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#### Abstract

The narrow genetic base of soybean makes cultivar characterization based on morphological descriptors difficult; this characterization is mainly done for registration and protection. Correct characterization of cultivars could be achieved through molecular markers, since the frequencies of each allele in the population are known. Consequently, we developed a molecular characterization method and initiated the construction of a molecular database for soybean cultivar identification. Thirty-two soybean cultivars were analyzed with 48 fluorescent-labeled microsatellite markers. The reactions were carried out in singleplex, and genotyping in


quadriplex, using a capillary electrophoresis system in an automated sequencer. Probabilities of random identity and probabilities of random identity exclusion were calculated through estimated allele frequencies. A characterization profile was considered when the probability of random identity exclusion was equal or superior to $99.9999 \%$. All steps of the experiment were doubled, using two independent sets of the same cultivar to evaluate the reproducibility of the method. A set of 13 microsatellite markers identified all 32 cultivars with $99.9999 \%$ certainty. The method was efficient and precise, with high reproducibility for cultivar characterization. These data are the beginning of a molecular database for soybean, and they can be used for cultivar characterization for registration and protection purposes and for cultivar identification in cases of intellectual property enforcement.
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## INTRODUCTION

Soybean is one of the major agriculture commodities worldwide, and Brazil is the second largest producer, with 57 million tons produced on 21.7 million ha in 2009 (Conab, 2009). Adaptation of soybean to the wide variety of climates in Brazil, from latitude $32^{\circ}$ South to latitude $4^{\circ}$ North, is mainly due to breeding programs. Breeding programs for any species require large investments in research, which are recovered with the release of new cultivars and seed commercialization. In order to guarantee recovery of the investment, it is necessary to protect the cultivars. Consequently, various countries have been creating cultivar protection systems. In order to be protected, a cultivar is normally described by morphological describers; it needs to be homogeneous and stable, and distinguishable from any other cultivar. Because of the great number of available soybean cultivars and the low variability of morphological descriptors, their distinction becomes difficult. Molecular characterization of cultivars has the potential to guarantee precise discrimination and genetic identification (Garcia et al., 2007; Schuster et al., 2009b).

Molecular markers detect variation directly in the DNA sequences; they are not affected by genotype and environment interaction, and methods for their detection can be automatized (Ferreira and Grattaplagia, 1998; Alcântara Neto, 2001; Caixeta et al., 2009). Microsatellite markers or SSRs (single sequence repeats) are the most recommended markers for cultivar characterization because they are co-dominant and multiallelic.

Several studies have focused on soybean cultivar characterization using SSR markers (Song et al., 1999; Narvel et al., 2000; Garcia et al., 2007).

Capillary electrophoresis in an automatic DNA sequencer has been used for fragment analysis, allowing high precision and reliable results, which would be useful for cultivar characterization and for the protection of intellectual property (Diwan and Cregan, 1997). For precise cultivar characterization, it is necessary to identify a
set of informative markers and to know the frequencies of alleles of these markers (Schuster et al., 2009a).

We characterized a set of 32 soybean cultivars using microsatellite markers detected with an automatic sequencer, calculating the allelic frequencies of 48 microsatellite markers, in order to estimate the minimum number of loci for individual characterization of these 32 cultivars.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Genetic material

A set of 32 soybean cultivars from the Cooperativa Central de Pesquisa Agrícola, COODETEC, were used. Two samples of 50 seeds from each cultivar were ground and the DNA extracted according to the protocol described by McDonald et al. (1994), with some modifications (Schuster et al., 2004). The two samples of each genotype were used as proof and counterproof samples. Proof and counterproof samples were independently processed, on different days, for DNA extraction, amplification, electrophoresis, and genotyping.

This procedure was carried out to evaluate reproducibility and to estimate the confidence interval for allele sizing.

## Amplification of SSR loci and capillary electrophoresis

Forty-eight microsatellite markers, distributed on 18 of the 20 soybean chromosomes, were selected according to their informativeness, previously detected using agarose gels (Vieira et al., 2009; Table 1). Sense primers were labeled with 6-FAM, PET, VIC, and NED dyes. The sequences of the primers are available in the Soybase databank (http://soybase.org/index.php).

Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) were prepared for a total volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The reaction mixture consisted of 30 ng DNA, $3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$, 1 X buffer ( 2 mM Tris and 5 mM KCl ), $250 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{dNTP}, 0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each primer (sense and antisense) and one unit of Taq DNA polymerase. The amplifications were run in Thermo Hybaid thermocyclers (Ashford, Middlesex, UK) programmed for a cycle at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min ; 35 cycles at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 s , and one final extension step at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min .

PCR was run in singleplex and capillary electrophoresis in multiplex. Multiplex consisted of a PCR fragment combination, obtained with different dyes, after amplification. Capillary electrophoresis was performed in an ABI3130xl automatic sequencer, according to manufacturer instructions. The samples were genotyped using the Gene Mapper version 4.0 software (Applied Biosystems).

## Repeatability

Proof and counterproof genotyping results were compared. The difference between the same allele, in base pairs, in the two independent genotypings, their standard deviations and the confidence interval for the estimated allele sizes, were used as repeatability parameters for the genotyping system.

## Genetic interpretation

The alleles were described in base pairs, in whole number approach/proximity. Proof and counterproof results were compared, and allele sizes for unity proximity were determined, considering the molecular nature of the microsatellite loci. For di-nucleotides, the minimum difference between the sizes of the alleles was two nucleotides, whereas for the tri-nucleotides this difference was three nucleotides. Based on these results, we constructed a database in which each cultivar was characterized by its allele for each locus.

