Differential Expression of Dormancy-Associated Genes in Fastigiata and Hypogaea Peanut
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ABSTRACT. Seed dormancy is a temporary replacement state observed in some higher plants, which prevents germination under unfavorable conditions. In several species, dormancy process is triggered by hormonal factors, initiated by ABA and precursor enzymes. In this work, we investigated the relationship between dormancy and the expression of ARP, DMR1, and NCED genes in upright and runner peanut seeds. Eight dormancy-contrasting genotypes were previously phenotyped based on germination traits, during three storage periods. Expression of ARP, DMR1 and NCED transcripts was analyzed by qRT-PCR, from endosperm and embryo tissues. Higher expression of NCED and ARP were found in embryo tissues from runner genotypes, IAC Caiapo and LGoPE-06, confirming findings in literature as to association of these genes with seed dormancy. Higher expression of DMR1 was found only in endosperm of upright materials (L7 Bege and BR1). We suggest the NCED is a functional molecular marker to identify dormancy in
seeds, contributing to selection procedures of runner genotypes in peanut breeding.
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**INTRODUCTION**

In agricultural crops, seed dormancy is generally an undesirable characteristic, where rapid germination and growth are required. The level of dormancy in many crops directly affects the quality of yield. Short and shallow dormancy may result in the harmful phenomenon- of pre-harvest sprouting, in which the seeds gain the ability to germinate while they are still on the mother plant (Kermode, 2004). The process triggers in the final stage of maturation of the seed, at the end of the cell cycle and is controlled by several genes and environmental factors, with broad hormonal influence (Hu et al., 2010; Footitt et al., 2014).

Genetic studies have demonstrated that alterations in the ABA biosynthesis can influence seed dormancy and germination. The loss of function of some genes that participate of ABA biosynthetic pathway results in reduced dormancy, whereas higher expression enhances dormancy (Frey et al., 2004; Cadman et al., 2006). Analysis of the expression of genes potentially encoding regulatory enzymes involved in ABA metabolism have been conducted with several crops (Chono et al., 2006; Hwang et al., 2010). Findings reported in literature have demonstrated that NCED (9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenases), ARP (Auxin Repressor Protein) and DMR1 (Dormancy-associated gene 1) are associated with ABA mechanisms, in routes responsible for control of dormancy processes (Footitt et al., 2014). NCED expression in response to environmental stresses is so rapid that NCED activity is considered the rate-limiting step in ABA biosynthesis. Hwang et al. (2010), found higher expression of NCED3 in Arabidopsis, resulting in increased accumulation of ABA and a delay in germination of transgenic seeds compared to wild-type seeds.

ARP and DMR1 belong to family members that have been involved in response to a number of hormonal and environmental treatments in several plant species. The involvement of DMR1/ARP in seed dormancy maintenance has been reported. In Arabidopsis, Schmid et al. (2005) found that high levels of DMR1 transcript were reduced with seed imbibition, while transgenic lines higher expressing DMR1 or ARP1 exhibited delayed germination. In a transcriptome study carried out with Brassica oleracea using osmoprimed seeds and water germinated seeds, Soeda et al. (2005) found that DMR1/ARP was expressed only in seeds germinated on water, suggesting that the expression levels of DMR1/ARP's throughout germination are not integral to the process but might be indirectly linked. According to these authors, the profile in B. oleracea was shared with several stress-associated genes, including cyclophilin, superoxide dismutase, GRP2, and glutathione-transferase, providing evidence that DMR1/ARP may play a more general role in stress response in the seed.

Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is a valuable oilseed crop and known for the differentiated levels of seed dormancy in some genotypes, especially in subspecies hypogaea (Virginia and Runner types) (Faye et al., 2009). In the subspecies fastigiata, represented by upright accessions (Valencia and Spanish types), genotypes are early maturing but generally without fresh seed dormancy. Breeding for fresh seed dormancy in peanut is an important strategy in environments where rains may occur after pod maturity.

