

Development of transcript-associated microsatellite markers in *Ancherythoculter nigrocauda* and cross-amplification in *Culter alburnus*

Y. Sun^{1,2}, Q. Li¹, G. Wang¹, D. Zhu¹, J. Chen¹ and P. Li¹

¹Wuhan Aquaculture Science Research Institute, Wuhan, China ²Wuhan Academy of Agricultural Science Technology, Wuhan, China

Corresponding author: Q. Li E-mail: xfsckj@163.com

Genet. Mol. Res. 14 (4): 14286-14290 (2015) Received March 17, 2015 Accepted July 23, 2015 Published November 13, 2015 DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2015.November.13.12

ABSTRACT. Twenty-eight polymorphic microsatellite markers were developed from the transcriptome of *Ancherythoculter nigrocauda*. These loci were used to characterize the genotypes of 48 individuals. The observed number of alleles per locus ranged from 5 to 11, with an average of 7.7. Expected and observed heterozygosities ranged from 0.437 to 0.978 and from 0.373 to 1.000, respectively. Four of these polymorphic microsatellite loci (HWB14, HWB18, HWB24, and HWB30) deviated significantly from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium after use of the sequential Bonferroni correction (P < 0.05). Twenty of the 28 loci could be successfully amplified in *Culter alburnus*. These novel markers will be useful for germplasm resource conservation and management of *A. nigrocauda* and *C. alburnus*.

Key words: *Ancherythoculter nigrocauda*; *Culter alburnus*; Polymorphism; Simple sequence repeat; Cross-amplification

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 14286-14290 (2015) ©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

INTRODUCTION

The fish species *Ancherythoculter nigrocauda*, which belongs to subfamily Culterinae, family Cyprinidae, and order Cypriniformes (Luo and Chen, 1998), is endemic to the upper reaches of the Yangtze River in China. Recently, its natural resources have been declining in China because of habitat fragmentation as a result of dam construction, long-term overfishing and water pollution (Xiong et al., 2006). Therefore, there is an urgent need for monitoring and preserving genetic diversity in this species. Microsatellite or simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers are widely used in assessing population genetic structures and genetic diversity because of their hyper-variability and abundance throughout most vertebrate genomes. Some anonymous SSRs have been developed previously for *A. nigrocauda* (Sun et al., 2014); however, SSRs derived from expressed sequence tags (EST-SSRs), which are associated with biological functions, are not so far available for this species. Compared to anonymous SSRs, EST-SSRs can provide more useful information for investigating genetic diversity, such as determining the ability to adapt to the environment (Reed and Frankham, 2003). In this study, we developed 28 EST-SSRs for *A. nigrocauda*, with the aim of providing new molecular tools to investigate genetic diversity in an *A. nigrocauda* population.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A total of 11,211 putative microsatellites from the *A. nigrocauda* transcriptome were generated by direct high-throughput Illumina sequencing of a liver cDNA library (unpublished results). Of these, 36 microsatellite-containing sequences were randomly selected to design primers using PRIMER PREMIER 5.00 (Premier Biosoft International). Polymorphisms in all loci were initially tested in eight *A. nigrocauda* and eight *Culter alburnus* individuals. Subsequently, the polymorphic markers were used to investigate 48 *A. nigrocauda* individuals from Wu Lake in Wuhan, Hubei Province of China and 48 *C. alburnus* individuals from Danjiangkou, Hubei Province of China. Genomic DNA was extracted from ethanol-preserved fin tissues using the standard phenol-chloroform method. A 25-µL reaction mixture was used for PCR; this mixture contained 50-100 ng genomic DNA, 5 µM forward primer (5' modified with FAM, HEX, or ROX), 5 µM reverse primer, 10 mM dNTPs, 10X Taq buffer (15 mM MgCl₂), and 0.5 unit Taq polymerase (Takara). PCR amplifications were carried out with the following conditions: 95°C for 5 min; 35 cycles comprising 94°C for 30 s, the optimized annealing temperature (Tables 1 and 2) for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s; and a final extension of 72°C for 7 min. The PCR products were genotyped on an ABI 3730 DNA sequencer (ABI) and analyzed using Genescan 3.7 (ABI).

