

# Development and characterization of microsatellite markers for *Ulmus chenmoui* (Ulmaceae), an endangered tree endemic to eastern China

Q.F. Geng<sup>1,2\*</sup>, J. He<sup>1,2\*</sup>, J. Yang<sup>1,2</sup>, E. Shi<sup>1,2</sup>, D.B. Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, W.X. Xu<sup>1,2</sup>, N. Jeelani<sup>1,2</sup>, Z.S. Wang<sup>1,2</sup> and H. Liu<sup>3,4</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>School of Life Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China
<sup>2</sup>State Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, School of Life Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China
<sup>3</sup>Department of Earth and Environment, International Center for Tropical Botany, Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA
<sup>4</sup>College of Forestry, Guangxi University, Nanning, China

\*These authors contributed equally to this study. Corresponding author: Z.S. Wang E-mail: wangzs@nju.edu.cn

Genet. Mol. Res. 15 (2): gmr.15027985 Received November 4, 2015 Accepted February 12, 2016 Published May 13, 2016 DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/gmr.15027985

**ABSTRACT.** Ulmus chenmoui (Ulmaceae) is an endangered tree found on Langya Mountain, eastern China. To better understand the population genetics of *U. chenmoui* and conserve the species, we developed microsatellite markers. Using a suppression-polymerase chain reaction technique, 74 compound microsatellite primer pairs were designed. Twelve microsatellite markers were polymorphic in 39 individuals, and the number of alleles per locus ranged from 3 to 9. The observed and expected heterozygosities ranged from 0.051 to 0.769 and from 0.533 to 0.768, respectively. Significant linkage disequilibrium was detected for three pairs of loci (P < 0.01), which may be due to

Genetics and Molecular Research 15 (2): gmr.15027985

#### Q.F. Geng et al.

a recent population bottleneck and the small population size. Nine of the 12 loci deviated from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P < 0.01), which could be explained by significant inbreeding rather than the presence of null alleles. These markers will provide a solid basis for future efforts in population genetic studies of *U. chenmoui*, which in turn will contribute to species conservation.

**Key words:** Conservation; Microsatellite marker; Population genetics; Ulmaceae; *Ulmus chenmoui* 

# **INTRODUCTION**

*Ulmus chenmoui* W.C. Cheng (Ulmaceae) is a small deciduous tree that is mainly found on Langya Mountain, Anhui Province, China below 200 m (Fu and Jin, 1992). The species is listed as endangered (third class protection) in the China Red Data Book, based on International Union for Conservation of Nature criteria (Fu and Jin, 1992). The continuous reduction in the range and population size of this species may result in a rapid degradation of the natural habitat (Fu, 1995; Sun, 2009).

To date, most research has focused on the biology and cultivation of *U. chenmoui* (Fu and Huang, 2002; Sun, 2009; Han et al., 2010), and our knowledge of its population genetics and reproductive characteristics is insufficient. Therefore, it is necessary to develop molecular markers to provide genetic information. Microsatellites, such as simple sequence repeats (SSRs), are highly polymorphic, co-dominant, and exhibit Mendelian inheritance, and have been widely used in studies of population genetics (Geng et al., 2008; Abdul-Muneer, 2014; Islam et al., 2014; Nakajima et al., 2014; Lai et al., 2015). In this study, we identified 12 codominant compound SSR markers from *U. chenmoui* using the suppression-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique (Lian et al., 2006) to investigate the spatial genetic structure, interpopulation diversity, mating system, and gene flow of *U. chenmoui*, which will provide essential information in developing conservation strategies for this endangered species.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### Sample collection and DNA extraction

The population on Langya Mountain, Chuzhou, Anhui Province, China (32°16.5506'N, 118°16.5685'E) consists of fewer than 150 individuals occupying an area of 2.5 ha. Leaves were randomly selected from 39 trees. Young leaves were dried in silica gel and stored at room temperature until use. Total genomic DNA was extracted from dried leaves using a modified cetytrimethyl ammonium bromide method (Zhou et al., 1999).