## Microsatellite marker informativity

Genetic informativity of each microsatellite locus was evaluated by determining the allele frequency, using the expression of polymorphism information content (PIC):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P I C=1-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{i j}^{2} \tag{Equation1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i j}$ is the frequency of the $j$ th allele of the $i$ th primer (Anderson et al., 1993).

## Marker selection for cultivar identification

A minimum marker set was selected to characterize each cultivar individually, and another marker set was used to characterize all cultivars simultaneously. In order to characterize each cultivar with the smallest number of markers, the selected markers were those that presented alleles with the lowest frequency in the cultivar. The probability of random identity (PRI) was calculated as described by Schuster et al. (2009a):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P R I=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} P_{i j}\right) x 100 \tag{Equation2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{i j}$ is the frequency of the $i$ th allele in the $j$ th locus and $n$ the number of evaluated loci. The product of the allele frequencies is multiplied by 100 so that it can be expressed as a percentage. The minimum number of markers for cultivar characterization was the number needed to obtain a random identity probability of at least $0.0001 \%$, i.e., another cultivar can randomly present the same allele profile as the cultivar-specific markers set in less than $0.0001 \%$ of the cases.

Probability of exclusion (PE) was estimated as a complement of the PRI: $\mathrm{PE}=100 \%$ PRI.

Thus, if the molecular profile of a specific marker set in a cultivar has a probability of random identity of $0.0001 \%$, the probability of exclusion will be $99.9999 \%$. When this molecular profile is obtained in any pair of samples, it indicates the probability that this identity is not random and that the samples are the same cultivar.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All 48 loci were polymorphic, as they were chosen from a preliminary study (Vieira et al., 2009). All steps of this study were doubled, with samples from two independent DNA extractions. The genotyping results of proof and counterproof were similar, demonstrating the accuracy and reproducibility of the genotyping method used in this study. The standard deviation values ranged from 0 to 0.93 , and the confidence intervals for the allele size estimates ranged from 0.0003 to 0.04 .

The differences observed between the allele sizes ranged from 0 to 1.32 nucleotides, with an average value of 0.22 . These values are smaller than the minimum repetitive unity, which is two nucleotides for dinucleotide loci and three for trinucleotide loci (Table 1). Altogether, 1605 genotype data points were obtained from the evaluations (proof and counterproof) of 32 cultivars with 48 microsatellite loci. In this data set, only 15 genotyping data points presented a difference larger than 1 bp between two independent evaluations ( $0.93 \%$ ).

Table 1. Microsatellite markers used to characterize 32 soybean cultivars, nature of microsatellite replication, primer marked fluorescence, and linkage group.

| Marker ${ }^{1}$ | Nature | Fluorescence | L.G. | Marker ${ }^{1}$ | Nature | Fluorescence | L.G. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sat_085 | Di | 6FAM | C1 | Satt302 | Tri | VIC | H |
| Sat_141 | Di | 6FAM | G | Satt303 | Tri | NED | G |
| Sat_168 | Di | VIC | G | Satt307 | Tri | 6FAM | C2 |
| Sat_294 | Di | NED | A2 | Satt309 | Tri | 6FAM | G |
| Satt020 | Tri | 6FAM | B2 | Satt311 | Tri | NED | D2 |
| Satt030 | Tri | 6FAM | F | Satt335 | Tri | NED | F |
| Satt070 | Tri | NED | B2 | Satt352 | Tri | NED | G |
| Satt079 | Tri | VIC | C2 | Satt358 | Tri | PET | O |
| Satt080 | Tri | PET | N | Satt371 | Tri | PET | C2 |
| Satt114 | Tri | NED | F | Satt386 | Tri | VIC | D2 |
| Satt173 | Tri | 6FAM | O | Satt406 | Tri | 6FAM | J |
| Satt175 | Tri | PET | M | Satt417 | Tri | VIC | K |
| Satt177 | Tri | PET | A2 | Satt426 | Tri | VIC | B1 |
| Satt181 | Tri | NED | H | Satt431 | Tri | VIC | J |
| Satt184 | Tri | PET | D1a | Satt464 | Tri | PET | D2 |
| Satt191 | Tri | 6FAM | G | Satt485 | Tri | NED | N |
| Satt197 | Tri | VIC | B1 | Satt540 | Tri | NED | M |
| Satt200 | Tri | PET | A1 | Satt545 | Tri | 6FAM | A1 |
| Satt216 | Tri | NED | D1b | Satt579 | Tri | PET | D1b |
| Satt231 | Tri | VIC | E | Satt600 | Tri | VIC | D1b |
| Satt233 | Tri | NED | A2 | Satt663 | Tri | VIC | F |
| Satt253 | Tri | PET | H | Satt685 | Tri | VIC | E |
| Satt285 | Tri | NED | J | Satt703 | Tri | VIC | D1b |
| Satt301 | Tri | NED | D2 | Satt728 | Tri | NED | M |

${ }^{1}$ Primer sequences are available at Soybase (http://soybase.org/index.php); $\mathrm{Di}=$ dinucleotide; Tri = trinucleotide; L.G. = linkage group: Source: Soybase (http://soybase.org/index.php).