In several region at worldwide, peanut crop is often carried out in the rainy season, with frequent short summers during the cycle. Environmental changes often interfere with germination and quality of the seeds. The grains contain 45-50% of oil, which contains 30-35% polyunsaturated fatty acids and 17-18% of saturated fatty acids (Santos et al., 2012a; Gunasekaran and Pavadai, 2015). This amount makes peanuts susceptible to oxidative rancidity during processing and storage that is the most common cause of quality loss. Polyunsaturated fatty acids have a high potential of developing off-flavors due to oxidation, which leads to the formation of hydroperoxides. The decomposition of hydroperoxides results in a wide variety of compounds, such as aldehydes and ketones, which interfere on seed germination (Vokou et al., 2003). High linoleic seeds are more resistant to oxidation and are found in Spanish and Valencia genotypes. Although no correlation has been found between fatty acids and germination, it is suggested that rancidity may be involved in the dormancy of hypogaea genotypes, since they have high concentration of oleic acid (Santos et al., 2012a; Gunasekaran and Pavadai, 2015).
The objective of this work was to investigate the relationship between dormancy and expression of \textit{NCED}, \textit{ARP}, and \textit{DMR1} in seeds of peanut genotypes previously phenotyped, in order to identify markers that could be previously adapted in selection procedures for environments with water restriction.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Phenotyping of peanut genotypes**

Eight dormancy-contrasting peanut genotypes were chosen for the trials (Table 1). Five hundred full mature pods were collected from each genotype grown in a field, at Barbalha, CE, Brazil (07°18'40"S; 39°18'15"W, 414 m) and further stored at room temperature (RT: 23-25 °C, 56-66% relative humidity of air) for a period of up to eight months (240 days). The humidity of the seed at the beginning of storage was between 8-10%. Phenotyping was based on these traits: day to germination, germination rate and seedling height, estimated from seeds of each genotype in three storage periods, at 30 (T0), 150 (T1) and 240 (T2) days. Additionally, the oil content was also evaluated. Seeds were previously treated with Vitavax-Thiram 200SC (5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-1,4-oxathi-ine-3-carboxanilide), following manufacturing’s recommendation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Subsp.</th>
<th>BT</th>
<th>GH</th>
<th>Genealogy/Origin</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>DS</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>10RS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRS Havana</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Cv. (V x P) BR</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7 bege</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Top line (V x S) BR</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAC Caiapo</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Vi</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Land race/RD BR</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7PE-07</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Vi</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Land race/PE BR</td>
<td>EL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7PE-06</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Vi</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Land race/PE BR</td>
<td>EL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florunner</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Vi</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Cv. IV/USA</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subsp. F- fastigiata; H- hypogaea; BT- botanic type; V- Valencia; Vi- Virginia; GH- growth habit; U- upright; R- runner; Cv.- commercial cultivar; SS- seed size, M- mid, L- large, EG- extra-large; DS- dormancy in seed; 100S- 100 seed weight (g).

The germination analysis was carried out in BOD (Biochemical Oxygen Demand- growth chamber) chamber, using paper towel method (Abdul Baki and Anderson, 1973). Seeds were sown in rolled paper towels (Germitest) moistened with 10 mL of distilled water, and incubates at 25°C. After seven days of incubated, the number of seeds that had germinated was counted and measured the length of seedlings. The experiment was repeated three times with two replicates of fifty seeds each. The rate of germination was estimated by: \%G = NSG/NST x 100 (% G = Total Germination; NSG = N of germinated seeds; NST = N of tested seeds).

The oil content was estimated by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectrometer (H1 OXFORD MQA 7005), following recommendation described in AOCS (2004). The test was repeated three times with two replicates of twenty seeds each. Samples were collected by chance to each repetition.

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA), using GENES software (Cruz, 2013). Significant effects of treatments were determined by F test (p≤0.05). Means were separated using Tukey’s test (p≤0.05).