# Isolation of microsatellite markers

Microsatellite markers were isolated using a suppression-PCR technique for isolating

Genetics and Molecular Research 15 (2): gmr.15027985

Ulmc2     F: ATTGCAATTCTCGTACAGGTGAA     (AC) <sub>6</sub> (TC) <sub>7</sub> 56     152–182     7     0.385     0.560* <b>0.325</b> VI       R: ACACACACACTCTCTC     R: ACACACACACTCTCTC     (AC) <sub>6</sub> (TC) <sub>7</sub> 56     121–139     9     0.154     0.736* <b>0.325</b> VI       Ulmc3     F: TAAGATCGTTGGAAGTCTCTC     (AC) <sub>6</sub> (TC) <sub>7</sub> 56     121–139     9     0.154     0.736* <b>0.793</b> VI       Ulmc6     F: ACACACACACACACTCTCTC     (AC) <sub>6</sub> (TC) <sub>7</sub> 51     122–196     3     0.513     0.575     0.121     FAI       Ulmc6     F: ACCCTAAATGGTTTCATAAGGTT     (AC) <sub>6</sub> (TC) <sub>7</sub> 51     122–196     3     0.513     0.575     0.121     FAI       Ulmc9     F: ACCACACACACACTCTCTC     (AC) <sub>6</sub> (TC) <sub>7</sub> 51     122–196     3     0.513     0.575     0.121     FAI       Ulmc9     F: ACCACACACACACACACACTCTCT     (AC) <sub>6</sub> (TC) <sub>7</sub> 51     209–235     6     0.231     0.756*     0.710     FAI       Numc9     F: AATCATGTTGGTTGGTTG     (AC) <sub>6</sub> (TC) <sub>7</sub> 51 <td< th=""><th>KP872848 KP872849 KP872850 KP872851 KP872851 KP872851</th></td<>	KP872848 KP872849 KP872850 KP872851 KP872851 KP872851
R: ACACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	KP872849 KP872850 KP872851 KP872851 KP872851
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	KP872849 KP872850 KP872851 KP872851 KP872852
R: ACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACACTCTCC     ACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	1 KP872850 1 KP872851 2 KP872851
JImc6     F: ACCTAAATAGATTTCATAAGATT     (AC)6(TC)9     51     192–196     3     0.513     0.575     0.121     FAI       Imc9     R: ACCACACACACTCTCTC     (AC)6(TC)9     51     209–235     6     0.231     0.756*     0.701     FAI       Jmc9     F: AATCATGTTTAGTTGGACAGGATT     (AC)6(TC)9     51     209–235     6     0.231     0.756*     0.701     FAI       Imc9     R: ACCACACACACACACACTCTCT     (AC)6(TC)9     51     209–235     6     0.231     0.756*     0.701     FAI	1 KP872850 1 KP872851 KP872852
R: ACACACACACTCTCT ACACACACACACTCTCT   Jlmc9 F: AATCATGTTTAGTTGGACAGGATT (AC) <sub>6</sub> (TC) <sub>9</sub> 51 209–235 6 0.231 0.756* 0.701 FAI   R: ACCACACACACACACTCTCT AATCATGTTTAGTTGGACAGGATT (AC) <sub>6</sub> (TC) <sub>9</sub> 51 209–235 6 0.231 0.756* 0.701 FAI   R: ACCACACACACACACACACACTCTCT AATCATAGTCGCACACACACACACACTCTCT AATCATAGTCGCACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	KP872851 KP872852
Jlmc9     F: AATCATGTTTAGTTGGACAGGATT     (AC)6(TC)9     51     209–235     6     0.231     0.756*     0.701     FAI       R: ACACACACACACACTCTCTC     R: ACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	KP872851 KP872852 KP872852
R: ACACACACACACACTCTCT R: ACACACACACACACACTCTC R: ACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	KP872852
	KP872852
110000 = 1.20011000000000 = 1.00000000000 = 1.0000000000	
R: TCTCTCTCTCTCACACAC	
JIIII.022 F: AATTACAGGACATGCATGTAAGTT (TC)8(AC)8 51 181–199 6 0.769 0.768 0.012 FA	1 KP872853
R: TCTCTCTCTCTCTCACACAC	
JImc24 F: TCCTCCTCTGAAATTGCTGAGGCAA (TC) <sub>6</sub> (AC) <sup>7</sup> 56 176–180 4 0.263 0.577* 0.553 FAI	1 KP872854
R: TCTCTCTCTCTCACACAC	
JIMe47 F: TATCGAAACACAAGCCTTACCCAA (AC) <sub>6</sub> (TC) <sub>5</sub> 56 139–143 3 0.368 0.577* 0.373 PE	KP872855
R: ACACACACACACACACTCTCT	
Ilmc50 F: ATCTATGCATTTTGTTCTTCAA (TC) <sub>8</sub> (AC) <sub>8</sub> 54 127–133 4 0.333 0.595* 0.451 PE	KP872856
R: TCTCTCTCTCTCTCACACAC	
JIIne52 F: TCGTTAATACCGTAGGGCTTATAA (TC) <sub>8</sub> (AC) <sub>5</sub> 56 137–143 4 0.459 0.680* 0.337 VII	KP872857
R: TCTCTCTCTCTCACACAC	
Ilmc57 [F:TTGATAATTTAGTGCTGCAATTAA (TC) <sub>8</sub> (AC) <sub>5</sub> 53 [120–146 ] 6 [0.051 [0.533* [0.906 ] PE	KP872858
R: TCTCTCTCTCTCTCACACC	
JImc65 F: GAAAGTAGTCGGAATGACCTACCT (AC) <sub>8</sub> (AG) <sub>5</sub> 57 118–128 4 0.211 0.610* 0.662 VI	KP872859
R: ACACACACACACAGAGAG	
Aean 6 0.633 0.633 0.633 0.453	

