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#### Abstract

To understand genetic variability of the endangered aquatic herb Brasenia schreberi (Cabombaceae), we describe 31 microsatellite markers obtained using next-generation sequencing. A total of 24 individuals from the population of Jackson Lake, USA, were genotyped for each marker. Twenty-eight markers were polymorphic. The number of alleles per locus ranged from 1 to 9 ; the observed and expected heterozygosities ranged from 0 to 1 and from 0 to 0.751 , respectively. These markers should be useful tools for genetic variation and conservation studies of $B$. schreberi.
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## INTRODUCTION

Brasenia schreberi J.F. Gmelin (Cabombaceae), a perennial floating-leaved aquatic herb (water shield), is distributed widely in temperate and tropical regions of Asia, Australia, Africa, India, and North and South America (Kim et al., 2008, 2012). However, populations of B. schreberi are found only rarely in each region. In several countries of East Asia, B. schreberi has been listed as a critically endangered species due to human activity and habitat loss (Lee et al., 2005; Zhang and Gao, 2008).

In recent years, genetic variation among $B$. schreberi populations has been demonstrated with a variety of dominant genetic markers, e.g., RAPD (random amplified polymorphic DNA) and AFLP (amplified fragment length polymorphism) (Kim et al., 2008), ISSR (inter-simple sequence repeat) (Zhang and Gao, 2008), and nuclear ribosomal ITS and chloroplast DNA trnL-F sequences (Kim et al., 2012). However, the results of these studies are still not sufficient for defining adaptive population differentiation. Next-generation high-throughput DNA sequencing techniques have greatly promoted the development of multiple co-dominant markers, such as simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers (Chen et al., 2015; Yun et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015), which have been shown to be useful tools for assessing the genetic diversity and adaptive population differentiation of endangered species. Here, we report the development of microsatellite markers from B. schreberi using next-generation sequencing, which will facilitate ongoing studies into the evolutionary history and conservation of this endangered species.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fresh leaves of an individual of $B$. schreberi plant were collected from Wuhan Botanical Garden, China, and genomic DNA was extracted using a Plant Genomic DNA Isolation kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China) following the manufacturer instructions. A sequencing library was constructed at Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer instructions (Illumina). This library was sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 Platform at Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd., and generated 5.59 G clean DNA reads. A total of 106,801 SSR loci were identified using the MIcroSAtellite identification tool (MISA) (Thiel et al., 2003) from the resources, and 54,640 primers were successfully designed using Primer3 (http:// biotools.umassmed.edu/bioapps/primer3_www.cgi).

Fifty of the designed primers were randomly selected and initially screened using total DNA isolated from dried leaves of six B. schreberi individuals collected from the population at Mangshan in Hunan Province. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications were performed in a volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ containing 0.25 mM each dNTP, $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ 10X Taq buffer ( 10 mM Tris- HCl , pH 8.3, $1.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$, and 50 mM KCl ), 1 mM each primer, 0.2 U Taq Polymerase (TransGen Biotech Co., Beijing, China), and 25 ng DNA template. Genomic DNA was amplified using an ABI 2720 Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, USA). The PCR program was as follows: an initial denaturation of 5 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~s}$ annealing at $54^{\circ}-56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (depending on the primers), and 30 s extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a final extension cycle of 10 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Agarose gel electrophoresis showed that 31 of 50 markers were clearly amplified.

Based on the initial screening results, all of the 31 primer pairs were selected and used to test for polymorphism in 24 individuals from a population located in Jackson Lake, Florida, USA ( $85^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 30^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ). Forward primers were labeled with 6-FAM. PCR was performed using the same reaction and cycling conditions as were used in the initial screening. PCR products were separated using an ABI 3730 XL automated sequencer (TsingKe Biotech, Beijing, China) and
visualized using the GeneScan system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The number of observed alleles per locus $\left(N_{A}\right)$, observed $\left(H_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ and expected $\left(H_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ heterozygosities, and deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) for each locus in the test population of $B$. schreberi were calculated using the program of GenAlex 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2012).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Twenty-eight of the 31 tested markers were polymorphic. The $N_{\mathrm{A}}$ ranged from 1 to 9 . The $H_{\mathrm{O}}$ and $H_{\mathrm{E}}$ ranged from 0 to 1 and from 0 to 0.751 , respectively (Table 1 ). All of the loci, except for locus BS29, showed significant deviations from HWE, which could be due to the extensive clonal reproduction of this species. These markers will facilitate further investigation into the adaptive genetic variation and conservation of $B$. schreberi.