**Expression analysis via RT-qPCR**

Embryo and endosperm tissues were used for extraction of total RNA (Invisorb Spin Plant Mini Kit, Invitek, Germany) and further synthesis of cDNA (ImProm-II™ Reverse Transcription System kit, Promega), following manufacturer’s recommendations. The reverse transcription was performed in 44 µL, using 11 µL of cDNA sample (1 µg total RNA), 0.5 µg Oligo dT15 (10 mM), 1X ImProm-II Reaction Buffer, 6 µL MgCl2 (25 mM), 0.5 µL dNTP set (10 mM), 1 U/µL Recombinant RNase Ribonuclease Inhibitor; 1.25 U/µL ImProm-II™RT. Samples were incubated in a thermal cycler, first at 25°C/5 min, then at 42°C/1 h and 70°C/15 min.

The relative expression of \textit{NCED}, \textit{ARP} and \textit{DMR1} transcripts was estimated by qRT-PCR (Eco Real-Time PCR System – Illumina, SD, USA) using Evagreen kit (Biotium Inc., Hayward, CA, USA), according to manufacturer's instructions. The primers used in this study were previously designed from conserved regions of \textit{NCED} (gi 340764664, \textit{Glycine max}, gi 6715256, \textit{Phaseolus vulgaris}, gi 22335698, \textit{Pisum sativum}, gi 4276042, \textit{Arachis hypogaea}, \textit{ARP} (gi71040686, A. thaliana, gi 357446688, \textit{Medicago truncatula}) and \textit{DMR1} (gi 2995989, A. thaliana, gi 2605886, \textit{Pisum sativum}, gi 229893931, \textit{Brassica rapa}) from several accessions available in NCBI.
gene bank. The ClustalW2 program (www.ebi.ac.uk) was used to alignment of sequences. The set of primers used in assays are displayed in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primers (Forward/Reverse, 5’→3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCED</td>
<td>GAAGGAAGACACAGTGCGCA/AGGAAGAAACATCGGCTCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARP</td>
<td>GGTTCCTTGAAGAAAGCTG/GCCTGGTGTGCTCTTGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMR1</td>
<td>GGATGATTGTGCTGCTGCCC/CCGATTGACGGTGCTCTCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-atina*</td>
<td>GATCTGGCATCACACCTTC/AGGAAGCTCGAGCTGCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP2A**</td>
<td>GTGATCTTCTTCGATACACGCA/CGTACAAACAAAGCTCTC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Batista et al. (2015); **Ártico et al. (2014); PP2A- Protein phosphatase 2A.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phenotyping of fastigiata and hypogaea genotypes

The traits used to phenotyping fastigiata (upright) and hypogaea (runner) genotypes were adequate to identify contrasting materials to seed dormancy. No evidence of dormancy was found in upright genotypes, based on data provided in Figure 1. The profile of BR 1 and BRS Havana was quite similar (Figure 1).
Figure 1. Days to germination, germination rate, seedling height and oil content from seeds of upright and runner genotypes of peanut. T0, T1 and T2 mean the periods that seeds were collected to assays, at 30, 150 and 240 days after the beginning of pod storage at RT.

No expressive lapse was found to germination of both cultivars. The rate of germination was 96% during the assay. The height of seedling, however, decreased in 14% at 240 days of pod storage. In L7 bege, a slight delay on germination was found at final of assay (T2). The rate of germination decreased in 7%, nevertheless, no statistical difference was seen in height of seedlings during storage period. These slight oscillations are often expected in oil seeds, stored at room temperature (Almeida et al., 2010).

In runner genotypes, the process of germination started at 8 to 12 days in T0 treatment, reaching 17 days in the more dormant genotypes (IAC Caipó and Cavalo), at the end of assays. The rate of germination was maintained...
almost uniform to all materials, between 87% to 98%, excepting to Cavalo that started at 85% (T0) and reached 55%, at 210 days of pod storage (T2). No statistical difference was found to Florunner, LViPE-06 and Cavalo to seedling height in all treatments, while in IAC Caiapo and LGoPE-06, the height was reduced to 11% and 12%, respectively, from 150 days (T1) of pod storage at RT.