SSR markers for Ulmus chenmoui

Genetics and Molecular Research 15 (2): gmr.15027985

# ©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

#### Q.F. Geng et al.

codominantcompoundSSRmarkers(Lianetal.,2001,2006). Briefly, genomicDNAwasseparately digested with six blunt-end restriction enzymes, i.e., *AfaI*, *AluI*, *Eco*RV, *Hae*III, *Hin*cII, and *SspI*, and the restricted fragments were then separately ligated with a specific blunt adaptor (48-mer: 5'-GTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCACGCGTGGTCGACGGCCCGGGGCTGGT-3' and 8-mer with the 3'- end capped with an amino residue: 5'-ACCAGCCC-NH<sub>2</sub>-3') using a DNA ligation kit (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan). The ligated fragments were treated with ddGTP using AmpliTaq Gold<sup>®</sup> DNA Polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) to block the polymerase-catalyzed extension of the 8-mer adapter strand.

DNA fragments were amplified using a compound SSR primer  $[(AC)_6(TC)_5, (TC)_6(AC)_5, (AC)_6(AG)_5, (AG)_6(AC)_5, or (TC)_6(TG)_5]$  and an adaptor primer (5'-CTATAGGGCACGCGTGGT-3') from the adapter-ligated DNA library (i.e., *AfaI*, *AluI*, *Eco*RV, and *HaeIII*) of *U. chenmoui*. The amplified, smeared fragments were cloned into a pEASY®-T1 Cloning Vector System (Beijing Transgen Biotech, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer instructions. Recombinant clones were identified by blue/white screening on lysogeny-broth agar plates containing ampicillin, X-gal, and isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside. The cloned fragments were amplified using M13 forward and reverse primers from the plasmid DNA of insert-positive clones. After electrophoresis on a 1.5% agarose gel, amplified fragments longer than 400 bp were sequenced using a M13 primer (Beijing Genomics Institute, Shenzhen, China). For each fragment containing compound SSR sequences  $[(AC)_6(TC)_n, (TC)_6(AC)_n, (AC)_6(AG)_5, (AG)_6(AC)_5, or (TC)_6(TG)_5]$  at one end, a specific primer was designed using PRIMER version 5.0 (Clarke and Gorley, 2001) flanking the compound SSR marker.

To examine polymorphisms of the isolated compound SSR markers, we performed a PCR assay in a 10- $\mu$ L reaction mixture containing approximately 10 ng of template DNA, 5  $\mu$ L 1X AmpliTaq Gold<sup>®</sup> 360 Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 0.4  $\mu$ L 360 GC Enhancer, and 0.25  $\mu$ L 0.5  $\mu$ M of each specific primer and fluorescent dye-labeled compound SSR primer (6-FAM, VIC, NED, or PET; Applied Biosystems). The PCRs were performed in a Veriti<sup>®</sup> Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems). The PCR cycling conditions were as follows: 10 min at 95°C; 38 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at the annealing temperature of each designed specific primer, and 1 min at 72°C; with a 7-min extension at 72°C in the final cycle. The PCR products were genotyped on an ABI 3730 genetic analyzer with the GeneScan<sup>TM</sup>-500 LIZ<sup>®</sup> Size Standard (Applied Biosystems), and allele sizes were determined using GeneMapper<sup>TM</sup> software version 4.0 (Applied Biosystems).