The number of alleles ($N_{\rm A}$), observed heterozygosity ($H_{\rm o}$), expected heterozygosity ($H_{\rm E}$), exact test of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), and linkage disequilibrium were analyzed using ARLEQUIN version 3.0 (Excoffier et al., 2005).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The 28 polymorphic loci identified 5 to 11 alleles per locus, with an average of 7.7, in a wild population (Table 1). $H_{\rm E}$ ranged from 0.437 to 0.978 and $H_{\rm O}$ from 0.373 to 1.000. Four of the polymorphic microsatellite loci (HWB14, HWB18, HWB24, and HWB30) showed significant deviations (P < 0.05) from HWE after use of the sequential Bonferroni correction. There was no evidence of linkage disequilibrium among the loci at the 5% significance level. These polymorphic

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 14286-14290 (2015)

	Repeat motif	Ta (°C)	z	Size range (bp)	μ	μ_{\circ}	GenBank accession No.
CCAATAATAGAAAGCG	(GT) ₇	60	œ	129-157	0.744	0.812	KM588228
TAAGATTGAGTGAGA	(CT)	50	ŝ	301-329	0.437	0.373	KM588229
CCAGAAGTGAAAC	(AC)	60	ŝ	239-251	0.598	0.479	KM588230
ACTGTCCAAGTCTGTT	(TTC)	48	9	242-286	0.500	0.687	KM588231
ACAGAACGAAAGCAACAC	(AG)	52	7	255-283	0.708	0.768	KM588232
TGACTGTGTTGGGGT	(AC)	52	6	237-293	0.958	0.730	KM588233
CACGATTATCTACGG	(TG),	52	ø	258-320	0.791	0.697	KM588234
AAAGACGCCCCAGAG	(CT)	55	10	179-209	0.958	0.783	KM588235
AGAGACATAGTAAAGA	(CT)	50	1	257-311	0.978	0.878	KM588236
BITCCCCAGTCCAAA	(CA)	55	9	276-294	0.534	0.574	KM588237
TTGAGTGTTTTATGTGTC	(CA)	52	7	256-288	0.793	0.792	KM588238
AAATGGCAAAGAGC	(TTCT),	50	10	132-246	0.659	0.386*	KM588239
AAGCCAACTGAAGA	(ATT)	56	ŝ	114-126	0.826	0.972	KM588240
CAATAGGAGAGAGG	(TG),	58	9	289-313	0.558	0.694	KM588241
GCTGATGTGAACTGGGA	(GA)	55	ø	232-278	0.811	0.971	KM588242
AACTGTGCTGTTCCTGT	(GT)。	60	6	102-114	0.768	1.000*	KM588243
GGAGATTTAGGAGGGGA	(CT)	54	10	198-296	0.556	0.692	KM588244
CAAACGAACTGAAA	(AGC),	50	œ	245-256	0.583	0.583	KM588245
ATCCTCCATTTCC	(TG),	55	ø	256-290	0.889	0.922	KM588246
AGCACCCAAATG	(AC)	56	6	127-153	0.793	0.892	KM588247
GGTTGAACTATCC	(TG) ₇	52	9	302-326	0.877	1.000	KM588248
TATGGGATGGTATT	(CT) ₈	60	1	254-302	0.756	0.979*	KM588249
CTTTAGCCCACTT	(TCC) ₆	50	9	137-167	0.656	0.879	KM588250
STAACATTTCTTCAT	(AG) ₇	60	10	161-181	0.804	0.978	KM588251