Most of the variations between the genotyping repeats ranged from 0 and 0.2 bp , and $90 \%$ of the genotyping data had a variation smaller than 0.5 bp between two genotyping repeats (Figure 1). These results indicate high genotyping accuracy in the independent assays.


Figure 1. Frequency distribution of allele size differences, in base pairs, obtained from two independent genotyping of 32 soybean cultivars in 48 simple sequence repeat loci.

Soybean genotyping by fluorescent-labeled SSR with automated sizing of alleles was used for the first time by Diwan and Cregan (1997). Since then, there have been no published studies using fluorescent-labeled SSR and automated sizing to characterize soybean germplasm. Also, genotyping is not normally done in duplicate to check the precision of allele sizing. The results we obtained demonstrate high repeatability in the estimates of allele size at each locus. It is essential that a highly precise and reproducible genotyping system be used to build a molecular database for cultivar characterization. This precision in allele sizing cannot be obtained with genotyping based on agarose or acrylamide gel systems. This is the first time that a genotyping system using fluorescent-labeled molecular markers in a capillary gel system and automated sizing of alleles has been used to characterize soybean cultivars. Also, it is the first time that a genotyping system is evaluated for precision of sizing estimates of alleles.

Considering the proof and counterproof sample data and the nature of the microsatellite locus (di- or tri-nucleotide), a genotype for each cultivar was attributed, in base pairs, for each locus (Table 2). The data of this table constitute a reference database for comparison studies for genetic identity analyses. Furthermore, they are also a reference for the comparison of new cultivars and for genetic certification of seed lot origin. Also, the data in Table 2 represent the initial step for molecular database construction for soybean cultivars in Brazil.

Table 2. Continued.
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| Cultivar | Satt426 |  | Sat431 |  | Sat464 |  | Sat485 |  | Sat540 |  | Sat545 |  | Sat579 |  | Satt600 |  | Satt663 |  | Satt685 |  | Sat703 |  | Satt728 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Al. 1 | Al. 2 | Al. 1 | Al. 2 | Al. 1 | Al. 2 | Al. 1 | Al. 2 | Al. 1 | Al. 2 | Al. 1 | Al. 2 | Al. 1 | Al. 2 | Al. 1 | Al. 2 | Al. 1 | Al. 2 | Al. 1 | Al. 2 | Al. 1 | Al. 2 | Al. 1 | Al. 2 |
| CD 201 | 198 |  | 187 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 148 |  | 191 |  | 198 |  | 203 | 215 | 252 |  | 185 |  | 229 | 235 | 149 |  |
| CD 202 | 198 |  | 199 |  | 189 | 219 | 252 |  | 154 |  | 203 |  | 198 |  | 215 |  | 252 |  | 185 | 215 | 229 |  | 194 |  |
| CD 203 | 198 |  | 199 |  | 219 |  | 252 |  | 154 |  | 203 |  | 174 |  | 155 |  | 249 |  | 185 |  | 229 |  | 194 |  |
| CD 204 | 198 |  | 232 |  | 189 |  | 240 |  | 169 |  | 191 |  | 174 |  | 155 |  | 252 |  | 218 |  | 199 |  | 191 |  |
| CD 205 | 219 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 154 |  | 206 |  | 174 |  | 155 |  | 249 |  | 185 |  | 229 |  | 149 |  |
| CD 206 | 198 | 201 | 232 |  | 219 |  | 240 | 264 | 169 |  | 191 |  | 174 |  | 155 |  | 252 |  | 215 |  | 199 |  | 191 |  |
| CD 207 | 198 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 240 |  | 148 |  | 203 |  | 198 |  | 215 |  | 249 |  | 215 |  | 229 |  | 194 |  |
| CD 208 | 198 |  | 187 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 148 |  | 191 |  | 198 |  | 215 |  | 252 |  | 185 |  | 229 |  | 149 |  |
| CD 209 | 219 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 154 |  | 203 |  | 174 |  | 155 |  | 249 |  | 185 |  | 229 |  | 149 |  |
| CD 210 | 198 |  | 187 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 148 |  | 188 |  | 174 |  | 155 |  | 249 |  | 215 |  | 199 |  | 194 |  |
| CD 211 | 198 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 240 |  | 169 |  | 203 |  | 174 |  | 155 |  | 249 |  | 185 |  | 199 |  | 191 |  |
| CD 212 RR | 198 |  | 187 | 232 | 189 |  | 264 |  | 154 |  | 203 |  | 198 |  | 215 |  | 213 | 249 | 215 |  | 229 |  | 149 | 191 |
| CD 213RR | 198 |  | 187 |  | 189 |  | 240 |  | 148 |  | 203 |  | 198 |  | 215 |  | 213 |  | 215 |  | 229 |  | 191 |  |
| CD 214RR | 198 |  | 187 | 199 | 219 |  | 264 |  | 166 |  | 191 |  | 198 |  | 215 |  | 252 |  | 215 |  | 229 |  | 149 |  |
| CD 215 | 198 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 240 |  | 154 |  | 203 |  | 198 |  | 215 |  | 213 |  | 215 |  | 229 |  | 194 |  |
| CD 216 | 219 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 154 |  | 203 |  | 192 |  | 203 |  | 249 |  | 215 |  | 229 |  | 149 |  |
| CD 217 | 198 |  | 232 |  | 189 |  | 240 |  | 166 |  | 191 |  | 174 |  | 203 |  | 249 |  | 218 |  | 229 |  | 194 |  |
| CD 218 | 198 |  | 232 |  | 189 |  | 252 |  | 154 |  | 203 |  | 198 |  | 215 |  | 252 |  | 185 |  | 229 |  | 149 |  |
| CD 219RR | 198 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 240 |  | 169 |  | 191 |  | 174 |  | 155 |  | 252 |  | 185 |  | 199 |  | 149 |  |
| CDFAPA 220 | 219 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 154 |  | 203 |  | 174 |  | 155 |  | 249 |  | 185 |  | 229 |  | 191 |  |
| CD 221 | 198 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 169 |  | 188 |  | 198 |  | 215 |  | 249 |  | 215 |  | 199 |  | 194 |  |
| CD 222 | 198 |  | 232 |  | 189 |  | 240 |  | 148 | 169 | 191 | 203 | 174 | 198 | 155 | 215 | 249 | 252 | 215 |  | 229 |  | 149 |  |
| CD 223AP | 201 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 154 |  | 203 |  | 174 |  | 203 |  | 249 |  | 185 |  | 199 | 229 | 149 |  |
| CD 224 | 198 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 148 |  | 203 |  | 198 |  | 215 |  | 249 |  | 185 |  | 229 |  | 149 |  |
| CD 225RR | 201 |  | 199 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 166 |  | 203 |  | 192 |  | 203 |  | 249 |  | 215 |  | 235 |  | 149 |  |
| CD 226RR | 198 |  | 199 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 148 |  | 203 |  | 198 |  | 215 |  | 252 |  | 185 |  | 229 |  | 149 |  |
| CD 227 | 198 |  | 187 |  | 219 |  | 252 |  | 169 |  | 191 |  | 174 |  | 155 |  | 252 |  | 215 |  | 229 |  | 191 |  |
| CD 228 | 201 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 154 |  | 203 |  | 174 |  | 155 |  | 252 |  | 215 |  | 235 |  | 149 |  |
| CD 229RR | 201 |  | 232 |  | 189 | 219 | 264 |  | 154 |  | 203 |  | 174 | 198 | 203 |  | 249 |  | 185 |  | 229 |  | 149 | 194 |
| CD 230RR | 219 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 154 |  | 203 |  | 198 |  | 203 |  | 249 |  | 185 |  | 229 |  | 194 |  |
| CD 231RR | 201 |  | 232 |  | 189 |  | 264 |  | 154 |  | 203 |  | 174 |  | 155 |  | 249 |  | 185 |  | 229 |  | 149 |  |
| CD 232 | 219 |  | 232 |  | 219 |  | 264 |  | 169 |  | 203 |  | 174 |  | 155 |  | 249 |  | 215 |  | 199 |  | 191 |  |