#### Data analysis

GenAIEx 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006) was used to calculate the number of alleles per locus ( $N_A$ ), observed heterozygosity ( $H_o$ ), and expected heterozygosity ( $H_E$ ). Micro-Checker version 2.2.3 was used to assess null allele frequencies by the Van Oosterhout algorithm method (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004), and GENEPOP version 4.2 (Raymond and Rousset, 1995; Rousset, 2008) was used to test the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) for each locus and genotypic linkage disequilibrium (LD) between pairs of microsatellites (66 pairs). The P values for HWE and LD were corrected for multiple comparisons with sequential Bonferroni tests (Rice, 1989). The program FSTAT version 2.9.3 was used

Genetics and Molecular Research 15 (2): gmr.15027985

to calculate the inbreeding coefficient ( $F_{IS}$ ) (Goudet, 1995). Significance levels were determined by sequential Bonferroni tests (Rice, 1989). BOTTLENECK version 1.2.02 (Piry et al., 1999) was used to test for recent population bottlenecks. Deviations from the mutation-drift equilibrium were calculated under three different mutation models: infinite allele model (IAM), stepwise mutation model (SMM), and the two-phase model (TPM; 30% IAM and 70% SMM). The significance of the deviations was tested using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test with 1000 iterations.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A total of 111 clones with positive inserts were chosen and sequenced. Ninetysix sequences were found to contain compound SSR motifs, of which 22 were discarded because the repeat was too close to one end of the insert to design a suitable primer or because the sequence was identical to that of another insert(s). All of the successfully sequenced fragments were flanked by a compound SSR sequence at one end. Finally, 74 sequences  $[(AC)_6(TC)_n(17), (TC)_6(AC)_n(29), and (AC)_6(AG)_n(28)]$  with the appropriate microsatellites and sufficient flanking regions were selected for locus-specific primer design. With these primer pairs, 24 loci were successfully amplified and yielded a single band of the right size using four individuals. Twelve of 24 loci were polymorphic in 39 individuals.

The number of alleles per locus ranged from three to nine, with an average of five (Table 1). The observed and expected heterozygosities ranged from 0.051 to 0.769 and from 0.533 to 0.768, respectively (Table 1). Significant LD was found in three pairwise comparisons of the polymorphic loci (Ulmc47 and Ulmc57, Ulmc50 and Ulmc57, and Ulmc57 and Ulmc65; P < 0.01). A recent bottleneck was detected in the *U. chenmoui* population under IAM, SMM, and TPM (P < 0.05), which may have caused the significant LD and HWE deviations at these loci. Therefore, the LD observed in the *U. chenmoui* population may be due to a recent population bottleneck and the small population size (Sun et al., 2014), not because of a linkage relationship between these loci.

Nine significant deviations from the HWE were detected after Bonferroni corrections (P < 0.01, Table 1). Significant  $F_{IS}$ , as well as the existence of null alleles, was also detected in the nine loci. Most of the departures from the HWE were caused by significant inbreeding rather than the presence of null alleles, because the presence of null alleles was inferred from the Micro-Check (Islam et al., 2014). Other factors, such as a recent bottleneck, small population size, and genetic drifting could also have resulted in deviations from the HWE.

In this study, we developed 74 genomic SSR primer pairs from enriched genomic SSR libraries in *U. chenmoui* using a suppression-PCR technique (Lian et al., 2006). Twelve microsatellite loci were polymorphic, and the polymorphism levels were comparable to those of microsatellite loci described previously in other elm species (Zalapa et al., 2008; Maharramova et al., 2014). The 12 microsatellite loci identified in this study will be useful for investigating the genetic diversity, spatial genetic structure, and mating system of the *U. chenmoui* population, which could facilitate plans for its conservation and management.

