	-	*
C. uncinata	Т	С	-	-	-	*	*	*	*	*	С	*	Т	*	*	А	Т	-	-	С
C. armandii	Т	*	С	G	Т	*	С	*	-	*	С	*	Т	*	Т	А	*	-	-	*

Table 5. Polymorphic sites in haplotypes of Clematis chinensis Osbeck, C. hexapetala Pall., C. mandshurica Rupr., and

(Note: *indicates identity to the first sample; - indicates nucleotide deletion. Species-specific variants in *C. mandshurica*, *C. hexapetala*, *C. chinensis*, and counterfeits are highlighted in red, blue, green, and purple, respectively).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Yang successfully used random amplified polymorphic DNA to assess the quality of *Radix et Rhizoma Clematidis* for 11 taxa of *Clematis*, but this method is poorly reproducible. On the other hand, PCR-singlestrand conformation polymorphism was used to trace the origin of *Clematis* samples, but this technology is relatively cumbersome and time-consuming. Thus, ITS, ITS2, and psbA are commonly used as DNA barcodes for *Radix et Rhizoma Clematidis*. However, the accuracy, objectivity, and speed of identification based on these sequences are unsatisfactory, because comparison of a large number of sequences is required, along with an identification standard. In this study, we established a similarity threshold value for identifying *C. mandshurica* and adulterants. In addition, we found that *Clematidis radix et Rhizoma* could be identified accurately based on specific nucleotide sites in ITS sequences.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT AND SPONSORSHIP

National Science and Technology Major Project 2014X09304307001

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

Pharmacopoeia Commission of PRC (2010) Pharmacopoeia of the people's republic of China. *Chemical Industry Press*. Beijing 1: 234. <u>https://doi.org/10.18356/94ce75d8-en</u>

Zhou Y, Guan Y, Shi J, Zhang X, et al. (2012) Development and validation of a chromatographic method for determining Clematichinenoside AR and related impurities . *Chemistry Central Journal*. 6(1): 150. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-153x-6-150</u>

Han W, Xiong Y, Li Y, Fang W, et al. (2013) Anti-arthritic effects of clematichinenoside (AR-6) on PI3K/Akt signaling pathway and TNF-α associated with collagen-induced arthritis . *Pharmaceutical Biology*. 51(1): 13-22. https://doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2012.698287

Ionkova I. (2011) Anticancer lignans from discovery to biotechnology. *Mini Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry*. 11(10): 843-856. https://doi.org/10.2174/138955711796575425

Jung Up Park JN, Kim SJ, Na CS, Choi CH, et al. (2016) Chondroprotective and anti-inflammatory effects of ChondroT, a new complex herbal medication . *Bmc Complementary and Alternative Medicine*. 16(1): 1-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-016-1211-0</u>

Mitjans M, Vinardell MP (2005) Biological activity and health benefits of lignans and lignins . *Trends in Comparative Biochemistry & Physiology*, 11: 55-62.

Yang J, Song NL (2017) Chemical constituents from *Clematidis radix et Rhizoma*. *Chinese Journal of Experimental Traditional Medical Formulae*. 23(9): 41-45 [in Chinese].

Huang YY (2005) Investigation on the original of *Clematidis radix et Rhizoma*. *Guangdong Pharmaceutical Journal*. 15(4): 4-6. [in Chinese]

Song L, Zhang W, Zhang HM, Zhou XJ, et al. (2011) Investigation on the medicinal plant resources of *Clematidis radix et Rhizoma* in East China . *Lishizhen Medicine and Materia Medica Research*. 22(3): 725-727. [in Chinese].

Li JS, Xiao PG, Lou ZC (1980) Research on botanical and pharmacognostical properties of *Clematidis radix et Rhizoma*. Acta *Pharmaceutica Sinica*. 15(5): 288-297. [in Chinese].

Guo LX, Li R, Liu K, Yang J, et al. (2015) Structural characterization and discrimination of Chinese medicinal materials with multiple botanical origins based on metabolite profiling and chemometrics analysis: *Clematidis radix* et *Rhizoma* as a case study . *Journal of Chromatography A*. 1425: 129-140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2015.11.013

Hebert PDN, Ratnasingham S, Dewaard JR (2003) Barcoding animal life: cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit 1 divergences among closely related species . *Proceedings. Biological Sciences / The Royal Society*. 270 (S1): S96-S99. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsb1.2003.0025

Hebert PDN, Cywinska A, Ball SL, Dewaard JR, et al (2003) Biological identifications through DNA barcodes . *Proceedings*. *Biological Sciences / The Royal Society*. 270(1512): 313-321. <u>https://doi.org/10.5772/49967</u>

Yan HX, Yu J (2010) Research status of DNA-barcoding technology in plants . Chinese Bulletin of Botany. (1): 102-108. [in Chinese]

Hou DY, Song JY, Yao H, et al (2013) Molecular identification of Corni Fructus and its counterfeits by ITS/ITS2 sequences . *Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines*. 11(2): 121-127. <u>https://doi.org/10.3724/sp.j.1009.2013.00121</u>

Chen JJ, Zhao QS, Liu YL, Zha SH, et al. (2015) Identification of maca (*Lepidium meyenii* Walp.) and its adulterants by a DNAbarcoding approach based on the ITS sequence . *Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines*. 13(9): 653-659. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s1875-5364(15)30062-5</u>

Chen SL, Yao H, Han JP, Xin TY, et al. (2013) Guiding principle of molecular identification of DNA-barcoding in Chinese herbal medicine . *China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica*. 38(2): 141-148. [in Chinese]

Cheng XL, Liao CL, Liu CS, Bai JF, et al. (2012) Research on the counterfeit of *Cercis chinensis* Bunge—*Schisandra sphenanthera* Rehd. based on NCBI database using DNA-barcoding identification . *Journal of Chinese Medicinal Materials*. 37(17): 2534-2537. [in Chinese]

Liu J, Liu CS, Wei SL, Zhang J, et al (2011) Analysis of rDNA-ITS sequences and similarity of radix paeoniae . *Journal of Chinese Medicinal Materials*. 34(10): 1517-1521. [in Chinese]

Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, et al (2011) MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods . *Molecular Biology and Evolution*. 28(10): 2731-2739. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr121

Yang L (2005) Research on the standardization of quality specification of *Clematidis radix et Rhizoma* [D]. *Chengdu University of Chinese Medicine*. 2005. [in Chinese]

An R, Chen ML, Wang XY, Zhang XQ, et al (2013) Identification of *Clematis* species by PCR-SSCP analysis . *Chinese Pharmaceutical Journal*. 48(17): 1247-1249.

Zeng X, Li L, Ye N, Yao H, et al (2011) Identification of *Clematidis radix et Rhizoma* and its counterfeits based on ITS2 sequence . *Global Traditional Chinese Medicine*. 4(4): 264-269. [in Chinese]

Feng SS, Zheng SH, Li YK, Huang LF. (2014) Identification of *Clematidis radix et Rhizoma* and its counterfeits using DNAbarcoding . *Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica*. 49 (2): 260-266. [in Chinese]

Zheng AY. Research of Radix et Rhizoma Clematidis based on ITS2 sequences . *Chinese and Foreign Medical Research*, 2014, 12(8): 155-156.