Using this set of SSR loci, characterized by the methodology used in this study, other cultivars can be added, enriching the database.

Several studies have been published revealing genetic diversity and germplasm characterization of soybean by molecular markers, such as RFLP (Keim et al., 1989, 1992), RAPD (Abdelnoor et al., 1995), AFLP (Bonato et al., 2006a,b), and SSR (Priolli et al., 2002, Yamanaka et al., 2007). None of them gave individual characterization (fingerprinting) of the cultivars. Knowledge of a molecular profile from the cultivars that we evaluated will allow the use of these data in other studies; this database can be increased with new data from other studies that use the same methodology.

In the set of 48 loci evaluated in the 32 soybean cultivar samples, 178 alleles were observed, ranging from two to seven alleles per locus, with a mean of 3.71. PIC values varied from 0.30 (Satt417) to 0.78 (Satt080), for a mean of 0.57 (Table 3). Only 11 of the 48 loci presented PIC values lower than 0.5 . These values are relatively high, considering the number of samples and the fact that the cultivars came from the same breeding program. If a greater number of samples with greater genetic diversity were to be analyzed, the probability of detecting other alleles would increase, increasing the genetic informativity of each locus.

Narvel et al. (2000), evaluating the genetic diversity of 39 elite soybean cultivars and 40 plant introductions (PI) with 74 microsatellite markers, obtained PIC estimates ranging from 0.02 to 0.84 for all genotypes (mean of 0.56 ), 0 to 0.84 for PI (mean of 0.56 ) and 0 to 0.79 for elite cultivars (mean of 0.50 ). The number of alleles per locus varied from 2 to 11 for all genotypes (mean of 5.4), from 1 to 10 for the PI (mean of 4.9) and from 1 to 8 for elite cultivars (mean of 3.5). Song et al. (1999) used 48 microsatellite markers to characterize 101 soybean cultivars. PIC values ranged from 0.59 to 0.83 with four alleles per locus.

Priolli et al. (2002), evaluating a set of 186 Brazilian soybean cultivars with 12 SSR markers, obtained values of gene diversity, which is equivalent to PIC in autogamous species, from 0.41 to 0.82 . In this set of 12 SSR , they found 62 alleles, a mean of five alleles per locus. Yamanaka et al. (2007), evaluating 272 soybean cultivars from Brazil, China and Japan, with 12 SSR markers, obtained PIC values from 0.22 to 0.84 , with a mean of seven alleles per locus. All these studies used a representative germplasm set with potentially high genetic diversity. In our study, we used only soybean cultivars obtained from one breeding program, yet the values for genetic diversity were only slightly lower than from those obtained from apparently diverse germplasms. This shows that cultivated varieties of soybean obtained by a single breeding program can have a similar diversity to that found in all cultivated cultivars.