Table 1. Primer sequences and characteristics of the 31 microsatellite loci in Brasenia schreberi.

| Locus | Primer sequence (5'-3') | Repeat motif | Allele size (bp) | $\mathrm{Ta}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $N_{\text {A }}$ | Ho | $\mathrm{HE}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GenBank } \\ \text { accession No. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BS1 | GAACGAATGAATAACCAGAGATG ATTTCCTAGCCTGCCTCCAT | $(\mathrm{TG})_{7}(\mathrm{AG})_{8}$ | 127-138 | 58 | 4 | 0.125 | 0.721 | KT899583 |
| BS2 | TTGTAGAAGTGCCACGATGC CGCTTCCATTCTCTACCCAG | $(\mathrm{CT})_{9}(\mathrm{CA})_{7}$ | 147-159 | 59 | 6 | 0.250 | 0.594 | KT899584 |
| BS3 | TCAAAACGTGAGCATGAACAA TAACCATTCAAGAAACCCCG | $(\mathrm{CT})_{6}(\mathrm{AT})_{6}$ | 115-130 | 60 | 4 | 0.125 | 0.572 | KT899585 |
| BS4 | TGTAGCCGACCCCATCTAGT GGTTTCCACGTAACACGTCA | (GTT) ${ }_{5}$ | 128-212 | 59 | 4 | 0.125 | 0.558 | KT899586 |
| BS5 | GGAACATGAGCAAGTGCAGA TTGGCAAGTTATACCCTCCG | (AG) 10 | 273-291 | 60 | 3 | 0.125 | 0.525 | KT899587 |
| BS6 | ATCCCCGTGTCACACTAAGC GGACCTGGGTCATATTAGGGA | $(\mathrm{TA})_{7}(\mathrm{GA})_{7}$ | 209-218 | 60 | 4 | 0.375 | 0.537 | KT899588 |
| BS7 | GGAGTGCAATAGGCAAAAGC TGTTTCATTTTACAAAACGTGGA | (ATG) ${ }_{5}$ | 118-184 | 60 | 4 | 1.000 | 0.593 | KT899589 |
| BS8 | CCAAAGATTCACACATTGGAAA CTATGAAGTCACCAGGTGCG | (AG) ${ }_{10}$ | 138-160 | 60 | 6 | 0.417 | 0.751 | KT899590 |
| BS9 | CTTGGAAAGAGGGCTTTGTG TGAGGTGAATGATTTTTGCATC | (AAG)5 | 265-297 | 60 | 3 | 0.000 | 0.392 | KT899591 |
| BS10 | TAACAGGAGCCGACGCTAGT GTTGTACCGAAGCCTGAAGC | (CT) ${ }_{6}$ | 270-281 | 60 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.080 | KT899592 |
| BS11 | CCACTAAGACTTCCGGTGCT GCGGCATGCATTACTCCTAT | (GAGAG) ${ }_{5}$ | 274 | 59 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | KT899593 |
| BS12 | GGGGCTTCATTTATTGGGAT CTGCTTTTCCATGGCATTTC | (TC) ${ }_{7}$ | 252-280 | 60 | 4 | 0.000 | 0.451 | KT899594 |
| BS13 | AATGGTGAAGGAAAGCATGG GTGTCACGCACGCAGATAGT | (CA) ${ }_{6}$ | 267-281 | 60 | 4 | 0.125 | 0.194 | KT899595 |
| BS14 | GTGTCACGCACGCAGATAGT GCAAGCTCATTTTGCTTTCTT | (TG) ${ }_{6}$ | 178 | 60 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | KT899596 |
| BS15 | TCGAGGTTTTCCTCTGCCTA CATTTGCATGATTGATCGCT | (TC) ${ }_{6}$ | 231-268 | 60 | 4 | 1.000 | 0.631 | KT899597 |