Genetics and Molecular Research 15 (2): gmr.15027985

## **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant #31100270). We thank Prof. Javier Francisco Ortega of Florida International University and Associate Prof. Jingtao Sun of Nanjing Agricultural University for their kind comments and suggestions for this manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- Abdul-Muneer PM (2014). Application of microsatellite markers in conservation genetics and fisheries management: recent advances in population structure analysis and conservation strategies. *Genet. Res. Int.* 2014: 1-11 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/691759</u>.
- Clarke KR and Gorley RN (2001). Primer v5: User Manual/Tutorial. Primer-E Ltd., Plymouth, UK.
- Fu L and Jin J (1992). China Red Data Book volume 1. Rare and endangered plants. Science Press, Beijing.
- Fu SL (1995). The preliminary study on the conservation of rare tree in Langya Mountain. Prod. Syst. 64-66.
- Fu SL and Huang BL (2002). Analysis on succession trend and physiological characters of secondary forest tree species in limestone mountain of eastern Anhui province. Sci. Sil. Sin. 38: 50-55.
- Geng Q, Lian C, Goto S, Tao J, et al. (2008). Mating system, pollen and propagule dispersal, and spatial genetic structure in a high-density population of the mangrove tree *Kandelia candel. Mol. Ecol.* 17: 4724-4739. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03948.x</u>
- Goudet J (1995). FSTAT (Version 1.2): A computer program to calculate F-statistics. J. Hered. 86: 485-486.
- Han X, Zhi YB, Zhou ZZ, Sun ZY, et al. (2010). Study on pollen morphology and its geohistoric significance of two endangered elms peculiar to Mt. Langya, China. Acta Micropalaeontologica Sin. 27: 77-84.
- Islam MS, Lian C, Kameyama N and Hogetsu T (2014). Low genetic diversity and limited gene flow in a dominant mangrove tree species (*Rhizophora stylosa*) at its northern biogeographical limit across the chain of three Sakishima islands of the Japanese archipelago as revealed by chloroplast and nuclear SSR analysis. *Plant Syst. Evol.* 300: 1123-1136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00606-013-0950-3
- Lai JM, Tsai CC, Yen CR, Ko YZ, et al. (2015). Molecular characterization of twenty polymorphic microsatellite markers in the polyploid fruit tree species *Syzygium samarangense* (Myrtaceae). *Genet. Mol. Res.* 14: 13013-13021. <u>http://</u> <u>dx.doi.org/10.4238/2015.October.21.22</u>
- Lian CL, Zhou ZH and Hogetsu T (2001). A simple method for developing microsatellite markers using amplified fragments of inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR). J. Plant Res. 114: 381-385. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/PL00014001</u>
- Lian CL, Abdul Wadud M, Geng Q, Shimatani K, et al. (2006). An improved technique for isolating codominant compound microsatellite markers. J. Plant Res. 119: 415-417. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10265-006-0274-2</u>
- Maharramova EH, Muller LA, Korotkova N and Borsch T (2014). Development of nuclear microsatellites for the Arcto-Tertiary tree Zelkova carpinifolia (Ulmaceae) using 454 pyrosequencing. Appl. Plant Sci. 2: apps.1300072 <u>http:// dx.doi.org/10.3732/apps.1300072</u>.
- Nakajima Y, Matsuki Y, Lian C, Fortes MD, et al. (2014). The Kuroshio current influences genetic diversity and population genetic structure of a tropical seagrass, *Enhalus acoroides*. *Mol. Ecol.* 23: 6029-6044. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ mec.12996</u>
- Peakall R and Smouse PE (2006). GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. Mol. Ecol. Notes 6: 288-295. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01155.x</u>
- Piry S, Luikart G and Cornuet JM (1999). BOTTLENECK: a computer program for detecting recent reductions in the effective population size using allele frequency data. J. Hered. 90: 502-503. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jhered/90.4.502</u>
- Raymond M and Rousset F (1995). Genepop (Version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *J. Hered.* 86: 248-249.

Rice WR (1989). Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43: 223-225. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2409177

Rousset F (2008). genepop'007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software for Windows and Linux. Mol.

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

Genetics and Molecular Research 15 (2): gmr.15027985

*Ecol. Resour.* 8: 103-106. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01931.x</u>

Sun JT, Kong LW, Wang MM, Jin PY, et al. (2014). Development and characterization of novel EST-microsatellites for the citrus red mite, *Panonychus citri* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Syst. Appl. Acarol.* 19: 499-505. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.11158/saa.19.4.13</u>

Sun ZY (2009). A study on cultivation experiments of rare trees, Ulmus chenmoui and Ulmus gaussenii. Anhui Forest. 67-68.

- Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM and Shipley P (2004). MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* 4: 535-538. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00684.x</u>
- Zalapa JE, Brunet J and Guries RP (2008). Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers for red elm (Ulmus rubra Muhl.) and cross-species amplification with Siberian elm (Ulmus pumila L.). Mol. Ecol. Resour. 8: 109-112. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01805.x
- Zhou ZH, Miwa M and Hogetsu T (1999). Analysis of genetic structure of a *Suillus grevillei* population in a *Larix kaempferi* stand by polymorphism of inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR). *New Phytol.* 144: 55-63. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.1999.00504.x</u>

Genetics and Molecular Research 15 (2): gmr.15027985