Significant allele diversity was found among the cultivars, even though the frequency of some alleles was high at some loci. Information about the allele frequencies at each locus (Table 3 ) allows calculations of probabilities of random identity and probabilities of random identity exclusion, indicating if two samples have the same genotype or not (Schuster et al., 2009a). This information can be used in cases where there is no distinction based on morphological descriptors, in registration processes and cultivar protection. The PRI for a cultivar is the product of the frequency of the alleles present in this cultivar (Schuster et al., 2009a). For this reason, it is necessary to know the frequency of the alleles in a reference population in order to calculate the PRI.

Few studies present the allelic frequency of evaluated populations. Priolli et al. (2002) reported the allelic frequencies of 12 SSR loci for 186 Brazilian soybean cultivars. However, they did not identify the alleles, and consequently the information about allelic frequency cannot be used to estimate PRI. Schuster et al. (2009b) presented the allelic frequency for 23 SSR loci in 32 Brazilian wheat cultivars. For each allele, a cultivar that contains this allele

Table 3. Number of alleles, allele frequencies and polymorphism information content (PIC) estimated for 48 microsatellite loci, obtained from the genetic profiles of 32 samples of soybean cultivars.

| Marker | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{o}}$ of alleles | Allele | Frequency | PIC | Marker | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{o}}$ of alleles | Allele | Frequency | PIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satt216 | 7 | 138 | 0.03 | 0.59 | Sat_141 | 6 | 181 | 0.05 | 0.31 |
|  |  | 156 | 0.16 |  |  |  | 183 | 0.83 |  |
|  |  | 168 | 0.03 |  |  |  | 203 | 0.02 |  |
|  |  | 171 | 0.03 |  |  |  | 205 | 0.03 |  |
|  |  | 189 | 0.03 |  |  |  | 211 | 0.02 |  |
|  |  | 192 | 0.61 |  |  |  | 235 | 0.06 |  |
|  |  | 222 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satt175 | 6 | 161 | 0.06 | 0.64 | Sat_294 | 5 | 186 | 0.02 | 0.56 |
|  |  | 167 | 0.06 |  |  |  | 190 | 0.06 |  |
|  |  | 176 | 0.55 |  |  |  | 206 | 0.58 |  |
|  |  | 185 | 0.13 |  |  |  | 222 | 0.03 |  |
|  |  | 191 | 0.19 |  |  |  | 256 | 0.31 |  |
|  |  | 236 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satt030 | 5 | 149 | 0.06 | 0.74 | Satt080 | 5 | 154 | 0.16 | 0.78 |
|  |  | 152 | 0.31 |  |  |  | 157 | 0.31 |  |
|  |  | 158 | 0.22 |  |  |  | 160 | 0.16 |  |
|  |  | 161 | 0.09 |  |  |  | 181 | 0.16 |  |
|  |  | 167 | 0.31 |  |  |  | 184 | 0.22 |  |
| Satt191 | 5 | 189 | 0.03 | 0.73 | Satt197 | 5 | 134 | 0.22 | 0.77 |
|  |  | 204 | 0.09 |  |  |  | 173 | 0.13 |  |
|  |  | 207 | 0.28 |  |  |  | 182 | 0.28 |  |
|  |  | 225 | 0.30 |  |  |  | 185 | 0.27 |  |
|  |  | 228 | 0.30 |  |  |  | 188 | 0.11 |  |
| Satt301 | 5 | 199 | 0.25 | 0.62 | Satt352 | 5 | 167 | 0.05 | 0.72 |
|  |  | 244 | 0.55 |  |  |  | 182 | 0.38 |  |
|  |  | 247 | 0.05 |  |  |  | 185 | 0.19 |  |
|  |  | 259 | 0.06 |  |  |  | 191 | 0.31 |  |
|  |  | 262 | 0.09 |  |  |  | 194 | 0.08 |  |
| Satt020 | 4 | 101 | 0.64 | 0.50 | Satt070 | 4 | 148 | 0.53 | 0.62 |
|  |  | 113 | 0.03 |  |  |  | 163 | 0.17 |  |
|  |  | 119 | 0.30 |  |  |  | 172 | 0.27 |  |
|  |  | 125 | 0.03 |  |  |  | 175 | 0.03 |  |
| Satt079 | 4 | 125 | 0.47 | 0.61 | Satt114 | 4 | 78 | 0.47 | 0.67 |
|  |  | 143 | 0.11 |  |  |  | 93 | 0.25 |  |
|  |  | 146 | 0.03 |  |  |  | 102 | 0.06 |  |
|  |  | 149 | 0.39 |  |  |  | 105 | 0.22 |  |
| Satt173 | 4 | 197 | 0.03 | 0.55 | Satt177 | 4 | 107 | 0.09 | 0.70 |
|  |  | 206 | 0.36 |  |  |  | 110 | 0.42 |  |
|  |  | 251 | 0.56 |  |  |  | 113 | 0.23 |  |
|  |  | 263 | 0.05 |  |  |  | 122 | 0.25 |  |
| Satt181 | 4 | 177 | 0.13 | 0.71 | Satt184 | 4 | 141 | 0.34 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 198 | 0.17 |  |  |  | 150 | 0.41 |  |
|  |  | 207 | 0.38 |  |  |  | 171 | 0.06 |  |
|  |  | 216 | 0.33 |  |  |  | 186 | 0.19 |  |
| Satt231 | 4 | 220 | 0.38 | 0.54 | Satt303 | 4 | 222 | 0.03 | 0.66 |
|  |  | 223 | 0.03 |  |  |  | 237 | 0.42 |  |
|  |  | 226 | 0.56 |  |  |  | 246 | 0.20 |  |
|  |  | 238 | 0.03 |  |  |  | 255 | 0.34 |  |
| Satt309 | 4 | 124 | 0.28 | 0.56 | Satt371 | 4 | 251 | 0.28 | 0.49 |
|  |  | 130 | 0.59 |  |  |  | 254 | 0.03 |  |
|  |  | 133 | 0.03 |  |  |  | 260 | 0.03 |  |
|  |  | 145 | 0.09 |  |  |  | 275 | 0.66 |  |
| Satt406 | 4 | 242 | 0.77 | 0.39 | Satt540 | 4 | 148 | 0.23 | 0.69 |
|  |  | 245 | 0.08 |  |  |  | 154 | 0.44 |  |
|  |  | 323 | 0.13 |  |  |  | 166 | 0.09 |  |
|  |  | 326 | 0.03 |  |  |  | 169 | 0.23 |  |
| Satt545 | 4 | 188 | 0.06 | 0.51 | Sat_168 | 3 | 155 | 0.75 | 0.40 |
|  |  | 191 | 0.27 |  |  |  | 169 | 0.16 |  |
|  |  | 203 | 0.64 |  |  |  | 177 | 0.09 |  |
|  |  | 206 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satt200 | 3 | 228 | 0.53 | 0.51 | Satt233 | 3 | 187 | 0.47 | 0.54 |
|  |  | 246 | 0.45 |  |  |  | 199 | 0.48 |  |
|  |  | 249 | 0.02 |  |  |  | 208 | 0.05 |  |