CCACCCTGAACAA	(AG)	60	7	212-224	0.761	0.829	KM588252
GAAACCGTCAT	(AT)	50	7	131-183	0.875	0.912	KM588253
GGATTGGGAAGAACG	(GAG),	52	6	268-298	0.821	0.871	KM588254
GAGCACCAGCAGA	(TG) ₇	60	9	220-326	0.673	0.957*	KM588255
$H_{\rm E}$ = expected heterozy	'gosity; $H_{\rm o}$ = ob	served het	erozyg	losity. *Significa	ant devia	tion from	the Hardy-Weinberg
ACMGARCGAA TGACTGATGTT TGACTGTGTT TGACTGTGTT AAAAGACGACTAG AAAAGACCAGTT AAAAGGGCAAGT AAATGGGCAAGT AAATGGGCAACT CATTGGGGGAGG GGGAATTTGG GGGTGGAACTTT TTCCTCCAAT TACGTTGCAG GGGTGGAATG TACATTTCG GGATTGGGATG GGTGGAACTATTCG GGATTGGGATG GAGCACCCAA TACATTCG GGATTGGGATG GAGCACCAGG GGGCACCAGG GGGCACCCGGAA TACATTCG GGATTGGGATG GAGCACCAGG GGGCACCAGG GGGCACCAGGA CTTTAGCCCA	AGCAACAC AGCAACAC GGGGT AGCGG CCCAAG TAAAGA TAAAGA CCAAA ACTGGGA ACTGGGA ACTGGGA ACTGGGA ACTGGGA ACTGGGA ACTGGGA ACTGCGC CAA CCC CAA AT CCC CAA AT CCC CAA AT CCC CAA AT CCC CAA AT CCC CAA AT CCC CAA AT CCC CAA AT CCC CAA AC CCC CAA AC CCC CC	AGCAACAC (46), aGGGT (40), GCGG (10), CCAGAG (71), TAAGA (71), TAAGA (71), ACTGGT (71), ACTGGGA (71), ACTGGA (71), ACTGA (71), ACTA (71),	AGCAACAC (AG,) 52 AGGGAACAC (AC) 52 GGGGG (T) 55 TAAGGA (T) 55 CCAAA (CA) 55 ACTGGGA (T) 56 GAGG (T) 57 TICCTGT (T) 56 TC (AC) 56 CC (T) 57 TC (AC) 55 TC (AC) 57 TC (AC) 57 ACA (AG)	AGGAACAC (AG) 52 7 AGGAACAC (AC) 52 7 GGGGG (T) 52 9 GGGGG (T) 55 10 TAAGA (T) 55 10 TAAGA (T) 55 10 TAAGA (T) 55 6 AGGC (TT) 55 6 AGGG (T) 55 7 SAAGA (ATT) 56 5 ACTGGGA (G) 55 8 ACTGGGA (G) 55 8 TCCC (T) 55 8 TCCC (G) 55 9 TCC (AC) 55 9 TCC (AC) 55 7 </td <td>AGGAACAC (AG) 52 7 255-283 AGGGA (AC) 52 7 255-283 GGGGT (AC) 55 10 179-209 TAAGA (CT) 55 6 276-294 ACTGGGA (TT) 56 5 114-126 ACTGGGA (GA) 56 5 114-126 ACTGGGA (GA) 56 6 102-144 ACTGGGA (GA) 56 8 232-278 ACTGGGA (GA) 56 8 222-278 ACTGGGA (GA) 56 8 222-278 ACC (TG) 56 8 <td< td=""><td>AGGAACAC (AG) 52 7 255-283 0.708 AGGGACAC (AO) 52 9 237-293 0.968 AGGGG (TG) 55 10 179-209 0.968 TAAGA (CT) 55 10 179-209 0.968 TAAGA (CT) 55 10 179-209 0.978 TAAGA (CT) 55 6 276-294 0.534 AGG (TTT) 55 6 276-294 0.534 AGG (TTT) 55 11 227-246 0.556 AGG (TTT) 56 5 114-126 0.556 AAGG (TTT) 56 5 114-126 0.566 AGGG (TG) 55 8 223-278 0.81 AGGGGA (GT) 56 5 114-126 0.568 AGGG (TG) 55 8 226-226 0.583 AGGG (GT) 56</td><td>AGGAACAC (AG) 52 7 255-283 0.708 0.768 GGGGT (AO) 52 1 179-203 0.958 0.730 GGGGG (G) 52 10 179-209 