| BS16 | CCGAAGAAGAAGTTCCTCCC CATCAGCTGCCTCATCTTCA | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{7}$ | 105-114 | 60 | 4 | 0.792 | 0.614 | KT899598 |
| BS17 | TCAGAGCGACAGTGAGGAGA CCAAAATCAGCATGTGCATC | (TGA) ${ }_{5}$ | 184 | 60 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | KT899599 |
| BS18 | CAAATCCCACATGTCTCACG GCTTTTGGAATCTGCCAAACTA | (T) ${ }_{11}$ | 168-177 | 60 | 4 | 0.958 | 0.591 | KT899600 |
| BS19 | CTGCTGAAGGATTCTCGGAC TGTACAGCACTTGCAGGTAAGAA | (CTA) ${ }_{5}$ | 263-289 | 60 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.413 | KT899601 |
| BS20 | GCAAGGATGATGTTTGAGCA GAAATATATTCATGATGTGGAGTATGA | $(\mathrm{TA})_{7}(\mathrm{TG})_{6}$ | 100-161 | 60 | 5 | 1.000 | 0.639 | KT899602 |
| BS21 | AAGGCGGAAAAGAGGAAGAG GAGGATGGCTGATTGTGGTT | (TG) ${ }_{6}$ | 141-148 | 60 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.497 | KT899603 |
| BS22 | AAGTATGCCCAACCAGCAAA AAGCTAACCGTTTCATCTTTATCA | $(\mathrm{TG})_{7}(\mathrm{AG})_{9}$ | 218-231 | 60 | 6 | 0.417 | 0.491 | KT899604 |
| BS23 | AATAGACACGACCGGTTTGG AAACCAAACCAAATGACCCA | (AAC) ${ }_{6}$ | 105-141 | 60 | 4 | 0.917 | 0.650 | KT899605 |
| BS24 | TCGATCTTTGAAATTCGATAGTTG ATGCACCAAACCAAAACACA | (CT) ${ }_{6}$ | 100-127 | 59 | 4 | 0.042 | 0.322 | KT899606 |
| BS25 | AAACTCCACCAGATCAACCG AAAAATGCCCCCTTCTCTGT | (AG) ${ }_{7}$ | 186-288 | 60 | 3 | 0.000 | 0.288 | KT899607 |
| BS26 | TCAAACGATAAAAACATTTGAAGAA CGATCGGTCTGATCTTGGAT | (TC)9 | 307-313 | 59 | 5 | 0.250 | 0.360 | KT899608 |
| BS27 | AAACCTCTGGTACTTGGAGACTTG TGCAGTTTGTCTTTTCCAAGG | (AT) ${ }_{8}$ | 107-187 | 60. | 8 | 0.000 | 0.743 | KT899609 |
| BS28 | GGCCTAGATTCCTTGCTTCC CGGCAATCATTTCTGTTTTT | (AC) ${ }_{6}$ | 241-280 | 60 | 5 | 0.708 | 0.655 | KT899610 |
| BS29 | AATCAGATCGGACCACGAAC GCATGTTCTAGAGGAAAGAGCC | (GT) ${ }_{6}$ | 230-270 | 60 | 6 | 0.417 | 0.698 | KT899611 |
| BS30 | AGGATCGAGTTGTTTTCCCC CGTGGTGTAAGGCCAAGAGA | (AG) ${ }_{6}$ | 216-232 | 60 | 4 | 0.667 | 0.575 | KT899612 |
| BS31 | ACCTAGTTTCTCACCGCCAG GGAAGAGAAGGGAGATGAAGG | (CT) ${ }_{10}$ | 132-175 | 59 | 9 | 1.000 | 0.731 | KT899613 |
| Ta $=$ annealing temperature; $N_{\mathrm{A}}=$ number of alleles observed; $H_{\mathrm{E}}=$ expected heterozygosity; $H_{\mathrm{O}}=$ observed heterozygosity. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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