Continued on next page

| Marker | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{o}}$ of alleles | Allele | Frequency | PIC | Marker | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ of alleles | Allele | Frequency | PIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satt253 | 3 | 137 | 0.45 | 0.64 | Satt307 | 3 | 163 | 0.23 | 0.65 |
|  |  | 152 | 0.34 |  |  |  | 172 | 0.38 |  |
|  |  | 155 | 0.20 |  |  |  | 184 | 0.39 |  |
| Satt311 | 3 | 187 | 0.20 | 0.51 | Satt335 | 3 | 150 | 0.33 | 0.63 |
|  |  | 199 | 0.14 |  |  |  | 159 | 0.47 |  |
|  |  | 232 | 0.66 |  |  |  | 165 | 0.20 |  |
| Satt358 | 3 | 161 | 0.16 | 0.44 | Satt386 | 3 | 166 | 0.30 | 0.56 |
|  |  | 194 | 0.72 |  |  |  | 196 | 0.13 |  |
|  |  | 203 | 0.13 |  |  |  | 199 | 0.58 |  |
| Satt426 | 3 | 198 | 0.64 | 0.52 | Satt431 | 3 | 187 | 0.19 | 0.49 |
|  |  | 201 | 0.17 |  |  |  | 199 | 0.14 |  |
|  |  | 219 | 0.19 |  |  |  | 232 | 0.67 |  |
| Satt485 | 3 | 240 | 0.27 | 0.54 | Satt579 | 3 | 174 | 0.50 | 0.55 |
|  |  | 252 | 0.13 |  |  |  | 192 | 0.06 |  |
|  |  | 264 | 0.61 |  |  |  | 198 | 0.44 |  |
| Satt600 | 3 | 155 | 0.42 | 0.64 | Satt663 | 3 | 213 | 0.08 | 0.55 |
|  |  | 203 | 0.20 |  |  |  | 249 | 0.56 |  |
|  |  | 215 | 0.38 |  |  |  | 252 | 0.36 |  |
| Satt685 | 3 | 185 | 0.48 | 0.56 | Satt703 | 3 | 199 | 0.23 | 0.47 |
|  |  | 215 | 0.45 |  |  |  | 229 | 0.69 |  |
|  |  | 218 | 0.06 |  |  |  | 235 | 0.08 |  |
| Satt728 | 3 | 149 | 0.50 | 0.62 | Sat_085 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 174 \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | 0.80 | 0.32 |
|  |  | 191 | 0.23 |  |  |  |  | 0.20 |  |
|  |  | 194 | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satt285 | 2 | 204 | 0.53 | 0.50 | Satt302 | 2 | 206 | 0.64 | 0.46 |
|  |  | 240 | 0.47 |  |  |  | 257 | 0.36 |  |
| Satt417 | 2 | 283 | 0.19 | 0.30 | Satt464 | 2 | 189 | 0.25 | 0.38 |
|  |  | 325 | 0.81 |  |  |  | 219 | 0.75 |  |

was presented as a reference cultivar. In this case, using one reference cultivar for each allele, it is possible to test, in an independent study, which allele is present in a cultivar that was not evaluated in the original study, and use allele frequency to obtain PRI. In the above publications, the allele size was not identified, because genotyping was made on acrylamide gels. In this type of genotyping system, precise determination of allele size is not possible because it can change from one gel to another, or when samples are from different experiments or different labs. In our study, the genotyping system was highly reproducible, permitting characterization based on the length of the amplified fragment, in base pairs. Therefore, information about allelic frequency can be used in other assays of cultivar characterization. One can obtain the genetic profile of any cultivar based on those we examined with SSR markers; using the allelic frequencies shown in Table 3, an estimated PRI can be calculated for each cultivar.