The variation of oil content was not expressive in both set of genotypes. No statistical differences were found to upright genotypes, but in runner types, reductions were situated among 4% (LViPE-06 and Florunner) and 6% (Cavalo). IAC Caiapo and LGoPE-06 did not show statistical differences during the periods of storage evaluated.

Literature displays several reports involving germination of oleaginous seeds under large storage period (Neergaard, 1977; Bhattacharya and Raha, 2002; Balešević-Tubić et al., 2010). According to Neergaard (1977) seeds with high oil content possess lower moisture than those with high protein or starch. In peanut, no evident correlation has been found in dormancy and storage, but rancidity is involved with oleic/linoleic ratio, which is higher in runner genotypes (Bhattacharya and Raha, 2002; Balešević-Tubić et al., 2010; Santos et al., 2012a). High rancidity interferes on seed germination of peanut seeds (Santos et al., 2012a; Gunasekaran and Pavadai, 2015). Some peanut researchers have used interspecies crosses in order to minimize the effect of rancidity and maintain the dormancy of the seeds (Wadia et al., 1987; Faye et al., 2009; Santos et al., 2012a). According to Wadia et al. (1987), Virginia x Spanish crosses may lead to lines with fresh seed dormancy but matures up to 10 days latter than the Spanish parent. Faye et al. (2009) report the existence of dormancy in the fastigiata types, although to a lesser extent. In an evaluation of dormancy on lines derived from crosses between Spanish genotypes, authors found intensity of dormancy ranging from 12% to 100%, with duration varying from 15 to 35 days.

Based on these information, we choose LGoPE-06, and IAC Caiapo representing moderate and deep dormant treatments, respectively, for further molecular assays. LGoPE-06 is a decumbent runner used in Brazilian peanut breeding coordinated by Embrapa (Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation) due its high pod yield and extra-large seed (Santos et al., 2012a). IAC Caiapo is a cultivar generated by Spanish x Virginia cross and widely cropped by peanut farmers situated at Brazilian southeast. The upright genotypes BR 1 and L7 bege, were chosen to represent no-dormant treatment.

Relative expression of NCED, ARP, and DMR

ABA represses germination and is presumed to function to stabilize the dormant state in seeds (Kermode, 2005; Finkelstein et al., 2008). ABA deficiencies during seed development are associated with the absence of primary dormancy of mature seed, whereas higher expression of ABA synthesis genes increases the ABA content and seed dormancy or delay germination (Qin and Zeevaart, 2002; Finkelstein et al., 2008). Several genes are associated with ABA regulation, including NCED, whose role in seed dormancy is widely described in the literature. According to Kermode (2005), the expression of the NCED is upregulated in embryos during imbibition of both dormant and non-dormant seeds; however, it is induced to a greater extent in embryos of dormant imbibed grains. In seeds of Arabidopsis, tomato and tobacco, the whereas higher expression of ABA synthesis genes increases the ABA content of NCED leads to higher ABA level, increasing seed dormancy (Luchi et al., 2001; Qin and Zeevaart, 2002).

Here, we also found higher expression of NCED in dormant seeds of peanut genotypes. As show in figure 2A, transcripts were basically found in embryo tissues of runner genotypes, with higher expression in deep-dormant IAC Caiapo (50x). These results attest that NCED can be adopted as a marker of dormancy in embryos of peanut seeds, confirming results found in the literature.