0.958 0.730 TAAGA (CT) 55 10 179-209 0.958 0.873 TAAGA (CT) 55 11 257-311 0.978 0.873 TAAGA (CT) 55 11 257-311 0.978 0.874 TAAGA (CT) 55 11 257-311 0.978 0.874 TGCAAA (CA) 55 11 257-311 0.978 0.874 AGG (TTCT) 56 5 114-126 0.826 0.993 AAGG (GA) 55 8 232-278 0.811 0.007 AGGG (GT) 56 9 102-144 0.758 0.694 AGGGGGA (GT) 55 8 245-256</td></td<></td>	AGGAACAC (AG) 52 7 255-283 AGGGA (AC) 52 7 255-283 GGGGT (AC) 55 10 179-209 TAAGA (CT) 55 6 276-294 ACTGGGA (TT) 56 5 114-126 ACTGGGA (GA) 56 5 114-126 ACTGGGA (GA) 56 6 102-144 ACTGGGA (GA) 56 8 232-278 ACTGGGA (GA) 56 8 222-278 ACTGGGA (GA) 56 8 222-278 ACC (TG) 56 8 <td< td=""><td>AGGAACAC (AG) 52 7 255-283 0.708 AGGGACAC (AO) 52 9 237-293 0.968 AGGGG (TG) 55 10 179-209 0.968 TAAGA (CT) 55 10 179-209 0.968 TAAGA (CT) 55 10 179-209 0.978 TAAGA (CT) 55 6 276-294 0.534 AGG (TTT) 55 6 276-294 0.534 AGG (TTT) 55 11 227-246 0.556 AGG (TTT) 56 5 114-126 0.556 AAGG (TTT) 56 5 114-126 0.566 AGGG (TG) 55 8 223-278 0.81 AGGGGA (GT) 56 5 114-126 0.568 AGGG (TG) 55 8 226-226 0.583 AGGG (GT) 56</td><td>AGGAACAC (AG) 52 7 255-283 0.708 0.768 GGGGT (AO) 52 1 179-203 0.958 0.730 GGGGG (G) 52 10 179-209 0.958 0.730 TAAGA (CT) 55 10 179-209 0.958 0.873 TAAGA (CT) 55 11 257-311 0.978 0.873 TAAGA (CT) 55 11 257-311 0.978 0.874 TAAGA (CT) 55 11 257-311 0.978 0.874 TGCAAA (CA) 55 11 257-311 0.978 0.874 AGG (TTCT) 56 5 114-126 0.826 0.993 AAGG (GA) 55 8 232-278 0.811 0.007 AGGG (GT) 56 9 102-144 0.758 0.694 AGGGGGA (GT) 55 8 245-256</td></td<>	AGGAACAC (AG) 52 7 255-283 0.708 AGGGACAC (AO) 52 9 237-293 0.968 AGGGG (TG) 55 10 179-209 0.968 TAAGA (CT) 55 10 179-209 0.968 TAAGA (CT) 55 10 179-209 0.978 TAAGA (CT) 55 6 276-294 0.534 AGG (TTT) 55 6 276-294 0.534 AGG (TTT) 55 11 227-246 0.556 AGG (TTT) 56 5 114-126 0.556 AAGG (TTT) 56 5 114-126 0.566 AGGG (TG) 55 8 223-278 0.81 AGGGGA (GT) 56 5 114-126 0.568 AGGG (TG) 55 8 226-226 0.583 AGGG (GT) 56	AGGAACAC (AG) 52 7 255-283 0.708 0.768 GGGGT (AO) 52 1 179-203 0.958 0.730 GGGGG (G) 52 10 179-209 0.958 0.730 TAAGA (CT) 55 10 179-209 0.958 0.873 TAAGA (CT) 55 11 257-311 0.978 0.873 TAAGA (CT) 55 11 257-311 0.978 0.874 TAAGA (CT) 55 11 257-311 0.978 0.874 TGCAAA (CA) 55 11 257-311 0.978 0.874 AGG (TTCT) 56 5 114-126 0.826 0.993 AAGG (GA) 55 8 232-278 0.811 0.007 AGGG (GT) 56 9 102-144 0.758 0.694 AGGGGGA (GT) 55 8 245-256