As soybean is an autogamous species, it is expected that all plants of a cultivar will be homozygotes. However, some cultivars presented two alleles at some loci. The presence of two alleles in the same cultivar characterizes a mixture of pure lines. Although in these cases the frequency of each allele in each cultivar was not estimated, two alleles with the same proportion was considered for the calculation of allele frequencies. This procedure must be considered because, in a case of genetic identity investigation, the presence of any of the two alleles cannot discard the identity hypothesis, regardless of its frequency.

At several loci, rare alleles (low frequency) were observed. In these cases, this information should be used in a conservative manner, changing the frequencies of these rare alleles to $5 / 2 \mathrm{n}$, where n is the total number of evaluated cultivars (National Research Council, 1996). Thus, all the frequencies with estimates lower than 0.08 were increased to $0.08(\mathrm{~N}=32)$.

Using the information on allele frequencies, it was possible to identify a minimum set of markers to characterize each of the cultivars and select markers to characterize all cultivars simultaneously (Table 4). In cases in which a specific cultivar presented more than one allele per locus, the frequencies of both alleles were added to calculate the probability of random identity. We obtained a value of less than $0.0001 \%$ probability of random identity for all cultivars. The minimum number of markers to obtain this probability ranged from 6 to 11 for each cultivar, and a set of 13 markers was selected for the simultaneous characterization of the 32 cultivars (Table 4).

Table 4. Minimum set of microsatellite markers selected to characterize the 32 evaluated soybean cultivars, allele frequencies and probability of random identity (PRI).

| Cultivar | SATT080 | SATT197 | SATT030 | SATT191 | SATT352 | SATT181 | SATT540 | SATT184 | SAT 294 | SATT177 | SATT114 | SATT303 | SATT307 | PRI ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD 201 | $0.16{ }^{1}$ | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.23 | $<0.0001 \%$ |
| CD 202 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.44 | 0.19 | 0.58 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.34 | 0.38 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 203 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.08 | 0.31 | 0.23 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.23 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 204 | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.38 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 205 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.34 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.20 | 0.39 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 206 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.58 | 0.23 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.38 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 207 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.0001\% |
| CD 208 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.58 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.23 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 209 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.39 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 210 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.39 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 211 | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.58 | 0.25 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.38 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 212RR | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.42 | 0.38 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 213RR | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.66 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.38 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 214RR | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.09 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.42 | 0.23 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 215 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.44 | 0.19 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.38 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 216 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.58 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.20 | 0.62 | 0.0001\% |
| CD 217 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.09 | 0.41 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.47 | 0.08 | 0.39 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 218 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.08 | 0.34 | 0.38 | $<0.0001 \%$ |
| CD 219RR | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.58 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.38 | <0.0001\% |
| CDFAPA 220 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.34 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.0001\% |
| CD 221 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.39 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 222 | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.58 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.34 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.0001\% |
| CD 223AP | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.20 | 0.23 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 224 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.38 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 225RR | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.09 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.42 | 0.23 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 226RR | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 0.38 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 227 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.39 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 228 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.08 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.23 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 229RR | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.34 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.62 | 0.39 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 230RR | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.58 | 0.23 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.39 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 231RR | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.23 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.39 | <0.0001\% |
| CD 232 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.65 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.0001\% |

${ }^{1}$ Table data correspond to the allele frequencies of alleles shown in Table 2.

Garcia et al. (2007) selected a set of 10 loci with high PIC from 69 tested microsatellite loci and used them to identify 32 Brazilian soybean genotypes. Song et al. (1999) identified 66 lines of American elite soybeans, selecting a set of 13 microsatellite loci of 48 markers. These 13 loci were used to characterize four elite cultivars with the same maturity and morphological traits; they were able to distinguish all cultivars. In both cases, the researchers were only interested in differentiating the test cultivars, i.e., a single difference among the cultivars was enough for its differentiation from the others.

In our study, the objective was to identify a set of SSR loci that could identify cultivars with $99.9999 \%$ probability of random identity exclusion, based on allele frequencies. Besides not having any cultivar with the same molecular profile among the evaluated cultivars, the
probability of finding another variety with the same molecular profile among non-evaluated cultivars would be less than $0.0001 \%$. The set of markers indicated in Table 4 guarantees that for each of the evaluated cultivars other cultivars with the same genetic profile will not be found, with a minimum probability of $99.9999 \%$. This set of markers can be used in cases of intellectual property protection and for genetic purity certification of these cultivars.

In Brazil, the intellectual property of the cultivar's owners is established by the Plant Variety Protection (PVP), granted by SNPC (Serviço Nacional de Proteção de Cultivares). While not providing an official registration/patent, the PVP offers a plant cultivar's owner legal protection for exclusive sale of a protected cultivar. In the case of non-authorized use of a protected cultivar, it is necessary to provide evidence for the genetic identity of the improperly used cultivars. This evidence can be provided easily and precisely through PRI. If an unknown soybean cultivar is evaluated by some of the markers that we used in this study, and it has the same alleles as a known cultivar at all loci, with PRI $0.0001 \%$ or less, this assures that the two cultivars (known and unknown) are the same cultivar, with $99.9999 \%$ probability or more. This molecular information can be used in judicial enforcement of PVP rights.