The biosynthetic network of genes involved in dormancy process is large and complex. NCEDs and CYP707As (ABA 8’-hydroxylases) are the major key regulatory enzymes for ABA biosynthesis and degradation, respectively. Both are encoded by multigene families, and their tissue- and environment-specific regulation determines the ABA contents (Qin and Zeevaart, 2002; Ali-Rachedi et al., 2004; Finkelstein et al., 2008). In works carried out with deep dormant seeds of Arabidopsis, Ali-Rachedi et al. (2004) have demonstrated that dormancy may depend on an intrinsic balance of GA (Gibberellic acid) and ABA biosynthesis and catabolism, which will determine the dominance of either of the hormones. According to the authors, GA treatment of dormant seeds caused a transient increase in ABA concentration, suggesting that in dormant seeds a feedback mechanism exists that maintains a high ABA:GA ratio.
It is believed that in many cases the interactions between ABA and other hormones result in a process in which dormancy and gem growth are regulated by the balance between gem growth inhibitors such as ABA and promoter substances such as cytokinins, gibberellins, and auxins. Although ABA and gibberellins are known to be the primary phytohormones that antagonistically regulate seed dormancy, recent findings demonstrate that another phytohormone, auxin, is also critical for inducing and maintaining seed dormancy, and therefore might act as a key protector of seed dormancy (Shu et al., 2015).

**Figure 2.** Relative expression of *NCED*, *ARP* and *DMR1* genes in embryo (E) and endosperm (End) tissues of runner and upright peanut genotypes. The error bars indicate standard deviation of mean.

Auxin, a common growth-stimulating phytohormone, regulates a variety of growth and developmental processes in plants, leading to changes in the expression of many auxin-activated and auxin-repressed genes. *ARP* and *DRM* genes are closely related and isolated from dicots and monocots. Both form the *ARP/DRM* gene family and are often used as a genetic marker for dormant meristematic and seed tissues (Lee et al., 2013; Rae et al., 2013). In a study of molecular characterization of the *Brassica rapa* auxin-repressed superfamily genes, Lee et al. (2013) found that increases in both BrARP1 and BrDRM1 levels in *Arabidopsis* plants caused delayed germination; reduction in root, hypocotyl, and leaf growth; and reduction in final plant size and seed production.

Here, the expression of *ARP* in peanut genotypes is shown in figure 2B. Transcription was found only in runner genotypes, higher expression in endosperm (8x) and embryo of LGoPE-06 (up to 30x). Seeds of LGoPE-06 are
extra-large, rich in fatty acids and more tolerant to drought than IAC Caiapo (Santos et al., 2012a and b). Findings in the literature report transcriptional upregulation of DRM1/ARP family members in response to abiotic factors, such as drought and salt, in several species including A. hypogaea (Shimizu et al., 2006; Govind et al., 2009). Considering that both runner genotypes have expressive seed dormancy, it is possible that higher expression found in LGoPE-06 could be associated with expression of other traits, such as environmental adaptation. While IAC Caiapo was developed to environments with regular rainfall, LGoPE-06 is a top line improved to the semiarid region of Brazilian Northeast (Santos et al., 2012b).

As to DRM1 transcripts shown in Figure 2C, the pattern of expression obtained to IAC Caiapo was similar to that seen for ARP (Figure 2B), in both tissues. Low expression was found in other genotypes, except for a higher expression in the endosperm of upright materials. As most of the results found in the literature with NCED, ARP, and DRM1 have been reported in dormant seeds, we have done a vast search in order to explain the expression profile seen in upright genotypes. According to Rae et al. (2013), besides the processes of dormancy, regulation of DRM1/ARP has been assessed in response to a number of treatments: hormonal, sugars, and abiotic and biotic across a number of plant species. In peanut, Govind et al. (2009) reported DRM1/ARP transcript expression in response to gradual water stress. As BR 1 and L7 bege are earliness and widely tolerant to drought (Duarte et al., 2013), we suggest that higher expression found in endosperm of these materials could be benefited by effect of this trait.

In this work, we estimated the expression of NCED, ARP and DRM1 in previously phenotyped dormant-seed peanut germplasm. Although the results obtained here have been quite interesting in the aspect of expression in the different tissues, we suggest that NCED is more suitable for further use in selection procedures, in breeding works for runner peanut improvement.
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