Y. Sun et al.

14288

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 14286-14290 (2015)

Table 2. Characterization of polymorphic microsatellite loci in the Culter alburnus.

Locus	Repeat motif	Ta (°C)	N _A	Size range (bp)	H _E	H _o	GenBank accession No.
EHWB01	(GT) ₇	60	5	127-133	0.373	0.437	KM588228
EHWB03	(AC)	60	8	243-253	0.688	0.500	KM588230
EHWB04	(TTC)	48	8	240-280	0.505	0.680	KM588231
EHWB06	(AG) ₆	52	7	253-281	0.705	0.761	KM588232
EHWB08	(TG) ₇	52	9	256-322	0.790	0.691	KM588234
EHWB09	(CT) ₆	55	10	179-205	0.954	0.783	KM588235
EHWB14	(TTCT)	50	11	130-240	0.768	0.708*	KM588239
EHWB17	(GA) _e	55	8	230-268	0.814	0.971*	KM588242
EHWB18	(GT)	60	8	102-116	0.730	0.958	KM588243
EHWB20	(AGC) ₅	50	6	248-259	0.698	0.792	KM588245
EHWB22	(AC) _e	56	9	123-151	0.793	0.890	KM588247
EHWB24	(CT)	60	9	256-296	0.783	1.000	KM588249
EHWB26	(AG) ₇	60	14	161-193	0.879	0.958	KM588251
EHWB27	(AG)	60	6	214-228	0.574	1.000	KM588252
EHWB30	(TG) ₇	60	3	318-324	0.535	0.979	KM588255

Ta = annealing temperature; $N_{\rm A}$ = number of alleles; $H_{\rm e}$ = expected heterozygosity; $H_{\rm o}$ = observed heterozygosity. *Significant deviation from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium after Bonferroni correction.

microsatellite markers will therefore provide a powerful tool for investigating genetic diversity and population genetic structures in *A. nigrocauda*.

The 28 polymorphic markers were tested for cross-species amplification in *C. alburnus*, a closely related species to *A. nigrocauda*. Of these, 20 loci successfully amplified a product and 15 showed polymorphisms. The N_A per polymorphic locus ranged from 3 to 14 with an average of 8.1. H_E ranged from 0.373 to 0.954 and H_o from 0.437 to 1.000 (Table 2). Two of the polymorphic microsatellite loci (HWB14 and HWB17) showed significant deviations (P < 0.05) from the HWE after use of the sequential Bonferroni correction. There was no evidence of linkage disequilibrium among loci at the 5% significance level. Therefore, these cross-species microsatellite markers from *A. nigrocauda* are also potentially of value for conservation and management of germplasm resources in *C. alburnus*.

We identified strong heterozygosities for some microsatellite loci, such as HWBE18/ HWBE23/HWBE24/HWBE27, which are therefore potentially suitable for genetic mapping in *A. nigrocauda* and *C. alburnus*.

In this study, we have described 28 polymorphic microsatellite loci in *A. nigrocauda* and showed that 15 of these markers can identify polymorphic loci in *C. alburnus* through cross-species amplification. These loci will be of value for investigating genetic variation and population structures in *A. nigrocauda* and *C. alburnus*, and will also prove helpful in the management of their fisheries and in the design of conservation strategies.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research supported by the National Science Foundation of China (#31402296), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (#2014M562077), the Wuhan Chenguang Project for Youth Scholar (#201372304010832), and the Science and Technology Planning Project of Wuhan (#BSH201301).

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 14286-14290 (2015)

Y. Sun et al.

REFERENCES

- Excoffier L, Laval G and Schneider S (2005). Arlequin (version 3.0): An integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. *Evol. Bioinform.* 1: 47-50.
- Luo YL and Chen YR (1998) Cultrinae. In: Fauna Sinica, Osteichthyes, Cypriniformes II (Chen YY, ed.). Sciences Press, Beijing.
- Reed DH and Frankham R (2003). Correlation between fitness and genetic diversity. Conserv. Biol. 17: 230-237.
- Sun YH, Wang GY, Zhu DM, Chen J, et al. (2014). Development of polymorphic microsatellite loci isolated from the Ancherythoculter nigrocauda. Conserv. Genet. Resour. 6: 919-923.
- Xiong M, Qiao Y, Rosentha H, Que Y, et al. (2006). Early ontogeny of *Ancherythoculter nigrocauda* and effects of delayed first feeding on larvae. *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* 22: 502-50.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 14286-14290 (2015)