The construction of a molecular database for soybean cultivar characterization has thus been initiated. The method that we used was efficient, accurate and showed high reproducibility for this purpose. Construction and expansion of this database can have great impact for combating illegal use of seeds and for intellectual property protection. To include new cultivars in the present database, it is recommended that one of the cultivars used in this study be used in each PCR plate, working as a reference for the precision allele sizing. This is the first study done constructing a molecular database for soybean characterization in Brazil. This molecular database needs to be completed with information for other cultivars; this could be shared with many sectors interested in using this information, including breeding programs, seed producers, SNPC, the justice system, etc.

In Brazil, the SNPC began a program to establish a trustworthy, precise and reproducible genotyping method to be used in soybean cultivar characterization for cultivar rights protection. The method that we used here can be recommended for this purpose, because it meets all the requirements.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank CAPES for a fellowship granted to M.B. Oliveira and COODETEC for financial support to carry out the research.

## REFERENCES

[^0]based measure of genetic distance in soybean. Crop Breed. Appl. Technol. 6: 30-39.
Caixeta ET, Oliveira ACB, Brito GG and Sakiyama NS (2009). Tipos de Marcadores Moleculares. In: Marcadores Moleculares (Borém A and Caixeta ET, eds.). 2nd edn. Folha de Viçosa, Viçosa, 11-94.
Companhia Nacional de Abastecimento (Conab) (2009). Acompanhamento da Safra Brasileira: Grãos - Safra 2008/2009 - Quarto Levantamento - Janeiro 2009. In: Companhia Nacional de Abastecimento. Conab, Brasília. Available at [http://www.conab.gov.br/conabweb/download/safra/3graos_08.09.pdf]. Accessed January 23, 2009.
Diwan N and Cregan PB (1997). Automated sizing of fluorescent-labeled simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers to assay genetic variation in soybean. Theor. Appl. Genet. 95: 723-733.
Ferreira ME and Grattapaglia D (1998). Introdução ao Uso de Marcadores Moleculares em Análise Genética. 3rd edn. Embrapa and Cenargen, Brasília.
Garcia AF, Alberini JL, Zucchi MI and Souza AP (2007). Microsatellite molecular markers in the cultivar identification of Brazilian soybean for human consumption. Crop Breed. Appl. Biotechnol. 7: 155-164.
Keim P, Shoemaker RC and Palmer RG (1989). Restriction fragment length polymorphism diversity in soybean. Theor. Appl. Genet. 77: 786-792.
Keim P, Beavis W, Schupp J and Freestone R (1992). Evaluation of soybean RFLP marker diversity in adapted germplasm. Theor. Appl. Genet. 85: 202-212.
McDonald MB, Elliot LJ and Sweeney PM (1994). DNA extraction from dry seeds for RAPD analyses in varietal identification studies. Seed Sci. Technol. 22: 171-176.
Narvel JM, Fehr WR, Chu WC, Grant D, et al. (2000). Simple sequence repeat diversity among soybean plant introductions and elite genotypes. Crop Sci. 40: 1452-1458.
National Research Council (NRC) (1996). The Evaluation of Forensic DNA Evidence. Committee on DNA Forensic Science: an Update. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
Priolli RHG, Mendes-Júnior CT, Arantes NU and Contel EPB (2002). Characterization of Brazilian soybean cultivars using microsatellite markers. Genet. Mol. Biol. 25: 185-193.
Schuster I, Queiroz VT, Teixeira AI, Barros EG, et al. (2004). Determination of genetic purity of soybean seeds with the aid of microsatellite molecular markers. Pesq. Agropec. Bras. 39: 247-253.
Schuster I, Vieira ESN and Padilha L (2009a). Marcadores Moleculares no Pós-Melhoramento. In: Marcadores Moleculares. 2nd edn. Folha de Viçosa, Viçosa, 177-208.
Schuster I, Vieira ESN, Silva GJ, Franco FA, et al. (2009b). Genetic variability in Brazilian wheat cultivars assessed by microsatellite markers. Genet. Mol. Biol. 32: 557-563.
Song QJ, Quigley CV, Nelson RL, Carter TE, et al. (1999). A selected set of trinucleotide simple sequence repeat markers for soybean cultivar identification. Plant Var. Seeds 12: 207-220.
Vieira ESN, Schuster I, Silva RB and Oliveira MAR (2009). Genetic variability of soybean cultivars obtained through microsatellite markers in agarose gel. Pesq. Agropec. Bras. 44: 1460-1466.
Yamanaka N, Sato H, Yang Z, Xu DH, et al. (2007). Genetic relationships between Chinese, Japanese, and Brazilian soybean gene pools revealed by simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers. Genet. Mol. Biol. 30: 85-88.


[^0]:    Abdelnoor RV, Barros EG and Moreira MA (1995). Determination of genetic diversity within Brazilian soybean germplasm using random amplified polymorphic DNA techniques and comparative analysis with pedigree data. Rev. Bras. Genet. 18: 265-273.
    Alcântara Neto F (2001). Marcadores Microssatélites na Identificação de Cultivares de Soja. Master's thesis, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa.
    Anderson JA, Churchill GA, Autrique JE, Tanksley SD, et al. (1993). Optimizing parental selection for genetic linkage maps. Genome 36: 181-186.
    Bonato ALV, Calvo ES, Geraldi IO and Arias CAA (2006a). Genetic similarity among soybean (Glycine max (L) Merrill) cultivars released in Brazil using AFLP markers. Genet. Mol. Biol. 29: 692-704.
    Bonato ALV, Calvo ES, Arias CAA, de Ferraz Toledo JF, et al. (2006b). Prediction of genetic variability through AFLP-

